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Introduction

Lean Six Sigma provides a rigorous and structured approach to help manage and improve quality and performance, and to solve potentially complex problems. It helps you use the right tools, in the right place and in the right way, not just in improvement but also in your day-to-day management of activities. Lean Six Sigma really is about getting key principles and concepts into the DNA and lifeblood of your organisation so that it becomes a natural part of how you do things.

This book seeks to help managers and team leaders better understand their role and improve organisational efficiency and effectiveness.

If you want to change outcomes, you need to realise that outcomes are the result of systems. Not the computer systems, but the way people work together and interact. And these systems are the product of how people think and behave. So, if you want to change outcomes, you have to change your systems, and to do that, you have to change your thinking. Albert Einstein summed up the need for different thinking very well:

The significant problems we face cannot be solved by the same level of thinking which caused them.

Lean Six Sigma thinking is not about asset stripping and ‘making do’. Instead, this approach focuses on doing the right things right, so that you really do add value for the customer and make your organisation effective and efficient.

The main focus of the book relates to DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control). This is the Lean Six Sigma method for improving existing processes that form a part of the organisation’s systems, and it provides an ideal way to help you in your quest for continuous improvement.

When you need to develop a new process, the Design for Six Sigma method comes into play. Known as DMADV (Define, Measure, Analyse, Design and Verify), we provide an introduction to this method in Chapter 12.

About This Book

This book makes Lean Six Sigma easy to understand and apply. We wrote it because we feel that Lean Six Sigma can help organisations of all shapes and sizes, both private and public, improve their performance in meeting their customers’ requirements.
In particular, we wanted to draw out the role of the manager and provide a collection of concepts, tools and techniques to help him or her carry out the job more effectively. We also wanted to demonstrate the genuine synergy achieved through the combination of Lean and Six Sigma. For some reason unknown to the authors, a few people feel they can use only Lean or Six Sigma, but not both. How wrong they are!

In this book you can discover how to create genuine synergy by applying the principles of Lean and Six Sigma together in your day-to-day operations and activities.

**Conventions Used in This Book**

Lean Six Sigma uses a whole range of acronyms, but when they first appear we describe them in full and then use them in their abbreviated form.

We use some statistical concepts and language, but minimise these in order to demonstrate the range of straightforward tools and techniques that can be applied in everyday activity, as well as in improvement projects.

If you’d like more detail and information about some of the statistical aspects, check out *Statistics For Dummies* by Deborah Rumsey (Wiley).

**Foolish Assumptions**

In Lean Six Sigma, avoiding the tendency for people, and managers in particular, to jump to conclusions and make assumptions about things is crucial. Lean Six Sigma really is about managing by fact. Despite that, we’ve made some assumptions about why you may have bought this book:

- ✔ You’re contemplating applying Lean Six Sigma in your business or organisation, and you need to understand what you’re getting yourself into.
- ✔ Your business is implementing Lean Six Sigma and you need to get up to speed. Perhaps you’ve been lined up to participate in the programme in some way.
- ✔ Your business has already implemented either Lean or Six Sigma and you’re intrigued by what you might be missing.
✓ You’re considering a career or job change and feel that your CV or resume will look much better if you can somehow incorporate Lean or Six Sigma into it.

✓ You’re a student in business, operations or industrial engineering, for example, and you realise that Lean Six Sigma could help shape your future.

We also assume that you realise that Lean Six Sigma demands a rigorous and structured approach to understanding how your work gets done and how well it gets done, and how to go about the improvement of your processes.

How This Book Is Organised

We break this book into six separate parts. Each is written as a standalone section, enabling you to move about the book and delve into a given topic without necessarily having to read the preceding material first.

Naturally, with a topic such as Lean Six Sigma, a lot of interrelationship exists between the chapters, and where this occurs we provide cross-references so you can tie everything together.

Part I: Lean Six Sigma Basics

In this part we get back to basics, providing an overview of what Lean thinking and Six Sigma really mean, as well as some observations about what they don’t mean!

We highlight the synergy created by merging the two disciplines into Lean Six Sigma and provide an overview of the key principles underpinning the approach.

This part explains just what a ‘sigma’ is and introduces the commonly used process improvement method known as DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control).

Part II: Working with Lean Six Sigma

Throughout the book, we encourage you to keep asking yourself how and why things are done. What’s the purpose of your products and services and the processes that support them?
Ideally, things are done in order to meet the requirements of your customers, but you need to know who they are, or who they might be.

This part focuses on identifying your various, and often quite different, customers, seeing how you can determine their requirements, and showing how to use this information to form the basis of the measurement set for your processes. In doing so, you need to take a brief look at some process basics, too. By drawing a current state or ‘as is’ process map you can see what the process really looks like, and understand who does what, when, where and why.

In essence, you’re developing a picture of your customers and the processes that seek to meet their requirements.

Part III: Assessing Performance

In this part, we look to see how well the work gets done. Are you meeting your customers’ requirements in the most effective and efficient way?

Managing by fact is a key principle in Lean Six Sigma, so having good data is vital. Data collection is a process in itself, and we present a five-step approach to ensuring you have an appropriate plan in place.

When you have your data, you need to decide how best to present and interpret it. We cover the importance of control charts to help you identify process variation so that you know when to take action and when not to.

We also look at developing an appropriately balanced set of measures that help you understand what influences and affects your results.

Part IV: Improving the Processes

A variety of tools and techniques come together to help you reduce waste and the time it takes to do things. We provide a common definition for what people mean by ‘value-added’ and ‘non-value-added’, and look at the importance of identifying and tackling bottlenecks in your processes.

In essence, we look at how to improve the process flow so that things are actioned in less time and with less effort. In doing so, we cover a number of concepts, including ‘pull not push’, and the power of prevention and error proofing in ensuring successful change. And we provide an introduction to the design of new processes, too, where we demonstrate the key concepts of Quality Function Deployment (QFD).
Part V: Deploying Lean Six Sigma

Here, we look at some of the vital ingredients needed to successfully deploy Lean Six Sigma throughout an organisation. In particular, we cover the role of the organisation’s leadership team, and the need to link the approach to strategy and business plans. Selecting the right projects and ensuring they are appropriately scoped is an essential aspect of getting things started on the right foot. Addressing the ‘soft issues’ to get the organisational culture right and recognise the likely need for a change of thinking and behaviours will often prove far more challenging than learning how to use the Lean Six Sigma toolkit.

Part VI: The Part of Tens

In these short chapters we provide information on some of the excellent Lean Six Sigma resources available. We also provide a collection of lists that include best practices, common mistakes and where to go for help.

Icons Used In This Book

Throughout the book, you’ll see small symbols called icons in the margins; these highlight special types of information. We use these to help you better understand and apply the material. Look out for the following icons:

This icon highlights an essential component of Lean Six Sigma.

Bear these important points in mind as you get to grips with Lean Six Sigma.

Keep your eyes on the target to find tips and tricks we share to help you make the most of Lean Six Sigma.

Throughout this book we share true stories of how different companies have implemented Lean Six Sigma to improve their processes. We also share true stories of when things go wrong so you learn from others’ mistakes.

This icon highlights potential pitfalls to avoid.
Where to Go From Here

In theory, when you read you begin with ABC, and when you sing you begin with doh-ray-me (apologies to Julie Andrews). But with a *For Dummies* book you can begin where you like. Each part and, indeed, each chapter is self-contained, which means you can start with whichever parts or chapters interest you the most.

That said, if you’re new to the topic, starting at the beginning makes sense. Either way, lots of cross-referencing throughout the book helps you to see how things fit together and put them in the right context.
Part I

Lean Six Sigma Basics

The 5th Wave

By Rich Tennant

Six Sigma Marital Strategy

Define  
Measure  
Bewitch  
Bother  
Bewilder
Here you meet the basics, as we provide an overview of what Lean Thinking and Six Sigma mean, as well as some observations about what they don’t mean!

This part highlights the synergy created by merging the two disciplines into Lean Six Sigma and provides an overview of the key principles underpinning the approach.

We explain exactly what a ‘sigma’ is and introduce the commonly used process improvement method known as DMAIC – Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve, and Control. We also make brief reference to Design for Six Sigma, though this is covered later on in Chapter 12.
Chapter 1

Defining Lean Six Sigma

In This Chapter
▶ Turning up trumps for the Toyota Production System
▶ Finding out the fundamentals of ‘Lean’ and ‘Six Sigma’
▶ Applying Lean Six Sigma in your organisation

Throughout this book we cover the tools and techniques available to help you achieve real improvement in your organisation. In this chapter we aim to move you down a path of different thinking that gets your improvement taste buds tingling. We look at the main concepts behind Lean thinking and Six Sigma and introduce some of the terminology to help you on your way.

Introducing Lean Thinking

Lean thinking focuses on enhancing value for the customer by improving and smoothing the process flow (see Chapter 11) and eliminating waste (covered in Chapter 9). Since Henry Ford’s first production line, Lean thinking has evolved through a number of sources, and over many years, but much of the development has been led by Toyota through the Toyota Production System (TPS). Toyota built on Ford’s production ideas, moving from high volume, low variety, to high variety, low volume.

Although Lean thinking is usually seen as being a manufacturing concept and application, many of the tools and techniques were originally developed in service organisations. These include, for example, spaghetti diagrams, part of the organisation and methods toolkit, and the visual system used by supermarkets to replenish shelves. Indeed, it was a supermarket that helped shape the thinking behind the Toyota Production System. During a tour to General Motors and Ford, Kiichiro Toyoda and Taiichi Ohno visited Piggly Wiggly, an American supermarket, and noticed Just in Time and kanban being applied. This innovation enabled Piggly Wiggly customers to ‘buy what they need at any time’ and avoided the store holding excess stock. Kanban is simply a card providing the signal to order more stock. Incidentally, Piggly Wiggly was founded in 1916 in Memphis, Tennessee by the innovative Clarence Saunders, who was also the first to introduce the concept of a self-service grocery shop.
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Lean is called ‘Lean’ not because things are stripped to the bone. Lean isn’t a recipe for your organisation to slash its costs, although it will likely lead to reduced costs and better value for the customer. We trace the concept of the word ‘Lean’ back to 1987, when John Krafcik (now with Hyundai) was working as a researcher for MIT as part of the International Motor Vehicle Program. Krafcik needed a label for the TPS phenomenon that described what the system did. On a white board he wrote the performance attributes of the Toyota system compared with traditional mass production. TPS:

- Needed less human effort to design products and services.
- Required less investment for a given amount of production capacity.
- Created products with fewer delivered defects.
- Used fewer suppliers.
- Went from concept to launch, order to delivery and problem to repair in less time and with less human effort.
- Needed less inventory at every process step.
- Caused fewer employee injuries.

Krafcik commented:

*It needs less of everything to create a given amount of value, so let’s call it Lean.*

The Lean enterprise was born.

**Bringing on the basics of Lean**

Figure 1-1 shows the Toyota Production System, highlighting various tools and Japanese Lean thinking terms that we use throughout this book. In this chapter we provide some brief descriptions to introduce the Lean basics and the TPS.

Toyota’s Taiichi Ohno describes the TPS approach very effectively:

*All we are doing is looking at a timeline from the moment the customer gives us an order to the point when we collect the cash. And we are reducing that timeline by removing the non-value-added wastes.*

The TPS approach really is about understanding how the work gets done, finding ways of doing it better, smoother and faster, and closing the time gap between the start and end points of our processes. And it applies to any process. Whether you’re working in the public or private sector, in service, transactional or manufacturing processes really doesn’t matter.
Think about your own processes for a moment. Do you feel that some unnecessary steps or activities seem to waste time and effort?

**Picking on people power**

Figure 1-1 shows that people are at the heart of TPS. The system focuses on training to develop exceptional people and teams that follow the company’s philosophy to gain exceptional results. Consider the following:

✓ Toyota creates a strong and stable culture where values and beliefs are widely shared and lived out over many years.
✓ Toyota works constantly to reinforce that culture.
✓ Toyota involves cross-functional teams to solve problems.
✓ Toyota keeps teaching individuals how to work together.

Being Lean means involving people in the process, equipping them to be able, and feel able, to challenge and improve their processes and the way they work. Never waste the creative potential of people!

**Looking at the lingo**

You can see from Figure 1-1 that Lean thinking involves a certain amount of jargon – some of it Japanese. This section defines the various terms to help you get Lean thinking as soon as possible:

✓ **Heijunka** provides the foundation. It encompasses the idea of smoothing processing and production by considering levelling, sequencing and standardising:

  • **Levelling** involves smoothing the volume of production in order to reduce variation, that is, the ups and downs and peaks and troughs that can make planning difficult. Amongst other things, levelling
seeks to prevent ‘end-of-period’ peaks, where production is initially slow at the beginning of the month, but then quickens in the last days of a sale or accounting period, for example.

- **Sequencing** may well involve mixing the types of work processed. So, for example, when setting up new loans in a bank, the type of loan being processed is mixed to better match customer demand, and help ensure applications are actioned in date order. So often, people are driven by internal efficiency targets, whereby they process the ‘simple tasks’ first to get them out of the way and ‘hit their numbers’, leaving the more difficult cases to be processed later on. This means tasks are not processed in date order, and people are reluctant to get down and tackle a pile of difficult cases at the end of the week, making things even worse for the customer and the business.

- **Standardising** is the third strand of Heijunka. It seeks to reduce variation in the way the work is carried out, highlighting the importance of ‘standard work’, of following a standard process and procedure. It links well to the concept of process management, where the process owner continuously seeks to find and consistently deploy best practice. Remember, however, that you need to standardise your processes before you can improve them. Once they’re standardised, you can work on stabilising them, and now that you fully understand how the processes work, you can improve them, creating a ‘one best way’ of doing them.

In the spirit of continuous improvement, of course, the ‘one best way’ of carrying out the process will keep changing, as the people in the process identify better ways of doing the work. You need to ensure the new ‘one best way’ is implemented and fully deployed.

✓ **Jidoka** concerns prevention; it links closely with techniques such as failure mode effects analysis (FMEA), which are covered in Chapter 10. Jidoka has two main elements, and both seek to prevent work continuing when something goes wrong:

- **Autonomation** allows machines to operate autonomously, by shutting down if something goes wrong. This concept is also known as automation with human intelligence. The ‘no’ in autonomation is often underlined to highlight the fact that no defects are allowed to pass to a follow-on process. An early example is from 1902, when Sakichi Toyoda, the founder of the Toyota group, invented an automated loom that stopped whenever a thread broke. A simple example today is a printer stopping processing copy when the ink runs out.

Without this concept, automation has the potential to allow a large number of defects to be created very quickly, especially if processing is in batches (see ‘Single piece flow’, below).
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• **Stop at every abnormality** is the second element of Jidoka. The employee can stop an automated or manual line if he spots an error. At Toyota, every employee is empowered to ‘stop the line’, perhaps following the identification of a special cause on a control chart (see Chapter 7).

Forcing everything to stop and immediately focus on a problem can seem painful at first, but doing so is an effective way to quickly get at the root cause of issues. Again, this can be especially important if you’re processing in batches.

✔ **Just in Time (JIT)** provides the other pillar of the TPS house. JIT involves providing the customer with what’s needed, at the right time, in the right location and in the right quantity. The concept applies to both internal and external customers. JIT comprises three main elements:

• **Single piece flow** means each person performs an operation and makes a quick quality check before moving their output to the next person in the following process. Naturally this concept also applies to automated operations where inline checks can be carried out. If a defect is detected, Jidoka is enacted: the process is stopped, and immediate action is taken to correct the situation, taking countermeasures to prevent reoccurrence. This concept is a real change of thinking that moves us away from processing in batches.

Traditionally, large batches of individual cases are processed at each step and are passed along the process only after an entire batch has been completed. The delays are increased when the batches travel around the organisation, both in terms of the transport time, and the time they sit waiting in the internal mail system. At any given time, most of the cases in a batch are sitting idle, waiting to be processed. In manufacturing, this is seen as costly excess inventory. What’s more, errors can neither be picked up nor addressed quickly; if they occur, they often occur in volume. And, of course, this also delays identifying the root cause. With single piece flow, we can get to the root cause analysis faster, which helps prevent a common error recurring throughout the process.

• **Pull production** is the second element of JIT. Each process takes what it needs from the preceding process only when it needs it and in the exact quantity. The customer pulls the supply and helps avoid being swamped by items that aren’t needed at a particular time.

Pull production reduces the need for potentially costly storage space. All too often, overproduction in one process, perhaps to meet local efficiency targets, results in problems downstream. This increases work in progress, and creates bottlenecks. Overproduction is one of the ‘seven wastes’ identified by Ohno and covered in Chapter 9.
• **Takt time** is the third element of JIT, providing an important additional measure. It tells you how quickly to action things, given the volume of customer demand. Takt is German for a precise interval of time, such as a musical meter. It serves as the rhythm or beat of the process – the frequency at which a product or service must be completed in order to meet customer needs. Takt time is a bit like the beat of the drum on the old Roman galleys for synchronising the rowers.

**Taking the strain out of constraints**

Much of the focus in Lean thinking is on understanding and improving the flow of processes and eliminating non-value-added activities. The late Eliyahu Goldratt’s *theory of constraints* (explained more fully in Chapter 11) provides a way to address and tackle bottlenecks that slow the process flow. Goldratt’s theory proposes a five-step approach to help improve flow:

1. **Identify the constraint.** Data helps you identify the bottlenecks in your processes, of course, but you should be able to see them fairly easily, too. Look for backlogs and a build-up of work in progress, or take note of where people are waiting for work to come through to them. These are pretty good clues that demand is exceeding capability and you have a bottleneck.

2. **Exploit the constraint.** Look for ways to maximise the processing capability at this point in the process flow. For example, you may minimise downtime for machine maintenance by scheduling maintenance outside of normal hours.

3. **Subordinate the other steps to the constraint.** You need to understand just what the bottleneck is capable of – how much it can produce, and how quickly it can do it. Whatever the answer is, in effect, that’s the pace at which the whole process is working. The downstream processes know what to expect and when, and having upstream processes working faster is pointless; their output simply builds up as a backlog at the bottleneck. So, use the bottleneck to dictate the pace at which the upstream activities operate, and to signal to the downstream activities what to expect, even if that means these various activities are not working at capacity.

4. **Elevate the constraint.** Introduce improvements that remove this particular bottleneck, possibly by using a DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) project (we delve into DMAIC in Chapter 2).

5. **Go back to Step 1 and repeat the process.** After you complete Steps 1–4, a new constraint will exist somewhere else in the process flow, so start the improvement process again.
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Considering the customer

The customer, not the organisation, specifies value. Value is what your customer is willing to pay for. To satisfy your customer, your organisation has to provide the right products and services, at the right time, at the right price and at the right quality. To do this, and to do so consistently, you need to identify and understand how your processes work, improve and smooth the flow, eliminate unnecessary steps in the process, and reduce or prevent waste such as rework.

Imagine the processes involved in your own organisation, beginning with a customer order (market demand) and ending with cash in the bank (invoice or bill paid). Ask yourself the following questions:

✓ How many steps are involved?
✓ Do you need all the steps?
✓ Are you sure?
✓ How can you reduce the number of steps and the time involved from start to finish?

Perusing the principles of Lean thinking

Lean thinking has five key principles:

✓ Understand the customer and their perception of value.
✓ Identify and understand the value stream for each process and the waste within it.
✓ Enable the value to flow.
✓ Let the customer pull the value through the processes, according to their needs.
✓ Continuously pursue perfection (continuous improvement).

We’ve covered these briefly in the preceding pages, but look at them again in more detail in Chapter 2, when we see how they combine with the key principles of Six Sigma to form **Lean Six Sigma**.

Sussing Six Sigma

Six Sigma is a systematic and robust approach to improvement, which focuses on the customer and other key stakeholders. Six Sigma calls for a change of thinking. When Jack Welch, former General Electric CEO, introduced Six Sigma, he said:
We are going to shift the paradigm from fixing products to fixing and developing processes, so they produce nothing but perfection or close to it.

In the 1980s Motorola CEO Bob Galvin struggled to compete with foreign manufacturers. Motorola set a goal of tenfold improvement in five years, with a plan focused on global competitiveness, participative management, quality improvement and training. Quality engineer Bill Smith coined the name of the improvement measurements: Six Sigma. All Motorola employees underwent training, and Six Sigma became the standard for all Motorola business processes.

**Considering the core of Six Sigma**

A sigma, or standard deviation, is a measure of variation that reveals the average difference between any one item and the overall average of a larger population of items. Sigma is represented by the lower-case Greek letter σ.

**Introducing a simple example**

Suppose you want to estimate the height of people in your organisation. Measuring everyone isn’t practical, so you take a representative sample of 30 people’s heights. You work out the mean average height for the group – as an example, let’s say this is 5 foot 7 inches. You then calculate the difference between each person’s height and the mean average height. In broad terms, one sigma, or standard deviation, is the average of those differences. The smaller the number, the less variation there is in the population of things you are measuring. Conversely, the larger the number, the more variation. In our example, imagine the standard deviation is one inch, though it might be any number in theory.

Figure 1-2 shows the likely percentage of the population within plus one and minus one standard deviation from the mean, plus two and minus two standard deviations from the mean, and so on. Assuming your sample is representative, you can see how your information provides a good picture of the heights of all the people in your organisation. You find that approximately two-thirds of them are between 5 foot 6 inches and 5 foot 8 inches tall, about 95 per cent are in the range 5 foot 5 inches to 5 foot 9 inches, and about 99.73 per cent are between 5 foot 4 inches and 5 foot 10 inches.

In reality, the calculation is a little more involved and uses a rather forbidding formula – as shown in Figure 1-3.
Using \( n - 1 \) makes an allowance for the fact that we’re looking at a sample and not the whole population. In practice, though, when the sample size is over 30, there’s little difference between using \( n \) or \( n - 1 \). When we refer to a ‘population’ this could relate to people or things that have already been processed. So, for example, a population of completed and despatched insurance policies or hairdryers.

The process sigma values are calculated by looking at our performance against the customer requirements – see the next section.

**Practising process sigma in the workplace**

In the real world you probably don’t measure the height of your colleagues. Imagine instead that in your organisation you issue products that have been
requested by your customers. You take a representative sample of fulfilled orders and measure the cycle time for each order – the time taken from receiving the order to issuing the product (in some organisations this is referred to as lead time). Figure 1-4 shows the cycle times for your company’s orders.

![Figure 1-4: Histogram showing the time taken to process orders.](image)

You can see the range of your company’s performance. The cycle time varies from as short as one day to as long as seven days.

But the customer expects delivery in five days or less. In Lean Six Sigma speak, a customer requirement is called a CTQ – Critical To Quality. CTQs are referred to in Chapter 2 and described in more detail in Chapter 4, but essentially they express the customers’ requirements in a way that is measurable. CTQs are a vital element in Lean Six Sigma and provide the basis of your process measurement set. In our example, the CTQ is five days or less, but the average performance in Figure 1-4 is four days. Remember that this is the average; your customers experience the whole range of your performance.

Too many organisations use averages as a convenient way of making their performance sound better than it really is.

In the example provided in Figure 1-4, all the orders that take more than five days are defects for the customer in Six Sigma language. Orders that take five days or less meet the CTQ. We show this situation in Figure 1-5. We could express the performance as the percentage or proportion of orders processed within five days or we can work out the process sigma value. The process sigma value is calculated by looking at your performance against the customer requirement, the CTQ, and taking into account the number of...
‘defects’ involved where you fail to meet it (that is, all those cases that took more than five days).

We explain the process sigma calculation in the next section.

![Figure 1-5: Highlighting defects.](image)

**Calculating process sigma values**

Process sigma values provide a way of comparing performances of different processes, which can help you to prioritise projects. The process sigma value represents the population of cases that meet the CTQs right first time. Sigma values are often expressed as defects per million opportunities (DPMO), rather than per hundred or per thousand, to emphasise the need for world-class performance.

Not all organisations using Six Sigma calculate process sigma values. Some organisations just use the number of defects or the percentage of orders meeting CTQs to show their performance. Either way, if benchmarking is to be meaningful, the calculations must be made in a consistent manner.

Figure 1-6 includes ‘yield’ figures – the right first time percentage. You can see that Six Sigma performance equates to only 3.4 DPMO.

Recognising that you’re looking at ‘first pass’ performance is important. If you make an error but correct it before the order goes to the customer, you still count the defect because the rework activity costs you time and effort. And remember that you’re looking at defects. Your customer may have several CTQs relating to an order – for example, speed, accuracy and completeness – thus there may be more than one defect in the transaction.
### Abridged Process Sigma Conversion Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Sigma</th>
<th>Defects per 1,000,000</th>
<th>Defects per 100,000</th>
<th>Defects per 10,000</th>
<th>Defects per 1,000</th>
<th>Defects per 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99.99968%</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.995%</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.992%</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.990%</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.980%</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.970%</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.960%</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.930%</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.900%</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.850%</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.770%</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.670%</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.520%</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.320%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.040%</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.650%</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.140%</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.345%</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.540%</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3,460</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.534%</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4,660</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94.379%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6,210</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.181%</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>8,190</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.303%</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>10,700</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.610%</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.220%</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>1,780</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.430%</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>22,700</td>
<td>2,270</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.210%</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>28,700</td>
<td>2,870</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.410%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>35,900</td>
<td>3,590</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.540%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>44,600</td>
<td>4,460</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.520%</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>54,800</td>
<td>5,480</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.320%</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>66,800</td>
<td>6,680</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.920%</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>80,800</td>
<td>8,080</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.320%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>96,800</td>
<td>9,680</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.350%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>115,000</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.50%</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>135,000</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.20%</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>158,000</td>
<td>15,800</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.80%</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>184,000</td>
<td>18,400</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.80%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>212,000</td>
<td>21,200</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.80%</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>242,000</td>
<td>24,200</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.80%</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>274,000</td>
<td>27,400</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.60%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>308,000</td>
<td>30,800</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.80%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>344,000</td>
<td>34,400</td>
<td>3440</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.80%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>382,000</td>
<td>38,200</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>420,000</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.00%</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46%</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>540,000</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43%</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>570,000</td>
<td>57,000</td>
<td>5700</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>610,000</td>
<td>61,000</td>
<td>6100</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>690,000</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td>6900</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>780,000</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19%</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>810,000</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>840,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>860,000</td>
<td>86,000</td>
<td>8600</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>880,000</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>920,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 1-6: Abridged process sigma conversion table.
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So, for example, you could have a situation whereby the speed of delivery CTQ was met, but the accuracy and completeness CTQs were missed. The outcome would be one defective (see the bullet list below) as a result of these two defects. In calculating sigma values for your processes, you need to understand the following key terms:

- **Unit**: The item produced or processed.
- **Defect**: Any event that does not meet the specification of a CTQ.
- **Defect opportunity**: Any event that provides a chance of not meeting a customer CTQ. The number of defect opportunities will equal the number of CTQs.
- **Defective**: A unit with one or more defects.

In manufacturing processes you may find that the number of defect opportunities is determined differently, taking full account of all the different defects that can occur within a part. The key is to calculate the process sigma values in a consistent way.

You can work out your process sigma performance against the CTQs as shown in Figure 1-7. We have a sample of 500 processed units. The customer has three CTQs, so we have three defect opportunities. The CTQs are related to speed, accuracy and completeness. We find 57 defects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 1-7: Calculating process sigma values.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of units processed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of defects made</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of defect opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calculate # defects per million opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Look up process sigma in sigma conversation table</strong> (see Figure 1-6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A difference exists between process sigma and standard deviation (see the ‘Introducing a simple example’ section earlier in this chapter for how to work out standard deviations). This results from Motorola adjusting the tables to reflect the variation being experienced in their processes. This adjustment is referred to as a 1.5 sigma shift, reflecting the extent of the adjustment. Although this related to their processes, rightly or wrongly, everyone adopting Six Sigma has apparently also adopted the adjusted sigma scale.
Incidentally, without this adjustment, Six Sigma would equate to 0.002 DPMO as opposed to 3.4 DPMO – so, even harder to achieve.

When we talk about Six Sigma performance before the adjustment, we’re talking about plus and minus six standard deviations, which embrace 99.999998 per cent of the data. And we are talking about the percentage of cases that are right first time in terms of meeting the requirements of the customer. Taking account of the adjustment, we’re still looking at a truly demanding standard, with 99.999666 per cent of cases right first time in meeting the CTQs.

Meeting the major points of Six Sigma

The five key principles of Six Sigma are:

- **Understand the CTQs of your customers and stakeholders.** To deliver the best customer experience, you need to know what your customer wants – their requirements and expectations. You need to listen to and understand the voice of the customer (VOC) – we talk about the customer’s voice in Chapter 4.

- **Understand your organisation’s processes and ensure they reflect your customers’ CTQs.** You need to know how your processes work and what they’re trying to achieve. A clear objective for each process should exist, focused on the customer requirements – the CTQs.

- **Manage by fact and reduce variation.** Measurement and management by fact enables more effective decision making. By understanding variation, you can work out when and when not to take action.

- **Involve and equip the people in the process.** To be truly effective you need to equip the people in your organisation to be able, and to feel able, to challenge and improve their processes and the way they work.

- **Undertake improvement activity in a systematic way.** Working systematically helps you avoid jumping to conclusions and solutions. Six Sigma uses a system called DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) to improve existing processes. We cover DMAIC in Chapter 2. In designing new processes, we use DMADV.

A natural synergy exists between Lean and Six Sigma – your organisation needs both. Many people think of Lean as focusing on improving the efficiency of processes, and Six Sigma as concentrating on their effectiveness. The reality is that both approaches tackle efficiency and effectiveness.
In this chapter we look at the synergy produced by combining the approaches of Lean and Six Sigma to form Lean Six Sigma. The merged approach provides a comprehensive set of principles, and supporting tools and techniques, to enable genuine improvements in both efficiency and effectiveness for organisations.

Considering the Key Principles of Lean Six Sigma

Lean Six Sigma takes the features of Lean and of Six Sigma and integrates them to form a magnificent seven set of principles. The principles of each approach aren’t dissimilar (check out Chapter 1 to read more about the individual components), and the merged set produces no surprises. The seven principles of Lean Six Sigma are:

- **Focus on the customer.** The customer’s CTQs describe elements of your service or offering they consider Critical To Quality (see Chapter 1 for more on these). Written in a way that ensures they’re measurable, the CTQs provide the basis for determining the process measures you need to help you understand how well you perform against these critical requirements. Focusing on the customer and the concept of value-add
is important because typically only 10–15 per cent of process steps add value and often represent only 1 per cent of the total process time. These figures may be surprising, but they should grab your attention and help you realise the potential waste that’s happening in your own organisation. As you improve your performance in meeting the CTQs, you’re also likely to win and retain further business and increase your market share. The concept of value-added is covered in Chapter 9, and Chapters 3 to 5 consider the customer in more detail.

✓ **Identify and understand how the work gets done.** The *value stream* describes all of the steps in your process – for example, from a customer order to the issue of a product or the delivery of a service, through to payment. By drawing a map of the value stream, you can highlight the non-value-added steps and areas of waste and ensure the process focuses on meeting the CTQs and adding value. To undertake this process properly, you must ‘go to the Gemba’. The Japanese word Gemba means the place where the work gets done – where the action is – which is where management begins. Process stapling (which we introduce in Chapter 5) involves you spending time in the workplace to see how the work really gets done, not how you think it gets done or how you’d like it to be done. You see the real process being carried out and collect data on what’s happening. Process stapling helps you analyse the problems that you want to tackle and determines a more effective solution for your day-to-day activities.

The value stream reveals all of the actions, both value-creating and non-value-creating, that take your product or service concept to launch and your customer order through the supply chain to delivery. These value-creating and non-value-creating actions include those to process information from the customer and those to transform the product on its way to the customer. Chapter 5 covers the value stream.

✓ **Manage, improve and smooth the process flow.** This concept provides an example of different thinking. If possible, use single piece flow, moving away from batches, or at least reducing batch sizes. Either way, identify the non-value-added steps in the process and try to remove them – certainly look to ensure they do not delay value-adding steps. The concept of pull, not push (see Chapter 1), links to our understanding the process and improving flow. And it can be an essential element in avoiding bottlenecks. Overproduction or pushing things through too early is a waste.

✓ **Remove non-value-adding steps and waste.** Doing so is another vital element in improving flow and performance, generally. The Japanese refer to waste as Muda; they describe two broad types and seven categories of waste. Of course, if you can prevent waste in the first place, then so much the better (see Chapters 9 and 10 on how to do this).
✓ Manage by fact and reduce variation. Managing by fact, using accurate data, helps you avoid jumping to conclusions and solutions. You need the facts! And that means measuring the right things in the right way. Data collection is a process and needs to be managed accordingly. Using Control Charts (Chapter 7 has more on these) enables you to interpret the data correctly and understand the process variation. You then know when to take action and when not to.

✓ Involve and equip the people in the process. You need to involve the people in the process, equipping them to both feel and be able to challenge and improve their processes and the way they work. Involving people is what has to be done if organisations are to be truly effective, but, like so many of the Lean Six Sigma principles, it requires different thinking if it’s to happen. (See Chapter 13 for more on understanding the ‘people issues’.)

✓ Undertake improvement activity in a systematic way. DMAIC comes into play here: Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control. One of the criticisms sometimes aimed at ‘stand-alone’ Lean is that improvement action tends not to be taken in a systematic and standard way. In Six Sigma, DMAIC is used to improve existing processes, but the framework is equally applicable to Lean and, of course, Lean Six Sigma. Where a new process needs to be designed, the DMADV method is used.

Less is usually more. Tackle problems in bite-sized chunks and never jump to conclusions or solutions.

### Improving Existing Processes: Introducing DMAIC

DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) provides the framework to improve existing processes in a systematic way. DMAIC projects begin with the identification of a problem, and in the Define phase you describe what you think needs improving. Without data this might be based on your best guess of things, so in the Measure phase you use facts and data to understand how your processes work and perform so that you can describe the problem more effectively.

Now you can Analyse the situation by using facts and data to determine the root cause(s) of the problem that is inhibiting your performance. With the root cause identified, you can now move to the Improve phase, identifying potential solutions, selecting the most suitable, and testing or piloting it, to validate your approach, using data where appropriate. You are then ready to implement the solution in the Control phase.
The Control phase is especially important. You need to implement your solution, checking that your customers feel the difference in your performance. You’ll need to use data to determine the extent of the improvement and to help you hold the gains. After all your hard work, you don’t want the problem you’ve solved to recur. With the right ongoing measures in place, you should also be able to prompt new opportunities (see Chapter 8 for more on getting the right balance of measures). The following sections provide a little more detail about the five DMAIC phases. Figure 2-1 shows how the phases link together, though the process is not necessarily linear. It could be that in the Define phase, for example, the problem that you are planning to tackle can’t be adequately quantified. In the Measure phase, you will be collecting data that enables you to go back to Define and update your description of the problem.

Figure 2-1: The five phases of DMAIC.

Defining your project

When you start an improvement project, ensuring that you and your team understand why you’re undertaking the project and what you want to achieve is an essential ingredient for success. With a DMAIC project, you start with a problem that needs to be solved. Before you can solve the problem, you need to define it – not always as straightforward a process as you might think. One of the key outputs from the Define phase is a completed improvement charter.
The improvement charter is an agreed document defining the purpose and goals for an improvement team. It can help address some of the elements that typically go wrong in projects by providing a helpful framework to gain commitment and understanding from the team. Keep your charter simple and try to contain the document to one or two sides of A4 in line with the example shown in Figure 2-2.

**Figure 2-2:** A sample improvement charter.
The improvement charter contains the following key elements:

- **A high-level business case** providing an explanation of why undertaking the project is important.
- **A problem statement** defining the issue to be resolved.
- **A goal statement** describing the objective of the project.
- **The project scope** defining the parameters and identifying any constraints.
- **The CTQs** specifying the problem from the customer’s perspective. Unless you already have the CTQs, these might not be known until the Measure phase.
- **Roles** identifying the people involved in and around the project, expectations of them and their responsibilities. The improvement charter forms a contract between the members of the improvement team, and the champion or sponsor.
- **Milestones** summarising the key steps and provisional dates for achieving the goal.

The improvement charter needs to be seen as a ‘living document’ and be updated throughout the various DMAIC phases, especially as your understanding of the problem you’re tackling becomes clearer.

Depending on the nature of your project, you may also need to use some other tools, such as **affinity** and **interrelationship diagrams**, which we describe in a moment (see Figures 2-3 and 2-4). If your project is large and potentially complex, an affinity diagram prepares you for success. It can also aid you in developing your improvement charter. Affinity and interrelationship diagrams provide definition for your project and help the team really understand what’s involved. These tools should be used together. The affinity diagram can be the first step in a large project (we like to think of it as ‘step zero’) and it helps the team develop their thoughts on the issues involved. By the time they’ve created the interrelationship diagram, the team will have a detailed understanding of what they need to do, the drivers of success and the many and varied interrelationships involved, and they will feel they own the output from the exercise.

It’s highly likely that affinity and interrelationship diagrams will be used at the beginning of a design project, where the DMADV method would be used rather than DMAIC.

Figure 2-3 shows the steps in the creation of an affinity diagram. The process works best if you use sticky notes and silently brainstorm ideas on an agreed **issue statement;** for example, ‘what issues are involved in introducing Lean Six Sigma into our organisation?’ Follow these rules:
Use one idea per sticky note.
Write statements rather than questions.
Write clearly.
Don’t write in upper case (reading lower-case words is easier).
Avoid one-word statements (your colleagues won’t know what you mean).
Include a noun and verb in each statement.
Don’t write an essay.

Once everyone has finished writing their sticky notes, maintain the silence and place them on the wall, as shown in the first part of Figure 2-3. Move the notes into appropriate themes or clusters (see the middle figure). Finally, give each theme or cluster a title describing its content (see the final figure). Ensure that each title provides enough description; doing so is helpful for when you move into the interrelationship diagram, shown in Figure 2-4.

An interrelationship diagram identifies the key causal factors or drivers for your programme or project, by enabling you to understand the relationships between the themes or clusters. In looking at the different pairs of clusters you’re trying to see if a cause and effect type of relationship exists, so does ‘this’ have to be done before ‘that’, or does ‘this’ drive ‘that’.

In Figure 2-4, the headers for the themes or clusters from the affinity diagram have been put into a clock face on a flip chart and you now work your way round looking at the relationship between each pair. As you do so, you need to consider whether a relationship exists or not, and, where it does, determine which has a greater effect on the other – for example, ‘must this happen before this does?’

If a relationship does exist between two clusters, connect them with a line. Importantly, either there is a relationship or there isn’t, so don’t use dotted lines – you might start with a pencil, though! It’s sensible to agree some ground rules to ensure the relationships are tangible.

After you’ve determined the ‘causal’ cluster, draw an arrow into the ‘effect’ cluster. Some discussion is likely to take place about which way the arrow faces, but it has to go one way or the other – two-headed arrows are not allowed! In Figure 2-4, you can see that ‘A’ drives ‘B’, but that ‘B’ is the driver of ‘C’. The numbers represent arrows out over arrows in.
Figure 2-3: Creating an affinity diagram.
The finished diagram can be presented as shown in Figure 2-5, and you can clearly see the key driver is ‘A’, whereas ‘E’ is probably the outcome of the project. You need to particularly focus on ‘A’ to ensure your project or programme is successful.

Throughout your project, developing a storyboard summary of the key decisions and outputs helps you review progress and share what you’ve learnt. A storyboard builds up as you work your way through your project by capturing the key outputs and findings from the DMAIC phases. A storyboard would include, for example, your improvement charter and process map (see Chapter 5). The storyboard also helps your communication activities. Developing and reviewing a communication plan is an essential activity. You really need to keep your team and the people affected by your project informed about the progress you’re making in solving the problem you’re tackling. Communication begins on day one of your project.
Part I: Lean Six Sigma Basics

**Measuring how the work is done**

After you’ve defined the problem, at least based on your current understanding, you need to clarify how, and how well, the work gets done. To understand the current situation of your process, knowing what it looks like and how it’s performing is important. You need to know what’s meant to happen, and why. Understanding how your process links to your customer and their CTQs is also helpful. What does the bigger picture of the process look like?

Knowing the current performance of your process is essential – this knowledge becomes your baseline – but knowing what’s happened in the past is also useful. Measure what’s important to the customer, and remember also to measure what the customer sees. Gathering this information can help focus your improvement efforts and prevent you going off in the wrong direction. Using control charts (see Chapter 7) can help you make better sense of the data, as they provide a visual picture that demonstrates performance and shows you the variation within the process. Importantly, control charts help you know when to take action and when not to by enabling you to identify the key signals so often hidden when data is presented as a page of numbers.

Lean Six Sigma projects can take longer than you might like because the right data isn’t in place in the day-to-day operation. So often, organisations have data coming out of their ears – but not the right data. You need to develop the right measures and start collecting the data you do need – which takes time.

**Tip**

Use the CTQs as the basis for getting the right process measures in place. Understanding how well you meet the CTQs is an essential piece of management information. Chapter 8 provides more detail on getting the right measures.

**Analysing your process**

In the Measure phase, you discovered what’s really happening in your process. Now you need to identify why it’s happening, and determine the root cause. You need to manage by fact, though, so you must verify and validate your ideas about possible suspects. Jumping to conclusions is all too easy.

Carrying out the Analyse phase properly helps you in determining the solution when you get to the Improve phase. Clearly, the extent of analysis required varies depending on the scope and nature of the problem you’re tackling, and, indeed, what your Measure activities have identified. Essentially, though, you’re analysing the process and the data.
Checking the possible causes of your problem using concrete data to verify your ideas is crucial. In checking the vital few, you may find the ‘usual suspects’ aren’t guilty at all! Identifying and removing the root causes of a problem prevents it happening again.

**Improving your process**

Most people want to start at this point. Now you’ve identified the root cause of the problem, you can begin to generate improvement ideas to help solve it. Improve, however, involves three distinct phases:

1. **Generate ideas about possible solutions.** The solution may be evident from the work done in the previous two steps. Make sure that your proposed solutions address the problem and its cause.

2. **Select the most appropriate solution.** Take account of the results from any testing or piloting, and the criteria you’ve identified as important, such as customer priorities, cost, speed or ease of implementation. Ensure your solution addresses the problem and that customers will see a difference if you adopt it.

3. **Plan and test the solution.** This step seeks to ensure the smooth implementation of your chosen solution. The main focus, though, is on prevention – causing something not to happen. Carrying out a more detailed pilot is likely to be helpful.

**Coming up with a control plan**

After all your hard work, you need to implement the solution in a way that ensures you make the gain you expected and hold onto it! If you’re to continue your efforts in reducing variation and cutting out waste, the changes being made to the process need to be consistently deployed and followed.

If the improvement team is handing over the ‘new’ process to the process team, the handover needs to ensure that everyone understands who’s responsible for what and when. Misunderstandings are all too easy and a clear cut-off point must exist signalling the end of the improvement team’s role. A control plan needs to be developed to ensure that the gain is secured and the new process effectively deployed – see Figure 2-6.
The *control plan* helps to ensure that the process is carried out consistently. It also identifies key points in the process where measurement data is needed; additionally highlighting what action is required depending on the results. Ensuring you have the right ongoing measures in place is extremely important.

Your various DMAIC projects help the organisation move towards a situation where processes are completely understood, and are focused on meeting customer CTQs involving the minimum possible non-value-added activity.

### Reviewing Your DMAIC Phases

Informal reviews on the progress of your improvement project on a weekly or even daily basis may be very sensible. These reviews involve the improvement team and the champion or sponsor.

But, as a minimum, you should conduct a formal tollgate review at the end of each DMAIC phase. A *tollgate review* checks that you have completed the current phase properly and reviews the team’s various outputs from it. The improvement team leader and the management sponsor or champion of the improvement activity should conduct this review. In effect, you’re passing through a tollgate.
Before moving from one phase to another, stepping back, assessing progress and asking some key questions is crucial. For example:

✓ How are things going? For example, is the team working well together?
✓ Are we on course?
✓ What have we discovered?
✓ What went well? Why?
✓ What conclusions can we draw?

The tollgates also provide an opportunity to update your improvement charter and storyboard. Doing so pulls together some of the key elements of your project; for example, a picture of the process and a control chart showing performance. The tollgate also enables you to take stock of the benefits accruing and the financial details; for example, reductions in errors, improvements in processing time and customer satisfaction. In determining the benefits and financial details, ensure you record the assumptions behind your estimates or calculations, as you may need to explain these to others in the organisation.

At the end of the Analyse phase, the review is of particular importance. It provides an opportunity to review the scope of your project, that is, how much improvement you’re seeking to achieve from it.

Before the project began, you may well have best-guessed a business case that justifies starting the work. By the end of this phase, you should be able to Quantify the Opportunity – to really understand the extent of non-value-adding activities and waste, and the potential for improvement. On completion of the Measure phase, you’re able to understand the current situation and level of performance. Following the Analyse phase, your level of understanding will have increased significantly and you understand the root cause of the problem:

✓ You know why performance is at the level it is.
✓ You understand the costs involved in the process, both overall and at the individual step level.
✓ You have identified the waste and the non-value-adding steps, including the extent of rework, and understood their impact on your ability to meet the CTQs.

In Quantifying the Opportunity, you first need to calculate the saving if all this waste and non-value-added work were eliminated, making sure you document your assumptions. You may feel the opportunity is too small to bother about, or so large it justifies either widening the scope of the project
or developing a phased approach, by breaking the task into several smaller projects, for example. Either way, review and agree your project goals now, sensibly estimating what is possible for your project.

The benefits are reviewed again closely following your completion of the Improve phase. You’re looking to confirm the deliverables from the project, and secure authority for the solution to be fully implemented. As with Quantify the Opportunity, the post-Improve review also provides an opportunity to look at the project more generally, and key questions include:

- Are we on course?
- What have we discovered? And forgotten?
- What went well? Why?
- Can we apply the solution elsewhere?
- What conclusions can we draw?

Confirming the benefits you expect to achieve is the main focus of this second benefits review; for example, in reduced rework or improved processing speeds. In completing the phase, you should feel confident that the chosen solution addresses the root cause of the identified problem, and ensures you meet the project goals. Management by fact is a key principle of Lean Six Sigma, so you should have appropriate measurement data and feel confident that your solution will deliver.

Quite a range of differing benefits may occur, including:

- Reduced errors and waste.
- Faster cycle time.
- Improved customer satisfaction.
- Reduced cost.

In assessing how well these benefits match the project objectives, bear in mind that quantifying the softer benefits of enhanced customer satisfaction may be difficult. And in projecting when the benefits are likely to emerge, don’t lose sight of the fact that a time gap will probably exist between the cause and effect, especially where customer satisfaction feedback and information is concerned.

As well as looking at the benefits, this review also confirms any costs associated with the solution and its implementation. The piloting or testing activity carried out in the Improve phase (see ‘Improving your process’ earlier in this chapter) should have helped you pull this information together, provided you treated it as though it were a full-scale implementation. Internal guidelines will
probably be available to help you assess and present the benefits and costs, but ensure you’ve documented the assumptions behind your benefits assessment.

A third and final benefit review follows the Control phase, enabling you to confirm the actual costs and benefits and whether any unexpected debits or credits have occurred. And you should know the answers to these questions:

✔ Do our customers feel there has been an improvement? How do we know?
✔ Can we take any of the ideas or ‘best practices’ and apply them elsewhere in the business?

This review is the formal post-implementation phase involving the project sponsor or champion. In some organisations you may find a wider team of managers forming a ‘project board’ or ‘steering committee’, which provides overall guidance for improvement teams and helps prevent duplication of effort with different teams tackling the same or similar problems. This review is likely to involve your team presenting their storyboard, as described in the ‘Defining your project’ section, earlier in this chapter.

Taking time for these reviews and tollgates is an important element in developing a culture that manages by fact. Maintaining an up-to-date storyboard as you work your way through the DMAIC phases helps you prepare for the reviews and share discoveries. The storyboard is created by the team and should present the important elements of its work – the key outputs from the DMAIC process.

Taking a Pragmatic Approach

Six Sigma and DMAIC have been criticised by some for being too complex, and for projects taking too long. Be pragmatic. Projects need to take as long as is appropriate and often only a few simple tools and techniques are needed to secure quick and successful improvements.

Some say that Lean doesn’t always ensure a systematic and controlled approach to achieving and holding on to improvement gains – this is where the Control phase of DMAIC is so important. For relatively straightforward problems, rapid improvement events can be utilised. These can be run in one-week sessions – sometimes known as Kaizen blitz events. Kaizen is the Japanese word for continuous improvement; it means ‘change for the better’. The implementation of the improvement may take a further month or so, and some pre-event planning and data collection is necessary.
**Wax on, wax off: Lean Six Sigma and martial arts**

The different levels of training in Lean Six Sigma are often referred to in terms of the coloured belts acquired in martial arts. Think about the qualities of a martial arts Black Belt: highly trained, experienced, disciplined, decisive, controlled and responsive, and you can see how well this metaphor translates into the world of making change happen in organisations. Thankfully, no bricks need to be broken in half by hand . . .

- Some organisations develop a pool of **Yellow Belts**, who typically receive two days of practical training to a basic level on the most commonly used tools in Lean Six Sigma projects. They work either as project team members or carry out mini-projects themselves in their local work environment under the guidance of a Black Belt.

- **Green Belts** are trained on the basic tools and lead fairly straightforward projects. The extent of training varies somewhat. In the USA, for example, it’s typically 10 days, whereas in the UK some organisations break the training along the following lines – Foundation Green Belt level (four to six days’ training) covers Lean tools, process mapping techniques and measurement, as well as a firm grounding in the DMAIC methodology and the basic set of statistical tools. Advanced Green Belts (an additional six days’ training) receive further instruction on more analytical statistical tools and start to use statistical software. This helps ensure the training is delivered ‘just in time’, since early projects can be relatively simple, often involving an assessment of how the work gets done, enabling the identification and elimination of non-value-added steps, without the need for detailed statistical analysis.

Green Belts typically devote the equivalent of about a day a week (20 per cent of their time) to Lean Six Sigma projects, usually mentored by a Black Belt.

- An expert Lean Six Sigma practitioner is trained to **Black Belt** level, which means attending several modules of training over a period of months. Most Black Belt courses involve around 20 days of full-time training as well as working on projects in practice, under the guidance of a Master Black Belt. The role of the Black Belt is to lead complex projects and provide expert help with the tools and techniques to the project teams.

Black Belts are often from different operational functions across the company, coming into the Black Belt team from customer service, finance, marketing or HR, for example. The Black Belt role is usually full-time, often for a term of two to three years, after which they return to operations. In effect, they become internal consultants working on improving the way the organisation works; changing the organisational systems and processes for the better.

- The **Master Black Belt (MBB)**, another full-time role, receives the highest level of training and becomes a full-time professional Lean Six Sigma expert. The MBB will have extensive project management experience and should be fully familiar with the importance of the soft skills needed to manage change. An experienced MBB is likely to want to take on this role as a long-term career path, becoming a trainer, coach or deployment advisor, and working with senior executives to ensure the overall Lean Six Sigma programme is aligned to the
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These events bring together the powerful concepts of Kaizen to involve people in continuously seeking to improve performance within the framework of DMAIC. That improvement comes from focusing on how the work gets done and how well.

Rapid improvement events can also be run as a series of half- or one-day workshops, over a period of five or six weeks. They follow the DMAIC framework, and particular emphasis is placed on the Define and Control phases. So, for example, the first workshop focuses on getting a clear definition of the problem to be tackled (Define), and so on. The aim is to tackle a closely scoped bite-sized problem using the expertise of the people actually involved in that process to solve it. They’ll need someone with Lean Six Sigma experience as a facilitator, as they may need help using some of the tools and techniques required (for example, value stream maps – see Chapter 5). So often, the people doing the job know what’s needed to put things right. You may well find that the solution is already known by the team, but historically they haven’t been listened to. Implementation of the solution can thus be actioned quickly, much of it during the actual event. Rapid improvement events can provide the people doing the job with the opportunity to use their skills and knowledge.

In terms of time, the short duration of rapid improvement events compares to perhaps four months part-time in a traditional DMAIC project, though the actual team hours may be similar.

As with a traditional DMAIC project, the Control phase is vital to ensure the improvement gain is maintained. Typical Lean improvement activities often neglect it.
Part II
Working with Lean Six Sigma

The 5th Wave
By Rich Tennant

“I understand you’ve found a system to reduce the number of complaints we receive by 50 percent.”
In this part . . .

Throughout the book, we keep encouraging you to ask yourself how and why things are done. What's the purpose of your products and services and the processes that support them?

Ideally, you do certain things to meet the requirements of your customers, but you need to know who they are, or who they might be.

This part focuses on identifying your various and often quite different customers, seeing how you can determine their requirements, and showing how to use this information to form the basis of the measurement set for your processes.

In doing so, you need to take a brief look at some process basics, too. By drawing a process or value stream map, or indeed both you can see what the process really looks like, and understand who does what, when, where, and why.

In a nutshell, you're developing a picture of your customers and the processes that seek to meet their requirements.
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Identifying Your Customers

In This Chapter

▶ Finding out the fundamentals of processes
▶ Engaging with internal and external customers
▶ Creating a map of your processes

All organisations have a whole range of different customers – internal and external, large and small. Each organisation’s processes should be designed and managed in a way that meets their customers’ various needs. In this chapter we help you understand some process fundamentals necessary to focusing on your customers and their requirements.

Understanding the Process Basics

A process is a series of steps and actions that produce an output in the form of a product or a service. Ideally, each process should add value in the eyes of the customer.

All work is a process, and a process is a blend of PEMME:

✔ **People:** Those working in or around the process. Do you have the right number in the right place, at the right time and possessing the right skills for the job? And do they feel supported and motivated?

✔ **Equipment:** The various items needed for the work. Items can be as simple as a stapler or as complicated as a lathe used in manufacturing. Consider whether you have the right equipment, located in an appropriate and convenient place, and being properly maintained and serviced.

✔ **Method:** How the work needs to be actioned – the process steps, procedures, tasks and activities involved.
✓ **Materials:** The things necessary to do the work – for example, the raw materials needed to make a product.

✓ **Environment:** Covers the working area, where perhaps a room or surface needs to be dust-free, or where room temperature must be within defined parameters.

Focusing on PEMME helps you think differently when considering what a process actually is. (All the elements of PEMME also combine to influence the results from your processes in relation to variation – as covered in Chapter 7.)

PEMME tends to be the recognised format for considering processes, but the importance of ‘information’ should never be overlooked, and the extension of PEMME to PEMMIE can be helpful, especially when you are developing a value stream map – see Chapter 5.

**Pinpointing the elements of a process**

The concept of processes and PEMME applies to everything you do, from getting up in the morning, to making a cup of tea, to paying a bill. All of these activities can be broken down into a series of steps. The process model shown in Figure 3-1 has PEMME at its heart (the ‘process’), but it also builds on PEMME and helps you think about the wider requirements of the process. To meet your customers’ requirements (the CTQs – Critical to Quality – see Chapters 2 and 4), the process elements must be addressed.

---

**Figure 3-1:**
Using a process model.
Ensuring that the CTQs are understood and agreed on is the first requirement of a process. More often than not, a lack of quality or rework is the direct result of not defining the customer’s requirements properly. Even on apparently simple things, a little extra time spent in translating the voice of the customer and clarifying requirements can help save time and potential upset later on. Once the customer’s requirements have been agreed, determining your own requirements from suppliers is the next step. Now you’re the customer, so spend time with your suppliers to ensure your needs are properly understood and agreed.

Make sure you have the right number of people working in the process, and that they have the necessary knowledge and skills. If they don’t, appropriate training needs to be delivered.

You need procedures, too. These should describe precisely how the work gets done – the method – and must be developed, agreed, appropriately documented and kept up to date, especially in a culture of continuous improvement, where enhancements are regularly being made to the process. Importantly, they should be simple to follow and understand. Clear language and diagrams help ensure they’re used to good effect.

Properly describing relevant standards is also sensible. They may well form part of the method, applying, for example, to regulatory requirements or service-level agreements that need to be followed. Like procedures, the standards should be documented in an easily accessible manner. Similarly, if budget constraints or authority limits on certain actions apply, management must ensure the people in the process know the details.

Equipment and facilities are needed to operate the process, and need to be capable of meeting or exceeding the customer requirements. These must be appropriate from day one, located in the right place and correctly maintained thereafter. Also ensure the environment is appropriate for the activity. The facilities link to the environment element of PEMME and could include having the right workspace, for example.

**Identifying internal and external customers**

All of your processes are likely to involve other people. Some of them probably work in your organisation – your internal customers and suppliers. They’re the people involved in the different steps of your process – they may be members of your team or department, but could also be in other departments or functions. An internal supplier provides you with the inputs you
need to start your work; for example, information, perhaps a schedule of available products, or an approved order. You’re their internal customer.

Knowing who the internal customers and suppliers are, and how they fit into the picture, is important, because together you form the ‘end-to-end’ process, the value stream that ultimately provides the external customer with the service or product they’re looking for. The external customer is someone outside of your organisation. They look to you to meet their requirements and pay you accordingly.

Consider Figure 3-2. Department A produces output for Department B, which produces output for Department C, which provides the answer to an external customer enquiry. Each of these departments is involved in the process and needs to understand the objectives of the ‘big process’.

![Figure 3-2: Identifying your internal customers.](image)

All too often, departments work in a vacuum, doing their own thing without regard for the impact of the end-to-end process. They may have their own targets, measures and priorities, for example. Possibly, the end-to-end process or value stream isn’t even known; each team or department involved works as though their step in the process is independent of any others. In reality, the end-to-end process is a series of interdependent steps.

Internal customers and suppliers must understand their relationship and their different roles. If they don’t, the external customers will experience poor service – the very people who should be viewed as the most important because they’re paying an organisation for the services or products they provide.

Even if you’re not directly dealing with the external customer, you’re quite likely to be dealing with someone who is. So, understanding the bigger picture is important, and meeting the requirements of your internal customers could well be the key to successfully meeting the external customers’ CTQs. This is where QFD (Quality Function Deployment) is helpful. QFD establishes a clear link between each process requirement and the end customer, making
it easier for each employee to see the role that they play in meeting customer requirements (we cover QFD in Chapter 12).

**Getting a High-Level Picture**

To really understand how the work gets done, and to identify just who the internal and external customers are, you need to draw a picture of the process. These pictures are known as *process or value stream maps* (covered in detail in Chapter 5). Often, however, organisations map their processes in minute detail. You can get lost in too much information. Keep it simple.

Also, the pictures or process maps tend to be based on what people think is happening in their organisation rather than on the reality of the *Gemba* – ‘the place where the work gets done’ (see Chapter 5 for more on this concept).

Before developing a process map, recognising that different process levels exist in an organisation is important. Right at the top of an organisation are some very high-level processes, such as ‘business development’. These Level 1 processes break down into a number of sub-processes. Level 2 and 3 processes gradually increase the amount of detail. You move from the high-level ‘what’ to the increasingly detailed ‘how’. Levels 4 or 5 cover the step-by-step procedural tasks and elements.

Our example in Figure 3-3 has ‘business development’ at Level 1 and shows the various sub-processes down to Level 3.
Figure 3-4 provides another example of Level 1 processes.

**Figure 3-4:**
The APQC generic process framework.

(©1992–2008 APQC. All rights reserved. www.apqc.org/pcf)

**Drawing a high-level process map**

A high-level process map provides a framework to help you understand your process and its customers and suppliers better, and to think about what needs to be measured in the process to help you understand performance and opportunities for improvement (covered in Chapters 6 and 7). Figure 3-5 shows the SIPOC model. SIPOC stands for:
✓ **Suppliers:** The people, departments or organisations that provide you with the 'inputs' needed to operate the process. When they send you an enquiry or order form, the external customer is also included as a supplier in your process. Suppliers also include regulatory bodies providing information, and companies providing you with equipment or raw materials.

✓ **Inputs:** Forms or information, equipment or raw materials, or even the people you need to carry out the work. For people, the supplier may be the human resources department or an employment agency.

✓ **Process:** In the SIPOC diagram, the P presents a picture of the process steps at a relatively high level, usually Levels 2 or 3, as referenced in Figure 3-3.

✓ **Outputs:** A list of the things that your process provides to the internal and external customers in seeking to meet their CTQs. Your outputs will become inputs to their processes.

✓ **Customers:** The different internal and external customers who'll receive your various process outputs.

---

**Figure 3-5:** The SIPOC model.

The SIPOC model identifies your customers and the outputs they need, presents a high-level process map, usually comprising four to seven, or at most eight steps, identifies your suppliers and confirms your input requirements from them.

The customer can also be a supplier, particularly of information needed by you.
In many ways, SIPOC should really be called COPIS, because when you create the diagram you start with the customer on the right-hand side of the model, before listing the outputs that go to them. Well, you almost start with the customer. First, you and the process team need to agree a start and stop point for the process, so that everyone in the team is clear about the parameters.

For example, your process may start with the external customer sending you an order form for one of your products and end when the product has been sent to them or you've issued an invoice. Once the process parameters are agreed, you next consider the customer – which is easier if you have a clear understanding of their CTQs.

The best way to create your SIPOC diagram is to gather your team around a large sheet of paper and follow these steps:

1. **List all the different customers involved.** Include both internal and external customers, for example ‘Management’, who may need reports or information. Consider third parties, such as regulatory bodies, where relevant. Segment external customers by the different outputs they receive.

2. **List all the outputs you send your customers.** Now you have your list of customers, what is it that you need to provide them with? Under the ‘O’ for outputs, make a list of the things you send them. Drawing arrows showing who receives what output can be useful.

3. **Set out the steps in the process.** Use sticky notes to construct a high-level picture of the process. Typically, it involves four to eight steps. Don’t go beyond eight steps as you’ll be dealing with too much detail, too soon (Chapter 5 covers process and value stream mapping in detail, but the map starts here in the P of SIPOC).

Involving the step-by-step procedures of a process in your SIPOC diagram can make you lose your way in the various twists and turns that can occur. As a result, you may not be able to see the non-value-added steps. Spotting unnecessary activities is easier with high-level process documentation tools such as the SIPOC.

In Figure 3-5, the start and stop points are represented with the oval shapes, the process steps with the rectangular boxes, and points in the process involving questions with the diamond shapes. Diamonds are decision points, for example showing the need to do something different if you are dealing with product A or product B, or where different authority levels might come into operation in underwriting a loan, perhaps based on the loan value. Diamonds tend to be not so relevant in the SIPOC diagram, though there will be times when you need to use them. In Figure 3–3, we have included one purely for reference.
4. **List all the inputs you receive.** Include order forms and information, but also consider equipment or even personnel, depending on whether this is a new or existing process.

5. **Identify where all your inputs come from.** Under the ‘S’ for supplier, list the sources of all your inputs. Remember that some of your customers will also be your suppliers.

SIPOC provides a helpful checklist, identifying who your customers are and the outputs that go to them. It highlights areas where greater clarity is needed, especially in relation to requirements and outputs. It also helps you focus on what needs to be measured; for example, how well are you delivering the outputs to your customers, and how well are your suppliers meeting your requirements of them? (Measurement is covered in more detail in Chapters 6 and 8, with Chapter 7 focusing on how you present your data and understand variation in your results.)

Creating a SIPOC process map provides an opportunity to begin thinking about the various elements involved in your process, whether you have all the information you need, and if segmenting your customers is necessary.

**Segmenting customers**

In developing your SIPOC process map, you need to identify your customers and the outputs that go to them. Possibly, you classify or segment your customers in some way, for example by size or geographical location.

Think carefully about these different customers. Do they actually have different CTQs? Will the process outputs be the same for each segment, or will these vary to some degree?

We look at segmentation in a little more detail in Chapter 4, but ensure your SIPOC map and the thinking that accompanies it takes appropriate account of your different customer segments.
In focusing on our customers, we’re looking to provide value for them. Amongst other things, this means the right products and services, at the right time, the right price and the right quality. And, of course, in the right place.

Throughout this book we make several references to the ‘voice of the customer’ and to CTQs (Critical To Quality requirements). The voice of the customer (VOC) helps you understand customer requirements. This expression describes information coming from the customer, perhaps through market research or face-to-face discussion, which enables you to determine your customers’ CTQs and what value means to them. The CTQs are vital elements in Lean Six Sigma, providing you with the basis to assess how well you’re performing in meeting your customers’ requirements. This chapter looks at how to obtain the VOC and develop the CTQs.

Can You Kano?

In striving to understand customers’ requirements and their perception of value, it’s useful to understand the Kano model, as shown in Figure 4-1.
Developed by Professor Kano at the University of Tokyo, the model looks at customer requirements and helps you understand how your customers will perceive the service and products you provide. The Kano model involves three main categories:

- **Must-bes**: These are sometimes referred to as the unspoken customer requirements. To the customer, they’re so obviously required that they don’t expect to have to spell them out. Meeting these requirements will not increase customer satisfaction; they’re the absolute minimum the customer is expecting – miss them at your peril. The Must-be requirements are also sometimes referred to as ‘dissatisfiers’.

- **One-dimensionals**: The more of these requirements that are met, the higher the customer satisfaction. The requirements might relate to product features or elements of service delivery, or both. One-dimensionals are sometimes referred to as ‘satisfiers’.

- **Delighters**: Here, the customer is surprised and delighted by something you’ve done, a wow factor, and satisfaction will increase, even if some other elements haven’t been delivered as well as they might.

Do remember, though, that over time things will change. A one-dimensional satisfier will become a must-be and delighters will become one-dimensionals.

Let’s put all of this information into a context. What are your must-be requirements when your car is serviced? For me (John), I like to feel certain that the service has been carried out correctly – the oil, plugs and filters changed, and so on. Some years ago that would have been all I’d have expected and hoped for. But delighters started to appear. The car was cleaned inside and out!
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The garage offered to collect and return the car or provide a courtesy car while mine was being serviced. Other garages offered a while-you-wait service with complimentary coffee and biscuits.

Very quickly, these delighters became one-dimensional items. And, for some customers, they became must-be requirements! The model is dynamic. But, bear in mind that other customers will also exist who only want a basic, no-frills service. They’ll see no value in the extras they’re paying for, albeit in the hidden costs linked to the service charge. Understanding your different customer segments is vital if you are to deliver value and meet their CTQs (see Chapter 2).

Obtaining the Voice of the Customer

We find out what our customers want by talking with, listening to and observing them. Lots of sources of the customer’s voice exist, such as market research results, focus group discussions, survey results, hits on your organisation’s website and customer complaints. The trick is to translate what the customer says into a measurable requirement – the Critical To Quality (CTQ) customer requirement. You gather input from your customers in order to understand their needs, identify the key issues and translate them into terms that mean something to your organisation and that you can measure.

Listening to the Voice of Customer (VOC) is about determining your customer requirements, not determining solutions to meet those requirements – it isn’t about jumping to conclusions about what they mean, either!

Doing things properly usually involves a number of conversations with your customer, the process owner and all the other people involved in the process. The process owner is the person responsible for the process, for example a manager or director; she needs to ensure the process is designed and managed to meet the CTQs so she must understand what the customer is saying.

On occasion, the customer may not be totally clear about her requirements. Reflect back your interpretations to ensure they’re correct.

Taking an outside-in view

Many organisations assume they know what their customers want – but this isn’t always the case. Even when you try to be objective, the fact that you know your products and services so well, and understand your workplace’s jargon, means seeing things from your customers’ perspective is actually quite difficult.
Customers aren’t all the same. They come in all sorts of different shapes and sizes, and your customers have different requirements, even for the ‘same’ product or service. Identifying the different customer segments that your organisation deals with, and recognising that each segment may have different CTQs, is essential. For example, a small company may be happy to receive your products on a monthly basis, whereas a larger business may need daily deliveries of those same products.

**Segmenting your customers**

Grouping your customers into segments helps you see your customers’ different requirements. By segmenting them, you can develop the right products and services for each group, and create specific measures that help you understand your performance in meeting their differing requirements. To help you segment your customers, list some categories that describe both your current customers and the people or organisations that you consider to be potential customers. You might also look at past customers in this way, too.

Consider the following segmentation categories in relation to your customers:

- Industry
- Size
- Spend
- Geographical location
- End use
- Product characteristics
- Buying characteristics
- Price/cost sensitivity
- Age
- Gender
- Socio-economic factors
- Frequency of purchase/use
- Impact/opinion leader
- Loyalty
- Channel
- Technology
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Naturally, you need to determine the categories that are relevant to your own organisation, but this list provides a good starting point. If appropriate, you can also create umbrella customer segments to include, for example frequency of purchase and spend.

**Prioritising your customers**

Every customer is important, but some customers use your services more frequently or are more critical to your business than others. You may need to devote more time and resources to these particular customers.

Italian economist Vilfredo Pareto developed the idea of the 80:20 rule, when he described how 80 per cent of the wealth of his country was in the hands of 20 per cent of the population. Your organisation may well have a ‘vital few’ customers, perhaps 20 per cent who provide you with 80 per cent of your margin. If that’s the case, those customers are very important to the ongoing success of your business and understanding their requirements and their perceptions of your performance in some detail is crucial.

You could prioritise by customer segment, but you need to understand how best to prioritise your customers for your organisation. For many organisations, the priority may be revenue, but more sensibly it should be profit. As your understanding of your customers increases, you’ll find it easier to determine your organisation’s priorities.

Truly focusing on customers, as opposed to simply saying you are, requires real investment in understanding your customers’ needs. Knowing who your customers are, how they’re segmented and which ones are your priority is a vital prerequisite to your research and data gathering. Identifying the wrong customers, or not being aware of the different segments, can mean you collect information on requirements or customers that aren’t related to the process or service you’re designing.

Your customers may be both internal and external. Thinking in terms of processes helps you identify where you need to focus, and highlights who your internal customers are.

**Researching the Requirements**

Researching your customers follows a natural progression. You start with potentially no information about customers, and end up with a collection of quantified, prioritised customer needs and expectations. You might also gain information about how your competitors succeed in meeting their – and your! – customers’ needs.
Start by investigating what information you already have and then determine the ‘gaps’ in your customer information. For example, if your proposed product or service is a variation on something you’ve done before, you may have a lot of information in your organisation’s files. Likewise, if one of your competitors already offers the product or service, you may find some useful industry information.

You then need to develop a customer research plan that moves you from where you are right now to where you need or want to be – you close the ‘gaps’. Use Table 4-1 to help you determine the sequencing of your research plan.

Table 4-1  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Research Method</th>
<th>Output: What You Get</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No information</td>
<td>Interview/focus group</td>
<td>Customer wants and needs (general ideas, unprioritised, not clarified, all qualitative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is important?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known preliminary customer wants and needs</td>
<td>Interview/focus group</td>
<td>Customer wants and needs (clarified, more specific, preliminary prioritisation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Which are most important?</td>
<td>Customer input to list of competitors, best-in-class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative, prioritised customer wants and needs</td>
<td>Survey Face-to-face Written mail Telephone Electronic</td>
<td>Quantified prioritised customer wants and needs Competitor comparative information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-1 helps you think about the information you currently have, and the information you need to get and how to go about getting it.

If you have no information to begin with, the first step is interviewing some representative customers, perhaps in a focus group, to help you understand what’s important to them in the service or product you’re offering. You should be able to capture some general ideas about their wants and needs; though these are unlikely to be totally clear, and you probably won’t be able to prioritise them yet. This first step helps you determine your customers’ preliminary needs and enables you to now undertake some more detailed interviews or focus groups.
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The second row in Table 4-1 shows how more detailed analysis should lead you to a clearer picture of customer requirements, and at least a preliminary prioritisation of them. This stage also gives you the opportunity to ask the customers about their experience of your competitors.

With this clear picture, you can move to the third row in the table. Your interviews and focus groups will have been run with a relatively small number of customers. This approach is described as qualitative research. You now need to test their views and opinions by conducting research with a larger number of customers. This approach is described as quantitative research, involving a survey.

Quantitative research is important – it enables you to feel confident that you have a true picture of customer wants and needs. The results from the qualitative research may have been skewed in some way if you had inadvertently included unrepresentative customers. For example, you may have carried out research on a product aimed at the high end of the market, but interviewed customers from a different market segment by mistake. The same issue can occur where customers fail to respond to your survey. Is there a particular reason why they haven’t, but others have? This situation is known as ‘non-response bias’ and can be just as troublesome.

As you plan your research, be aware of the following issues:

- The customer may offer you a ‘solution’ rather than express her real needs. Ask the customer ‘Why do you want this?’ until you truly understand the real need.

  By asking its customers to express their real wants, Xerox refocused its entire business on its customers’ need for documents rather than just photocopiers.

- Different customers may perceive the same product or service differently. For example, a shirt that shows off the designer’s logo may command a premium price over a similar article without the logo – but only for some customers.

  Ford offers the ‘Mercury’ version of many of its cars to appeal to its more luxury-conscious customers, while Toyota uses the slogan ‘In pursuit of perfection’ to describe its Lexus brand.

- Remember that how your customers say they’ll use a product or service isn’t always the same as how they actually use it!

- External customers generally express effectiveness needs – needs that relate to the value the customer receives from the product or service. Internal customers, on the other hand, tend to express efficiency needs – needs that relate to the amount of resources allocated or consumed in meeting customers’ needs.


**Interviewing your customers**

Customer interviews are helpful as a research technique. The aims of customer interviews are to understand a specific customer’s needs and requirements, values and points of view on service issues, product/service attributes and performance indicators/measures. Customer interviews are useful and enable you to explore issues with them during your customer research:

- At the beginning, to learn what is important to customers, which supports the development of hypotheses about customer values.
- In the middle, to clarify points or to better understand why a particular issue is important to customers.
- At the end, to clarify findings, to get ideas and suggestions, or to test ideas with customers.

The advantages of customer interviews are:

- **Flexibility** – you can obtain more detailed explanations by probing and clarifying.
- **Greater complexity** – you can administer highly complex questionnaires/surveys and can explain questions to interviewees.
- **Able to reach all customer types** – you can interview populations that are difficult or impossible to reach by other methods.
- **High response rate** – the degree to which the information collection process reaches all targets is higher.
- **Assurance that instructions are followed** – because the interview is taking place in person, you can ensure that all steps are followed.

The disadvantages of customer interviews are:

- They’re costly to administer.
- They’re the least reliable form of data collection because the interviewer may influence the responses to the questionnaire.
- Less anonymity is possible.
- Interview time is limited to 15–20 minutes (business-to-business customer interviews are generally of 45–50 minutes’ duration).
- Generating supportable quantitative evidence can be difficult.
- Results can be difficult to analyse.
The sample size may not be sufficient to draw supportable conclusions.

Use of different interviewers asking questions in a particular way may result in bias (see the ‘Avoiding Bias’ section later in this chapter).

Positive response bias may occur, whereby people give higher ratings in personal interviews.

Ask open questions to get the interviewee talking, rather than asking a series of closed questions that simply elicit a yes or no response. The key is to listen to what the customer is saying – their responses often provide the answers to some of the specific issues you need information on.

**Focusing on focus groups**

Focus groups are interviews, usually involving between six to ten people at the same time in the same group. Typically, they run for two to three or four hours. Focus groups are a powerful means to capture views and opinions, to evaluate services or test new ideas, or (in the context of this chapter) to clarify and prioritise customer requirements.

Essentially, a focus group is a carefully planned discussion designed to obtain perceptions on a defined area of interest in a non-threatening environment. Listening to the members of the focus group is key!

The focus group participants should share characteristics that relate to the focus group topic; all being in the same customer segment, for example. To avoid bias, running more than one focus group is sensible; run three as a minimum.

Focus groups are used:

- To clarify and define customer needs.
- To gain insights into the prioritisation of needs.
- To test concepts and receive feedback.
- As a next step after customer interviews or a preliminary step in a survey process.

The participants will be asked to thoroughly discuss very few topics, and often only three or four questions will be asked during the focus group. These will be very general questions, such as ‘what do you find important in service delivery, generally?’ or ‘what is it that makes you feel you have received good service or bad service?’
Focus groups aim to get the participants talking and you listening, ideally recording the discussion for subsequent analysis. Table 4-2 lists the advantages and disadvantages of using focus groups.

**Table 4-2 Pros and Cons of Using Focus Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Focus Groups When:</th>
<th>Don’t Use Focus Groups When:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You need to make or confirm market segmentation decisions</td>
<td>The environment is emotionally charged and more information of any type is likely to intensify the conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotheses about the market and customer values need to be developed or tested in exploratory or preliminary studies</td>
<td>Highly valid, quantitative data is needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A communication gap appears to exist between your company and the market segment</td>
<td>Other methodologies can produce either better quality or more economical information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insight is needed into customer perceptions of potentially complicated topics where their opinions and attitudes may influence your course of action, perhaps in launching a new product</td>
<td>The researcher cannot ensure the confidentiality of sensitive information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergy among individuals would be useful in creating ideas</td>
<td>You’re trying to sell products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotheses need to be developed in preparation for a broad survey or large-scale study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A higher value is placed on capturing open-ended comments than data from the target audience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Considering customer surveys**

You can run customer surveys in a number of ways, including by postal questionnaire, or electronically by email or the Internet. They enable you to measure the importance or performance of customer needs and requirements. You can check out your focus group findings with a larger group of customers.

The pros and cons of customer surveys are shown in Table 4-3.
Using observations

Observations are another way of identifying your customers’ needs and CTQs. Observing a customer is an effective way to understand how that customer uses and views your products and services. For example, by observing the purchasing patterns of customers, supermarkets can strategically position the products in their store to increase sales. Key products appealing to elderly customers are positioned on middle shelves so they don’t have to bend or stretch to reach them. The CTQs for this particular customer segment have then been met.

Toyota allocates some of its engineers to ride as passengers in customers’ cars, enabling them to observe their customers’ driving first-hand. One result of such observations was the introduction of drink holders in Toyota’s cars. The engineers observed children in the back seats holding drinks but having nowhere to put them. The inclusion of drink holders may not have increased sales as such, but they’re now a standard requirement for most buyers, and their inclusion in a car as ‘standard’ helps maintain or enhance the general level of customer satisfaction. Drink holders are a good example of Kano in practice, where their introduction was a delighter or wow factor, but their inclusion in cars and vans quickly became a ‘must be’.

| **Table 4-3: Pros and Cons of Customer Surveys** |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Advantages**  | **Disadvantages** |
| Low cost        | Low rate of return |
| Efficiency of large samples | Non-responsive bias |
| Ready access to hard-to-reach respondents | Little control |
| No interviewer bias (though beware of the questions) | Limitations on questions |
| Potential for exhibits | Potential misunderstanding of questions or rating scale |
| High reliability and validity | Oversimplification of format |
|                   | Slowness – requires development |
|                   | Requires pre-testing |
|                   | Difficulty of obtaining names |
Avoiding Bias

Whichever approach you take to collecting voice of customer (VOC) information (see the earlier sections in this chapter), you need to recognize the potential for bias. Possibly you may ask the wrong questions, or ask the wrong customers because you haven’t segmented them properly, or simply misinterpret, deliberately or otherwise, what the customer has said.

You need to really listen to what the customer says – not to what you think they’re saying or you’d like them to say! In a focus group, asking very open questions is best. Then make sure you listen to the responses. Leading customers through a series of closed questions results in closed answers, which are then open to interpretation and bias.

On questionnaires, the wording of questions is vital. Each question should ask only one question. So, for example, you might ask the customer to rate your performance on a scale of one to five, where one is poor and five is super. If you pose a question such as, ‘How well do you feel we perform in terms of speed and accuracy?’, you may receive a response relating to only speed or accuracy, not both.

The fictional British television series Yes, Prime Minister provides a great example of how asking closed questions can lead to two different answers to the question: ‘Would you be in favour of reintroducing National Service?’ In the first survey, the questions include ‘Are you worried about the number of young people without jobs?’, ‘Are you worried about the rise in crime among teenagers?’, and ‘Do you think young people welcome some authority and leadership in their lives?’

Given the previous questions, the respondent is almost obliged to say yes to reintroducing National Service. The bias is increased if the results are published without reference to the previous questions.

Asking a different set of questions elicits the opposite response. Questions include ‘Are you worried about the danger of war and the growth of armaments?’, ‘Do you think there’s a danger in giving young people guns and teaching them to kill?’ and ‘Do you think it’s wrong to force people to take up arms against their will?’ This time the respondent can only answer that they oppose the reintroduction of National Service.

Beware of the huge potential for bias, be it innocently introduced or deliberately created.
Considering Critical To Quality Customer Requirements

When you’ve collected the VOC information (see the earlier sections of this chapter), you need to develop the CTQs. Write the CTQs in a measurable form: they provide the basis for your process measurement set. This set will enable you to put the right measures in place to assess your performance (see Chapter 6).

CTQs help you focus on your customer requirements and provide the foundation for your measurement data. Figure 4-2 provides a framework to help you define your CTQs. You can identify two key issues – getting through to the right person and speed. Looking at the first example, the CTQ for getting through to the right person first time is straightforward to understand and to measure, but to define the CTQ covering speed you need to go back to the customer and agree what ‘quickly’ means. You might then define a second measurable requirement as ‘The call is answered within 10 seconds’.

Without this type of data, you won’t know how you’re performing in meeting the customer requirements – information that determines where improvement actions are required.

A CTQ shouldn’t prescribe a solution. A CTQ should be measurable and, where appropriate, have upper and lower specification limits and a target value. A CTQ should be a positive statement about what the customer wants rather than a negative statement about what the customer doesn’t want.

Say you agree an arrival time window for a customer’s boiler to be repaired. The upper specification limit might be midday, the lower specification 8 a.m and the target time 10 a.m., for example. So you aim to be there for 10 a.m. plus or minus two hours, but, of course, you also aim to repair the boiler and restore heat for the customer!
### Voice of the Customer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>You either put me on hold, or put me through to the wrong department or person</th>
<th>The customer wants to be put through quickly to the right person</th>
<th>• Customer gets through to the correct person the first time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You send me an invoice at different times of the month</td>
<td>Consistent monthly billing</td>
<td>• Customer bill received same day of the month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It takes too long to process my mortgage application and get me the money when it’s needed</td>
<td>Speed up loan so I get the money on time</td>
<td>• Customer receives cheque on customer request date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4-2:** Determining the CTQs.

The affinity diagram (see Figure 2-3 in Chapter 2) provides a useful format for sorting VOC information into themes. These themes can then be broken down into more detailed elements, as a CTQ tree, as shown in Figure 4-3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First level</th>
<th>Second level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friendly staff</td>
<td>Willing to answer question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shows respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable staff</td>
<td>Knows the loan process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knows the market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Understands my situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>Money when I need it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Application fast to fill out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurate</td>
<td>Don’t make mistakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Give me the right rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4-3:** Developing a CTQ tree.

The example in Figure 4-3 is from a bank that has taken the various customer statements and comments from a survey and sorted them into themes using an affinity diagram. The high-level themes are shown in the first level; the second level shows some of the comments within the theme. These comments break down into another level of detail (rather like the branches on a tree) to ensure the requirements are properly understood – we thus have a ‘CTQ tree’.
Chapter 4: Understanding Your Customers’ Needs

When you develop CTQs, you can usually group customer requirements under common sets of headings. We do this, and show a selection of examples and potential measures, in Table 4-4.

This common list allows you to structure the process of gathering requirements and reduces the risk of you missing a CTQ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4-4 Some Common CTQs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CTQ grouping</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTQ grouping</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>No customers or staff injured on company premises</td>
<td>Score on monthly safety audit, so, for example, number of injuries, near misses, and time between these incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>Data Protection Act</td>
<td>Complies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumer Credit Act</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and Safety Act</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Very difficult to defraud the company either from within or externally</td>
<td>Customers’ or suppliers’ risk rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Score on six-monthly security audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum Risk Priority Number (RPN) rating from a Failure Modes Effects Analysis (FMEA) – a prevention technique covered in Chapter 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time since last FMEA performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money</td>
<td>Cost of supplies</td>
<td>Ratio of size of order in cost terms to customer’s risk rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissions paid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limits on size of order</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>Staff need to be adequately trained</td>
<td>Approval review scores on requirements documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need access to key staff to assist with requirements, design, testing and so on</td>
<td>Approval review scores on design documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All functions properly consulted on requirements and participate fully in requirements review and design reviews</td>
<td>Scores employees achieved on post-training exams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hours worked on project with day job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employees’ scores on quarterly HR questionnaire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Establishing the Real CTQs

Interpreting a customer’s wants and needs into an appropriate and realistic CTQ that can be measured presents a big challenge. Often, customers jump to preconceived solutions and prescribe those solutions as part of their requirements. If you take these customers’ requirements literally, several problems can occur, including missing their real requirements. The product or service you provide them with may then not be quite right. In turn, misunderstanding could then lead to you delivering more expensive or less efficient solutions than the particular CTQs require.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>CTQ grouping</strong></th>
<th><strong>Examples</strong></th>
<th><strong>Measures</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professionalism</strong></td>
<td>Integrity</td>
<td>Scores on knowledge tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Scores on post-delivery follow-up calls to customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Courtesy</td>
<td>Scores on HR psychometric tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Number of calls not answered first time by the first point of contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Call answer rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social conscience</strong></td>
<td>Ethnic mix</td>
<td>Percentage of turnover given to charitable causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equal salary structures for men and women</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contributions to charity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environment</strong></td>
<td>Recycling policy</td>
<td>Percentage of offices with recycling containers in daily use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biodegradable packaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes/re-schedules to original order or contract</strong></td>
<td>Conflicting requirements between customer and supplier</td>
<td>Ratio of cost of change to extra charge to the customer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The secret to finding the real CTQs is to keep challenging the customer by asking ‘Why?’ until the need falls into one of the general categories in Table 4-4 or is otherwise clear. Below are two, disguised, real-life examples:

✓ An internal customer said, ‘We need one integrated SAP system handling all orders instead of splitting orders between our different European divisions.’ But why do we need this?

The internal customer responded: ‘Because customers think we are unprofessional.’ But why do customers think that? The internal customer’s answer explained that customers get more than one order acknowledgement if the order is split between divisions.

This answer gives us the real CTQ: ‘Customers require single order acknowledgement for all orders.’ In Table 4-4, this CTQ comes under the Data/information category. You may find several solutions to meet this requirement without going to the expense of a single integrated SAP system.

✓ An internal customer asks for a web-based order enquiry system. But why do they need this? They respond that enquiries currently take ages.

But why do enquiries take so long? The current process involves having to go to four different screens to get the information needed. By asking why speed is important, we discover that the customer is left waiting on the phone, but they expect the answer within 30 seconds.

The real CTQ becomes: ‘Customers’ order enquiries by telephone should be satisfied within 30 seconds’, which comes under the Speed category in Table 4-4. As with the first example, there could be several solutions to meeting the CTQ – the web-based idea may not be the most appropriate or the most economical.

Prioritising the requirements

Clarifying your customers’ CTQs (which we describe in the previous section) is vital, but you also need to find out which of the CTQs are especially important.

You can prioritise your CTQs in a number of ways. You can simply ask your customers to weight their own CTQs, or you can use a simple tool such as paired comparisons.

The paired comparisons technique provides a way to determine priorities and weight the importance of criteria. Using the paired comparisons tool forces you to make choices by looking at each pair from a list of options – in this case, a list of CTQs. Instead of asking your customers to identify their top choice, you ask them to select their preference from each pair.
For example, if you have five CTQs, you ask: ‘Do you prefer A or B? A or C? A or D? A or E?’ After A, you compare B and C, B and D, and B and E and so on.

You can use this technique face-to-face, over the phone or by using a ‘voting grid’ like that shown in Figure 4-4, where participants circle their choices for each comparison. In the example, ten preferences will be expressed. Imagine that A comes out with 4 votes, C gets 3, E has 2, but B receives only 1, and D none at all. It’s clear that the most important CTQs are A and C, with E reasonably important in the middle, whereas B and D are of little consequence by comparison. In these circumstances you now know how especially important it is to get A and C right for the customer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>A/B</th>
<th>A/C</th>
<th>A/D</th>
<th>A/E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4-4:** Paired comparisons: Do you prefer this or that?

---

**Measuring performance using customer-focused measures**

Talking about being customer-focused is much easier than actually being customer-focused. Using outside-in thinking and measures to assess your CTQ performance is one way to help you think differently and focus on the customer.

Determining your CTQs provides a basis for your measures. In Chapter 6 we look at measurement and data collection in some detail, but here we take a brief look at some of the different thinking you need in order to focus on your customers.

Think about what your customer sees and experiences in terms of your organisation’s products, services and performance in meeting customers’ requirements. Consider, for example, whether being a customer of your organisation is easy. Many organisations are internally focused and think negatively of their customers – but ultimately customers pay the bills.
Try to drag yourself outside your organisation and take a look in – ‘outside-in’ thinking. Think about what your customers see and consider whether they’re happy.

Understanding what your customers measure is helpful. Ask yourself whether your customer measures the same things as you – and then think about how their data compare with yours. Consider why differences may be evident. Then think about what your customers do with the output from your processes: where it fits in their processes. Here’s a real-life example:

Airlines make money when their planes are in the air. When a plane is out of commission, perhaps for servicing, the airline makes no money – the company needs the plane up and flying again as quickly as possible.

General Electric’s (GE) aircraft engines division discovered the value of outside-in thinking when they realised their customers were measuring GE’s performance a little differently from the way the organisation did. GE would receive an engine into their servicing process – and their clock would start. When the service was complete, their clock stopped and they reported that this service had taken x hours to complete.

What they were forgetting was the fact that their customers were counting the time from when the engine came off the plane to the time when it was put back on – the wing-to-wing time. The phrase and the thinking caught on. Chief Executive Officer, Jack Welch, deployed this concept throughout the GE operations and divisions, worldwide.

Think about how your measures measure up. Is there scope for wing-to-wing thinking in your processes?
As a manager, your role is to work on the processes that you manage with improvement in mind. You therefore need to know precisely how these processes work. Having an up-to-date picture of how things are done makes DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) improvement projects far easier to undertake (dive into Chapter 2 for more on doing the DMAIC).

The Measure phase of DMAIC is about understanding how and how well the work gets done. We look at the ‘how well’ aspect in Part III; our focus here is to understand how the work currently gets done. Only after you understand how the process works now can you see the opportunities for improvement in your process and manage performance better.

Finding Out How the Work Gets Done

How to draw a process map is the main focus of this chapter. We look at two types: the deployment flowchart and the value stream map. These maps build on the high-level SIPOC diagram explained in Chapter 3, and provide really helpful pictures of how the work gets done.

Before you draw any kind of process map, visit the workplace and see for yourself what’s really happening. The Japanese refer to this observation as ‘going to the Gemba’.
You’re likely to find surprises waiting for you in the Gemba. Very often you’ll find the process is being carried out differently to how you thought it was happening, especially when more than one team is involved. We cover techniques such as process stapling and spaghetti diagrams in this chapter. These techniques help you to see the reality of your workplace and enable you to identify unnecessary steps and eliminate waste. (We wade through waste in detail in Chapter 9.)

### Practising process stapling

Process stapling offers one way to really understand the process and the chain of events. Very simply, process stapling means taking a customer order, for example, and literally walking it through the entire process, step-by-step, as though you were the order.

No matter where the order goes, you go too. By following the order you start to see what really happens, who does what and why, how, where and when they do it.

Carrying out a process stapling exercise with a small team of people can be an ideal first step. Sometimes, there can be advantages in beginning the exercise from the end of the process and working backwards. People will be less familiar with this ‘reverse flow’, helping them think more carefully about things.

The nearby sidebar ‘Process stapling in action’ demonstrates the power of this technique. You begin to understand all the steps in the process and how much time and movement is involved in carrying out the work. Process stapling helps you identify a number of improvement opportunities, even if you don’t use the exercise to create a spaghetti diagram or process map.
Chapter 5: Determining the Chain of Events

You might, for example, spot the scope for tidying up the workplace, making it easier and safer to find things. (We shine a light on neatness in Chapter 10.) The process stapling exercise helps you spot the frustrations in the process, such as inconsistencies and why-on-earth-do-we-do-this? activities. You can then see the steps that add value and those that don’t. (We unveil value in Chapter 9.)

It’s not uncommon for only 10–15 per cent of the steps in a process to add value, and more often than not, the ‘thing’ going through the process spends as little as 1 per cent of the total process time in these steps.

When introducing the idea of process stapling, you may find some people telling you that this is what they already do. But what they actually do is get a group of people in a room and use sticky notes to help draw up the process. They’re missing the point! The picture they draw will be what they think is happening. Process stapling enables you to see what’s really happening.

Try taking photos of each step in the process. Apart from providing an ideal record of what you’ve seen, photos enable you to make an effective presentation to management of what you’ve found. Be prepared for them to be surprised. You can have fun taking the pictures (but not of the managers falling off their chairs in shock, however!), especially if you act the role of the thing going through the process.

---

**Process stapling in action**

This example reflects our experience of process stapling in at least one of our client organisations. Ann receives a customer order – she needs to input some information to the system, print out an internal form, add some additional information to it and then send it to Brian.

You now need to ‘staple’ this form to yourself and take it over to Brian (imagine attaching it to your clothing, for example). Brian is some distance away. Immediately, you have a sense of how much transport is involved.

When you get to Brian, you find that his first action is to correct all of Ann’s mistakes. You ask Ann whether she’s aware that she’d got things wrong. She’s not happy about this, as she thinks she’d been doing what Brian needed, and had always done it this way. Ann tells you that Brian has never mentioned anything about her mistakes.

You find that Brian never bothers to tell Ann about the errors, which had been caused by misunderstanding, because he finds it easier to correct the mistakes himself.

After Brian corrects the errors, he sends the papers to Clare. You’re dismayed to find that Clare sits next to Ann – shame the papers didn’t go straight to Clare in the first place!

Clare tells you that this step is a complete waste of time. She’s told her manager this, but her manager says the step is an important element of Clare’s work. Clare just checks that the system is updated, that certain information is in the right box on the form and that Brian has put his signature on the form. She finds this task boring and has never yet found a case that needs correction, so she simply puts these items to one side, lets the work build up and then clears them all on a Friday afternoon before going home.
As your understanding of the process increases, you’re likely to find real value in working with your customers to extend the process stapling concept to incorporate their activities with yours. In this way, you can work out how your process and its output link to your customer’s process, what your customer’s process looks like and how your customer uses your process outputs.

Extending process stapling provides great insight into how you can generate improvements in your process that really add value to your customer and make an impact that delights them. The technique can also lead to joint improvement activity with a DMAIC project being carried out in concert with your customer.

**Drawing spaghetti diagrams**

A spaghetti diagram provides a picture of what’s happening in the process in terms of movement. The diagram tracks the movement of the thing or things going through the process, including the flow of information and the people carrying out the work.

In Figure 5-1 we show a pretty confused series of movements in a garage as an example. You can apply the technique to any working area, including your office or even your home. You could even use a screen shot of an order entry application, for example, as the “map” and then trace the path of the computer cursor as the agent fills out a form. Spaghetti diagrams are not restricted to operations that are physically spread out.

![Figure 5-1: A spaghetti diagram.](image-url)
Think about the movements you make and the distance you travel when undertaking tasks such as making photocopies, picking up your printing or making a cup of tea.

The spaghetti diagram may throw up some real surprises about how much movement happens in your organisation, including how often things go back and forth. This technique helps you identify waste and provides a visual catalyst to stimulate change in your workplace.

You may already have used this technique at home! If you’ve installed a new kitchen, you’ll know the importance of the triangle formed by the sink, cooker and fridge.

The different shades used in Figure 5-1 have no special significance, but you do need to distinguish between the movement of people, materials and information. When you create a spaghetti diagram, you may want to use a current office plan, for example showing where the furniture, equipment and power points are located. Make sure the plan really is current and that it includes all additional items, including those boxes in the corner that seem to have appeared from nowhere.

In developing a spaghetti diagram you can measure how far and why people are moving. You may be able to make some simple changes to your office layout to reduce the distance moved, or even to avoid it completely. You could even use a long ball of string or a pedometer to help you develop a more accurate diagram and better understand the movement involved. It’s good practice to record the total distance travelled on the baseline of the diagram, then do the same for the new and improved method. You then have a measure of the extent of improvement made.

When you use process stapling and spaghetti diagrams together, you may see the opportunity for a significant reduction in wasted movement and in other non-value-added steps too. So, in the process stapling example described in the nearby sidebar, is either Clare’s or Brian’s step necessary? If it is, would sitting Ann, Brian and Clare more closely together make sense?

Unnecessary travelling and movement waste so much time. Siting the relevant people and equipment together is often a relatively simple way of reducing waste and processing time.

**Painting a Picture of the Process**

When trying to understand your processes and how the work gets done, the phrase ‘a picture paints a thousand words’ is certainly true. In this section we look at two specific options for painting that picture of your process – a deployment flowchart and a value stream map. Despite their names, these are both ‘process maps’, and we tend to use the term ‘process map’ in this section.
When you paint the picture of your process, keep in mind why you are doing it. Developing the picture helps you understand how the work gets done and the degree of complexity in the process. Your picture can highlight the internal and external customer and supplier relationships or ‘interfaces’, and help you determine the input and in-process measures you need (see Chapter 8 for more on these).

You’re not painting this particular picture as the specification for a computer system change, so keep things simple. This picture is for you and will help you manage and improve the process. You are drawing a ‘current state’ picture to see how things are done now.

A ‘future state’ map shows how the process could be undertaken to achieve a higher level of performance at some future point. Achieving that performance may be harder and could result in the need for a DMAIC project (see Chapter 2 for a detailed overview of DMAIC). When you’ve drawn and implemented your picture of a future state map, it becomes the current state map. With continuous improvement in mind, you now need to develop a new future state picture.

Your picture can provide a useful framework that prompts a whole range of questions:

- Who are the customers that have expectations of the process?
- Why is the process done? What is its purpose? Does everyone involved understand the purpose?
- What are the value-added and non-value-added steps?
- How can you carry out essential non-value-added steps using minimal resources?
- What are the critical success factors – that is, the things you must do well?
- Why is the process done when it is done?
- Why are tasks in the process carried out in that order? Are all the steps involved in the process necessary? Do all the steps add value for the customer?
- Why is the process carried out by a particular person or people?
- What measurement is in place to assess performance and identify possible improvement opportunities? Think in particular of how you might identify and measure those parts of the process that are repetitive and important to ensuring the process conforms to requirements.
- What is the cycle time involved in the process? Why is the cycle time longer than the unit time?
What are the barriers that prevent the supplier from producing a quality output?

If decisions need to be made as part of the process, are the criteria that will be used to make the decisions understood by everyone involved? Are the decisions communicated adequately? Are the authority limits appropriate?

How do you and others deal with problems that occur in the process?

What are the most common mistakes that occur in the process? What impact do these mistakes have on the customers?

Where have improvements already been tried in the process? What was the outcome?

Whichever questions you ask, don’t forget to keep asking ‘Why?’

**Keeping things simple**

Process mapping uses lots of different symbols, or ‘conventions’; try to use as few as possible. To create a deployment flowchart, which we talk about in the next section, just two or three conventions are usually enough: the circle, the square box and the diamond, as shown in Figure 5-2:

The circle indicates the start and stop points in your process.

The square box signifies a step or action.

The diamond poses a question, where the answer determines which route the process follows next.

Take a bank underwriting a loan application as an example. The process steps may be different depending on the amount of money being requested as a loan. In the case of underwriting the request, it may be that large cases need to go to a senior underwriter or require key documents from the client,
whereas a small loan might be processed at a more junior level or need less documentation. So, the diamond indicates a decision point with a question about the size of the loan, as shown in Figure 5-3.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5-3:** Which route do we follow?

### Developing a deployment flowchart

The deployment flowchart builds on the high level SIPOC diagram described in Chapter 3, and goes into a little more detail, but not too much. This flowchart identifies who’s involved in the process and what they do, including the different members of a team who are involved in different stages of the process, and also other teams and departments, the internal customers, and suppliers.

Spotting moments of truth is easier when using a deployment flowchart. Moments of truth are touch points with the customer (when a customer comes into contact with a company), which we consider in the later section ‘Identifying moments of truth’.

Before you begin working on a deployment flowchart, make sure you have an objective for the process that reflects the CTQs (we cover Critical To Quality elements in Chapter 4). And make sure you can answer the question, ‘Why are you doing this process?’

Involving the people who work in the process when you develop a deployment flowchart. Because different perceptions exist of how the process works, use a sticky note for each step in the process so that you can move things around simply. You may well discover that the process is more complex than you think it is, which is why carrying out a process stapling exercise first can be so useful.
When you’ve used your sticky notes to create a flowchart, consider using process mapping software to formally document the process. Many packages are available on the Internet, some offering free 30-day trials, so you can try things out and judge their suitability.

In the sidebar ‘Process stapling in action’ earlier in this chapter, we introduce Ann, Brian and Clare. If you haven’t read this sidebar, have a quick flick through it – we use the same example, beginning with Figure 5-4, when we develop our map. Each time a different person or another area in the workplace is involved, the chart moves horizontally and down. Operations that occur simultaneously are shown on the same level.

These charts usually have vertical lines between the different people and are often referred to as “swim lane” charts. Every time a flow arrow crosses a dividing line, you should consider taking a measurement.

In Figure 5-4, we’ve simply focused on Ann, Brian and Clare, but in practice you need to include the customer in the picture to help you identify the moments of truth (see the ‘Identifying moments of truth’ section, later in this chapter). Computer systems can also be included in your cast of characters. Work might be input to the computer system, for example, with the output coming out somewhere else. Seeing the whole picture is vital.

We cover measurement in more detail in Chapters 6, 7 and 8, but here we highlight some of the opportunities to put measurement in place. So, for example, when the chart moves horizontally and down, a customer and supplier relationship exists, as highlighted in Figure 5-5.
Most problems occur at the interfaces between two people or two departments, for example between Ann and Brian. Measures are almost certainly necessary here to help monitor performance and identify if problems exist, perhaps caused by misunderstanding the requirements.

Chapter 7 looks at the need to collect good data and develop a data collection plan, and Chapter 8 considers the importance of ‘in-process’ measures. Your results here, especially the level of rework, will have a major impact on your performance for the customer, so gathering good data and knowing what’s happening is essential. In Figure 5-4, for example, you’d want to know whether Ann’s output to Brian and Brian’s output to Clare is always correct, and so on. If it isn’t, you’d then want to find out what type of errors were occurring so that you could begin the process of improving the situation.

Measuring time can highlight other improvement opportunities, as shown in Figure 5-6. For example, you may ask how long each step takes and why.

In Figure 5-6 you’re simply measuring unit time – the time it takes to complete this step. While this measurement could prompt some interesting questions, viewing the bigger picture is more helpful as it also includes the elapsed or cycle time. This measurement is the time it takes to complete the entire process, as shown in Figure 5-7. (Elapsed or cycle time is sometimes referred to as the lead time.)
Building in the cycle time helps you identify bottlenecks and *dead time* — so-called because from the customer’s perspective nothing’s happening. In Figure 5-7, step number two is causing a bottleneck. If Brian’s step can possibly be removed from the process, and Ann or Clare take up Brian’s work, you may be able to halve the cycle time. Possibly Brian’s step is a non-value-adding step (explained in Chapter 9) and isn’t needed at all.

Clear links are evident between measuring time and the theory of constraints, which we cover in Chapter 11. In your processes, try to identify and manage bottlenecks, to ask questions that clarify your understanding and to always look for improvement opportunities. Earlier in this section, we listed some typical questions that your process picture may prompt.
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Seeing the value in a value stream map

A value stream map is either an addition or an alternative to the deployment flowchart as a way of looking at how work gets done in your organisation. The term ‘value stream’ is a misleading description. The value stream map shows all the tasks both value-creating and non-value-creating (more on value in Chapter 9), which take your product from concept to launch, or from order to delivery, for example. These actions include steps to process information from the customer, and steps to transform the product on its way to the customer.

Toyota’s Taiichi Ohno summarised the value stream nicely in 1978, when he said:

> All we are doing is looking at a timeline from the moment the customer gives us an order to the point when we collect the cash. And we are reducing that timeline by removing the Non-Value-Added wastes.

Value stream maps follow a product’s path from order to delivery to determine current conditions, but they can also include a picture of the actual working layout in the office or factory to highlight the impact of transport time, for example. You can create and use your value stream map in a way that works for you.

Ideally, your process map includes the external customer. You need to recognise and understand the whole process or system and to spot the moments of truth.

Process stapling is an ideal first step to help you create a value stream map – and you really do need to go to the Gemba to see what’s happening. For the low-down on these concepts, check out the ‘Genning up on the Gemba’ sidebar and the ‘Practising process stapling’ section, earlier in this chapter.

The value stream map is similar to the format of a SIPOC diagram, which we talk about in Chapter 3. Ideally, your value stream map includes a picture of where the various activities happen and shows the flow of both materials and information, as shown in Figure 5-8.

Figure 5-8 keeps things very simple, and looks very much like a SIPOC diagram. It includes some extra information; in this case, a triangle that identifies work in progress (the ‘i’ is for inventory) – work waiting to be actioned. Where the ‘work in progress’ is people in a queue, the ‘inventory triangle’ shows a ‘q’ rather than an ‘i’. In practice, value stream maps are straightforward, but they’ll be a little more detailed than this example (see Figures 5-10 and 5-11).
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and will use more conventions than you use in a deployment flowchart (see the ‘Developing a deployment flowchart’ section, earlier in this chapter). A selection of the more commonly used conventions is shown in Figure 5-9.

To draw your value stream map, work through the following steps:

1. **Identify the process you want to look at, agreeing the start and stop points.** Describing the product or service this process is supporting is also helpful.

2. **Set up a small team to do the analysis.** The team should have knowledge of all the steps involved, from supplier input to external customer, so it must include people working in the process.

3. **Go to the Gemba.** Go where the action is and watch what actually happens. Value stream mapping starts in the workplace.

4. **Working at a reasonably high level, draw a process map of the material/product flow in the whole value stream.** Some people prefer to do this exercise starting at the customer end and working backwards – rather like process stapling in reverse. Write down the steps as you go,
rather than trying to remember everything. As well as material and product flow, remember to capture the information flow that causes product or material to move through the process.

5. **Identify the performance data you’d like to know.** Useful information often includes activity or unit time, cycle time, scrap or rework rates, the number of staff/resources, batch sizes, machine uptime, changeover time, working time, inventory and backlog.

6. **Collect the data you need for each step in the process.** Add the data to your map in boxes. For example, in Figure 5-9, you can see a data box capturing a range of information, including unit time (U/T = 45 seconds).

   The ‘C/0 = 30 minutes’ entry refers to changeover time. This is the time it takes to set up the equipment to move from processing one type of product to another, or to close one system and open another. A focus on reducing changeover time was one of the keys to success for Toyota in gaining market share over many of the Western car manufacturers, where it was referred to as SMED – single minute exchange of die (die are the casts and moulds in the production system). In the spirit of continuous improvement, Toyota still looks to reduce changeover times.

   Working as a consultant in Toyota, Shigeo Shingo believed the company could make huge gains if changeovers could be actioned more quickly. He set a target to reduce any set-up time by 59/60ths. Shingo felt that many companies had policies designed to raise the skill level of their workers but few had implemented strategies to lower the skill level required by the set-up itself.

   Changeovers and set-ups aren’t relevant only to manufacturing companies and processes – they’re just as relevant to service organisations.

7. **Add arrows to show information flows.** The value stream map shows information flow as well as material flow, separately identifying whether the information is sent manually or electronically (see the different symbols in Figure 5-9). The value stream map shows the information flow in the top half of the map, with the material flow below.

8. **Add an overall timeline to show the average cycle time for an item.** This timeline shows how long the item spends in the whole process. The example in Figure 5-10 identifies the process steps A to I and indicates the unit and cycle time. The figure shows a process with a unit time of only four days, but taking 187 days to complete! You need to look at the bottlenecks highlighted by the difference between the unit and cycle times, as well as the levels of work in progress or inventory identified in the triangles between the steps.
As an example of a value stream map, consider ABC Company’s order process. The process begins with customer service receiving an email or telephone order. The product price is checked using the product price database.

Availability is checked in terms of stock inventory using the stock management system. If inventory cannot be allocated, the order is passed to the manufacturing team through the manufacturing order system and scheduled for production the next day.

The delivery date is determined, the customer is advised and the order entry records are completed through the customer service order management system. The ‘current state’ picture of the value stream will resemble that shown in Figure 5-11.
Using averages is usually fine, but do recognise the danger of averages and remember that the actual times vary either side of the mean – known in the scary worlds of statistics and mathematics as ‘variation’. We cover variation in detail in Chapter 8.

In the ABC example, the current state map includes some triangles containing the letter ‘i’. These triangles are for the levels of inventory, or work in progress. When you create a value stream map for one of your processes, you need to remember that the map describes the current state of your organisation – a snapshot in time. Whether people in the organisation feel the inventory isn’t usually that high or low isn’t relevant; for whatever reason, the inventory is what it is right now.

In order to have a complete view of things, you need to incorporate data such as activity time and cycle time (just as in the deployment flowchart, described earlier in this chapter), and changeover time.

The following example of a value stream map in a service organisation demonstrates how valuable the addition of data becomes. It enables you to not only see how the work gets done, but also how well it gets done.

The next few pages focus on a bank example, and the creation of the value stream map for the loans application process. This example demonstrates the steps in creating the map and then shows how problem areas within the process can be identified, flagged and prioritised for improvement action.

The loans team had already developed a SIPOC diagram (explained in Chapter 3), but they weren’t sure that it was entirely accurate. So, the first step in this instance was for them to understand how the work gets done by carrying out a process stapling exercise. In doing so, they took note of the work-in-progress levels (the ‘i’ in the triangles; see Figure 5-9), and they created a current state map step by step, as shown in Figures 5-12 to 5-18.

![Figure 5-12: Building up the value stream map.](image)
The loan applications are sent into the company by loan brokers acting on behalf of individuals. In Figure 5-13, you can see that 1,625 applications have been received on this particular day.

In many ways, this picture is similar to the SIPOC, but it lacks information about who all the different customers and suppliers are (both internal and external), and the associated inputs and outputs. Having created this picture, it’s time to add in some data, as shown in Figure 5-14.
We’re beginning to create a detailed picture of what’s happening in this process. The data shows the number of people working on each step, work volumes and the levels of accuracy. Already we can see opportunities for improvement through the reduction of errors.

The symbol and the number alongside it indicate the number of people working at that process step. The data boxes hold the information you decide is important. In this case, the bank has included the number of items received each day, the processing time (P/T) and the percentage ‘right first time’ (RFT). You might have wanted other information, for example the change-over time or productivity details.

Either way, you can see there’s scope for reducing errors, especially in the ‘enter info from form into system’ step, where the error rate is 17 per cent. This high error rate would be a problem anywhere in the process, but at such an early stage is likely to lead to delays for the customer, particularly if the errors aren’t picked up straight away. And think about the cost, too.

By building in the work in progress information, we’re getting clues about the backlogs and bottlenecks, and the dangers inherent in a ‘push’ system are becoming obvious.

In Figure 5-15, the work-in-progress figures – the numbers alongside the triangle – confirm the delays in the process and help highlight the areas that need addressing. The bottlenecks need to be managed, or you’ll find that they manage you and your process.

**Figure 5-15: Looking at the interfaces.**

Quantify what’s happening between the steps in the process
In Figure 5-15 you can see that the ‘quality check’ step is seriously behind with 4,704 items, but issues are evident throughout the process, something the addition of a timeline will further confirm.

The timeline shown in Figure 5-16 enables us to focus on the bottlenecks – the difference between the processing time and the cycle time is ‘dead time’ and we can see some significant issues that could be addressed by applying the theory of constraints. As you can see, the overall process is taking almost 30 days to complete, but an individual case could be processed in just over an hour.

The timeline information will also help you identify whether the ‘line’ needs to be better balanced. And, of course, if you then determine Takt time (see Chapter 1 for more on this), you can assess the staffing levels needed to deal with the customer volumes.
Clearly, improvement opportunities exist and the next step is to highlight these on the value stream map.

A selection of the opportunities and observations are included in Figure 5-17. This continues the process of bringing the picture to life and creates the basis to discuss the opportunities for improvement action. Apart from tackling the bottlenecks, non-value-adding steps may exist that can be removed, or perhaps people and equipment could be relocated into a more efficiently laid out workspace. Some activities could be combined, too, and, if there are different types of loan application, an option may exist to set up a process team for the relevant product family, using the concept of cell manufacturing.

### Figure 5-17: Process mapping and data may help highlight the opportunities for analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Volume/day</th>
<th>P/T mins</th>
<th>RFT%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Open and sort post</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Enter info from form into system</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Credit Status Check</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Allocate to underwriter</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Assess evidence and make decision</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Quality check</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Issue acceptance or rejection letter</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total processing time = 1 hour 8 minutes**

**Total cycle time = 29 days 20 hours**

The improvement ideas or objectives could be added to the map for further review and prioritisation and this could help you develop a future state map in line with that shown in Figure 5-18.
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Developing a picture of your process, using a deployment flowchart and/or a value stream map will also help you identify the ‘moments of truth’.

**Identifying moments of truth**

Jan Carlzon, chief executive of Scandinavian Air Services (SAS), developed and popularised the concept of moments of truth in his book *Moments of Truth* (Cambridge, MA: Ballinger). A moment of truth occurs every time a customer comes into contact with a company, whether in person, on the telephone, by post, when reading company literature or seeing a company advert. Each customer touch point provides an opportunity to make or break the organisation, since the customer is either pleased or displeased with the outcome. Everyone in your organisation is responsible for the outcome of customer touch points and for delivering a great customer experience.
Carlzon informed all SAS staff that the organisation needed to improve by 1,000 per cent! He asked his staff to improve 1,000 things by 1 per cent and then to keep doing it. He wanted them to focus on customer contacts – the moments of truth – such as booking a ticket, checking in or boarding a plane. Carlzon used an example of a passenger pulling down the meal tray. If the tray was dirty, what would the customer think? What might that tell the customer about the maintenance of the plane?

To achieve what your customers want, you need to understand the many moments of truth opportunities that exist and find ways of enhancing the customer’s experience. Process stapling, deployment flowcharts and value stream maps can help you identify both internal and external customer touch points.
“Eliminating conflicts along the production line is a big part of our Six Sigma initiative.”
Here we look to see how well the work gets done. Are you meeting your customers’ requirements in the most effective and efficient way? Managing by fact is a key principle in Lean Six Sigma, so having good data is vital. Data collection is a process in itself, and we present a five-step approach to ensuring you have an appropriate plan in place. We also provide an introduction to sampling, where good analysis can be achieved using comparatively small amounts of representative data.

After you have your data, you need to decide how best to present and interpret it. We cover the importance of control charts to help you identify process variation so that you know when to take action and when not to.

We also look at developing an appropriately balanced set of measures to help you understand what influences and affects your results, and in doing so we reference a number of traditionally lean measures, including Takt Time.
Managing by fact is one of the key Lean Six Sigma principles. You need accurate, consistent and valid data to manage in this way. This chapter focuses on developing a data collection process to ensure the data you collect meets these criteria.

You need to view data collection as a process that needs managing and improving just like all your other processes.

Managing by Fact

Whether you manage a day-to-day process or lead an improvement project, you need accurate data to help you make the right decisions. The following quote summarises the importance of facts:

Unless one can obtain facts and accurate data about the workplace, there can be no control or improvement. It is the task of the middle management and managers below them to ensure the accuracy of their data which enables the company to know the true facts.

—Kaoru Ishikawa, What is Total Quality Control? The Japanese Way

The following sections highlight the importance of good data, focus on the need to review your existing measures and develop an effective data collection plan to help you manage your processes.
Realising the importance of good data

Good data may prompt you to implement an improvement project by highlighting poor performance against the CTQs (see Chapter 3) or show you opportunities to tackle waste (see Chapter 9). It enables you to understand the current performance levels of a process and provides you with the means to benchmark that performance and prioritise improvement actions.

When you undertake an improvement project, you need to analyse the causes of the problem you’re tackling – good data helps you quantify and verify those possible causes. In developing solutions to address root causes, you need good data to help you determine the most effective approach.

You’re probably aware of the phrase ‘rubbish in, rubbish out’, which is often applied to data. You need to ensure you have good data going into your various management information reports and analyses. For that you must have a sound data collection plan, and we describe the key elements you’ll need a little later in this chapter. First, you need to consider what you’re measuring.

Reviewing what you currently measure

Many organisations have data coming out of their ears! Unfortunately, that data isn’t always the right data. Sometimes organisations measure things because they can measure them – but those things aren’t necessarily the right things to be measured and the resulting data doesn’t help you manage your business and its processes.

Sometimes data isn’t accurate – intentionally or not – and even if the data is accurate, it may be presented in a way that makes interpretation difficult. Managers often present data as a page full of numbers to encourage comparisons with last week’s results or even the results for this week last year. This situation is compounded further if the results show only averages or percentages and you can’t understand the range of performance or the variation in your process performance. This range and variation in performance is what your customers will be experiencing (see Chapters 4 and 7).

In Chapter 7 we explain the importance of variation and how to use control charts to help you understand when and when not to take action. Without this understanding, a tendency exists to take inappropriate actions because managers are making the wrong decisions.

Figure 6-1 provides an effective format to help you review your measures.
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### Deciding what to measure

You probably know that choosing what to measure and how to present your data are important. But so too is deciding what *not* to measure. Lean Six Sigma requires you to manage by fact and have good data – but that doesn’t mean you need more data than you currently produce. It means you have the right data.

You need to review the data you currently have and decide whether it really is helping you manage your process. Does the data add value or is it a waste? Who uses the data? How and why is the data used? The CTQs provide the basis for your process measures and you need to consider whether your current measures help you understand your performance in meeting them. Figure 6-1 uses symbols to identify the strength of your performance measures in relation to the CTQs.

If you look at the CTQ for delivery within five hours, you can see that the first measure in the matrix, the percentage issued within five hours, is rated only as a ‘medium strength’. That measure tells you how many cases are processed within the CTQ service standard, but it doesn’t tell you anything about the actual results, and the range of performance. Some cases will have been actioned in one hour and some in ten hours, for example. This really important information is provided by the second measure, ‘the cycle time’, where you’re recording the results of each and every case, or at least a representative sample. With this information, you can determine the average performance, the range of performance, and, of course, you can extract the ‘percentage within five hours’ information because you can see how many cases took five hours or less.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Requirements</th>
<th>Output Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% in 5 hours</td>
<td>Cycle time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of errors</td>
<td>Error types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue new service requirement advices within 5 hours of receipt of customer call</td>
<td>[Strong relationship]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No errors in the information recorded in the advice</td>
<td>[Strong relationship]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Chapters 7 and 8 we show how understanding variation, the range of performance and creating a balance of measures can help you understand and predict performance. The first stage in that process is to review your measures and create a data collection plan that helps ensure you collect the right data in the right way.

**Developing a Data Collection Plan**

Data collection is a process that you need to manage and improve, just like any other process. Your measurement and data will be only as good as the process that collects it. Enough variation is likely to exist in the operational process itself, without compounding the situation by variation in the measurement. Data collection involves five steps, which begin with determining the output measures for your processes:

1. **Agree the objectives and goals linking to the key outputs from your processes that seek to meet the CTQs.**
2. **Develop operational definitions and procedures that help ensure everyone is clear about what’s being measured and why.**
3. **Agree ground rules to ensure that you collect valid and consistent data.**
4. **Collect the data.**
5. **Carry on collecting the data and identify ways to improve your approach.**

**Beginning with output measures**

We begin with the end in mind by considering the output measures. By agreeing on the end goals for data collection, and linking the data to your key outputs, everyone in the team understands why they’re measuring what they’re measuring. After the output measures have been agreed, you need to develop some additional measures to help you understand how the inputs to your process and the various activities in the process are influencing the output results. Chapter 8 covers this and looks at the importance of getting a balance of input, in-process and output measures to help manage your process.

Agreeing on goals and outputs is usually straightforward if you’ve described the CTQ customer requirements in a clearly measurable way (we explain how to do so in Chapter 4).
Use our suggested symbols in Figure 6-1 to check whether you have an appropriate set of measures. You need at least one strong measure for each CTQ.

When you have a collection of output measures, use Figure 6-1 to review whether your output measures are appropriate – this may be particularly relevant if you’ve only recently determined the CTQs. After using Figure 6-1 in this way, you may consider abandoning some measures and creating other, more appropriate ones.

Cycle time (sometimes referred to as lead time) is the most important data. If you simply measure whether or not each item meets the service standard, you don’t know the range of performance being delivered. For example, you may see that the organisation processes 80 per cent of orders within the service standard of five hours, but you may not be able to see that some orders take one hour, some take two or three hours, and the 20 per cent that fail take at least ten hours. With the cycle time data you can understand fully what happens.

In Figure 6-2 we show a process trying to meet the customer’s requirements. The feedback from the customer and the process highlights a gap that you need to close – that is, you need an improvement action.

In this example, we use average cycle times to represent the ‘voice of the process’. Doing so isn’t actually a good idea, as average cycle times can be misleading. The average process performance in Figure 6-2 is six days, so the process doesn’t meet the customer’s requirement of ‘five days or less’. But even if the average performance had been five days, the process probably...
wouldn’t have been good enough. The customer sees every cycle time, not just the average.

Creating clear definitions

Describing your measures in a way that removes any ambiguity about what’s being measured is the second step in your data collection plan. This description is called an operational definition.

When you know what you plan to measure, you need to provide clear, unambiguous operational definitions. These operational definitions help everyone in the team to understand the who, what, where, when and how of the measurement process, which in turn helps you produce consistent data. For example, if you measure cycle time, you define when the clock starts and finishes; which clock you use; whether you measure in seconds, minutes or hours; and whether you round up or down.

The 1999 launch of NASA’s Mars Lander is a famous example of murky definitions. This $125 million rocket was designed to investigate if water had existed on the red planet. Unfortunately, the rocket disappeared, never to be seen again. The cause was rather embarrassing: the team that built the spacecraft and managed its launch worked in feet and inches . . . but the team responsible for landing the craft on Mars worked in metric – and no one had thought to convert the data. As a result, the angle of entry into Mars was too sharp and the rocket burned up.

Agreeing rules to ensure valid and consistent data

Having an effective operational definition is important, but you also need to be able to validate the results. Asking yourself if the data looks sensible is the third step in the data collection plan.

Asking what, why, when, how, where and who questions ensures that your data is both valid and consistent. In addition, Lean Six Sigma provides a statistical way to check things out. There will be ‘enough’ variation in the process itself, without adding additional variation through the measurement system.

Measurement System Analysis (MSA) describes the overall approach to ensuring the validity of your measures. Gauge R and R and Attribute Agreement Analysis are the techniques used for assessing continuous and
discrete data respectively. *Gauge R and R* is a technique for assessing the repeatability and reproducibility of the measuring system – it confirms how much the measurement system contributes to process variation (see Chapter 7 for more on process variation).

*Repeatability* is a measure of the variation seen when one operator uses the same system to measure the same thing. So, imagine you have asked someone to measure a batch of items to determine the time it took to process them. You would then ask them to measure the same batch again to see whether they get the same results. If they don’t get the same results, you need to decide whether the difference is important.

*Reproducibility* is a measure of the variation seen when different operators use the same system to measure the same thing.

To check reproducibility, you ask someone else to measure the same batch of items and see if their results are different from the first person. It’s important that the person doing the measuring does not know the previous results. Again, if a difference does exist, you need to decide whether it’s important and if action is needed to improve the measurement system. We offer some broad guidelines to help you make that judgement in a moment.

In our example in Figure 6-3, two people – Timekeeper A and Timekeeper B – check the same batch of products in a random sequence. By averaging the difference of the two readings over the number of products in the batch, we can determine the gauge R and R.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Timekeeper A</th>
<th>Timekeeper B</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vet form</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add info. to form</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update records</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print agreement</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue to customer</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Time</strong></td>
<td><strong>476</strong></td>
<td><strong>474</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.42%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 6-3, gauge R and R is good for total time at 0.42 per cent, but is less accurate for the sub-processes. Overall these results are very good, but we could try to improve ‘Vet form’ if we really had nothing else to do.

The calculations from the table have been made as follows: take the difference between the two ‘times’, and divide this by the mean average of the
two ‘times’, expressing the result as a percentage. So, for example, if we look at ‘Vet form’, the difference between Timekeeper A and B is 4 seconds, the mean average is 43 seconds, and the resulting tolerance is 9.30% (4/43 x 100%). Determining what’s good in gauge R and R terms is somewhat subjective and there aren’t any truly right answers. We can offer some broad guidelines but when you decide whether to take action, much depends on the process and the consequences of inaccurate data. Generally, if gauge R and R exceeds 10 per cent you should look to improve the measurement system, perhaps focusing on a better operational definition, for example, or using more accurate measuring equipment. If gauge R and R exceeds 25 per cent – change the measurement system!

When health and safety, regulatory or important financial issues are involved, the gauge R and R guidelines need to be a lot tighter. You want very accurate and consistent data if you’re making a decision that affects braking distances in the testing of a new car design, for example. Lives could be at stake if the gauge R and R is more than a fraction different. Measuring how long a telephone call takes in a call centre, in contrast, isn’t so important.

Figure 6-3 covers continuous data – that which can be measured on a continuous scale, such as processing time. Attribute data includes whether or not something is present, or is right or wrong, and categories of items, such as types of compensation claim, complaint and financial standing.

For attribute data, we use attribute agreement analysis to understand the accuracy and consistency of our data. So, for example, you ask a number of people in the process team to classify the items in a batch into the various categories. You can then compare their assessments both with one another and with an expert’s assessments. Doing so ensures consistent classification by the process team and sometimes highlights training needs, too.

In Figure 6-4, you can see how assessors Ann and Brian classify claims consistently between them, but aren’t in line with the expert’s assessment. The claims need to be coded by category as either AA, AB, AC or BB. This finding indicates a need to improve the quality of the training given to the assessors so that their classification is in line with the expert’s view.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim Number</th>
<th>Expert’s Classification</th>
<th>Ann</th>
<th>Brian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>BB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Collecting the data

Step four of the data collection process covers how you actually collect the data. You’ll almost certainly collect some of it manually. Data collection sheets make the process straightforward and ensure consistency. A data collection sheet can be as simple as a check sheet that you use to record the number of times something occurs.

The check sheet is best completed in time sequence, as shown in Figure 6-5. This real example shows data from the new business team of an insurance company processing personal pension applications from individual clients. It captures the main reasons why applications can’t be processed immediately; daily recording the number of times these different issues occur. On a daily basis, you can see the number of ‘errors’ and the number of application forms, and in Figure 6-5 we’ve recorded the proportion of errors to forms. By adding stratification (day of the week) in this way, we may be able to gain some additional insights about the potential causes of the issues.

Looking across the check sheet from left to right, you can see that we’ve recorded the total errors by type and have determined their percentage in relation to the whole. This check sheet links neatly to a Pareto analysis, which we show in Figure 6-6. Here, the 80:20 Pareto rule means that generally 80 per cent of the errors are caused by 20 per cent of the error types. Your analysis won’t always result in precisely 80:20 and, in our example, the main causes of the problem, C and E, account for almost 75 per cent of the errors.

The Pareto chart in Figure 6-6 highlights this fact. The cumulative percentage line helps you decide which errors to focus on. If you tackle type C errors, you’ll address 39.4 per cent of the problem, but if you also address type E errors, you’ll cover 73.9 per cent. You can tackle the smaller errors, A, B and D, later on. That said, check to see whether any of the error types cost more to resolve than others. For example, you might find that type C and E errors are quite cheap to resolve, whereas type A errors might prove to be very expensive. Recasting the Pareto diagram by cost might give you a different picture.

Even if you use a computer system to automatically measure and generate your data, design the data collection form on paper first. Doing so helps you think through all the details you may need, such as whether and to what extent to take account of segmentation factors (see Chapter 3), which could include different customer or product types, for example.
A concentration diagram is another form of data collection sheet. This technique is good for identifying damage to goods in transit, for example by recording where on the product or packaging dents and scratches occur. Car hire companies often ask customers to complete concentration diagrams. On a picture of the car, customers have to highlight existing damage, such as dents and scratches. Upon return of the vehicle, the leasing company then checks to see if any further damage has occurred. See Figure 6-7.

One of my colleagues in the USA recently rented a car and inquired about a small indentation when filling out the form. The agent replied ‘Buck and a quarter’. When I asked ‘What?’ she told me that if the indentation was larger than a quarter, it was a dent, otherwise it didn’t matter. It wasn’t considered a scratch unless it was longer than a one-dollar bill. So, here we see operational definitions in practice, with readily available references for both the customer and the agent.
Identifying ways to improve your approach

The fifth step of the data collection process reminds you that data collection is a process and needs to be managed and improved just like any other. Even after you find your initial data, carry on collecting more to identify ways to improve your approach. You’ve determined the data showing your output performance. Now identify and measure the upstream variables that influence the output performance of your process. We cover upstream variables in detail in Chapter 8, but typical variables include volumes of work, supplier accuracy, supplier timelines, available resources and in-process cycle times. Measure these upstream variables on a daily basis.
Figure 6-8 provides a data collection summary. Use it to ensure you’ve covered all aspects of your data collection plan; doing so should lead you to collecting data that is accurate, consistent and valid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of measure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-process</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enhance your summary by using icons to show how you present your data; for example, images of Pareto diagrams, or control charts which show the variation in your performance (we describe these in detail in Chapter 7).

**An Introduction to Sampling**

One of the important decisions to make in the collection and analysis of data is whether to look at everything or to take a sample. And if you take a sample, how big does it need to be? Sampling means that you’re collecting only a representative selection of the available or potentially available data, before analysing it to draw conclusions about the total data (using statistical inference), see Figure 6-9.

Sampling is used when it’s too difficult or expensive to collect and analyse all the data. And sometimes collecting the data destroys it, as with wine tasting or the testing of sutures, for example. Importantly, valid conclusions can often be drawn from a comparatively small amount of data. For conclusions to be valid, the samples must be representative, so that the data you collect fairly represents all of the data. No systematic differences should exist between the data you collect and the data you don’t collect.

In other words, every item stands an equal chance of being included. Apart from anything else, that means if you don’t understand the segmentation factors involved, you could take an inappropriate sample (see Figure 6-10). Bias can creep into your sample in a whole host of ways without adding this mistake. You need to think carefully about how to formulate your sample.
Here, we look at two types of sampling: process and population.

**Process sampling**

The purpose of process sampling is to measure, analyse or control the process. Examples include establishing the baseline performance, identifying opportunities for improvement and ensuring ongoing monitoring and control.

Two broad approaches to process sampling exist. The first involves taking a regular sample, for example every third or tenth item, or you might take a sample every 45 minutes, say. This is known as systematic sampling (see Figure 6-11); it’s often used for comparatively low volume administrative processes.
Part III: Assessing Performance

Systematic sampling from a process
Taking a regular sample, for example every third or tenth item etc. This can be relatively easy to do, but may introduce bias. It’s important to keep things in time sequence.

It can be relatively easy to do, but may introduce bias, if, for example, there’s something significant about every third or tenth item. The data links into the X moving R control chart (XmR is described in Chapter 7). This chart presents data in time sequence enabling you to identify any trends and helping you determine whether any action is needed, for example, to address deterioration in performance.

Sub-group sampling (see Figure 6-12) involves taking a regular and random sample of, for example, five items, perhaps every day. It is used with comparatively high-volume processes where looking at each and every item, or even every tenth item or so, isn’t practical. The data also links neatly with the X bar R control chart (described in Chapter 7).
Population sampling

Population sampling, as the name suggests, looks at populations. This could mean a customer base, or a population of something that’s already been processed, for example loan applications. Other examples include voting intentions of particular groups, the buying patterns of potential customers or the reasons for defaulting on mortgage payments.

So, how do you go about determining the sample size? How large need it be? The flippant answer is, how large can you afford it to be? Broadly speaking, the larger the sample size, the more accurate the sampling results from the data will be. But much will be determined by what you want the data for, how accurate it needs to be and how much variation exists within the population. If the population was comprised of clones, for example, you’d need to sample only one of them!

Let’s start by looking at how accurate you need the results to be. This is determined by precision. Precision is how narrow you want the range to be for your estimate. So, for example, estimate the cycle time within two days, or estimate the ‘percentage defective’ within 3 per cent. Either the letter ‘d’ or the symbol Δ for ‘delta’ is used to represent precision in the sample size formulae.

Understanding the ‘confidence interval’ is important in that it shows the range of results that are possible from the analysis of your sample, as you can see from the example that follows. Let’s assume you have selected a precision of plus or minus two days.

Precision is equal to half the width of the confidence interval. So, if your sample of data shows a mean average of 50 days, you are 95 per cent confident that the interval from 48 to 52 days contains the average cycle time. In this example, the width of the confidence interval is four days, and the estimate is within +/- two days.

The 95% confidence figure is the generally accepted norm in sampling. In the formula shown in figures 6.13 and 6.15, the ‘2’ in the formula links to plus and minus 2 standard deviations. (95.40 per cent of the population – see Figure 1.2 in chapter 1). Strictly speaking, this gives you 95.40 per cent confidence and the correct figure should be 1.96 rather than 2, but we felt that if you were trying these calculations manually, it would be easier to use 2 and the answers would be close enough!
The level of precision will vary according to your requirements. Why do you want the data? What decisions will you be making as a result? So, for example, imagine your mortgage service team has processed 15,000 ‘e-loan’ enquiries and you want to determine the average processing time. Depending on why you need the information, does your estimate have to be within five minutes, or five seconds? If you’re determining staffing and budget requirements, you’re likely to want the estimate to be pretty accurate.

You might be surprised to see how the sample size changes according to this requirement. The formula needed to estimate the average cycle time is shown in Figure 6-13:

\[
\text{Estimate average cycle time } n = \left( \frac{2s}{d} \right)^2
\]

Let’s work through an example, where you want to estimate the average processing time within one minute. To estimate the sample size, you need to know the standard deviation. You need to have some idea of the amount of variation in the data because, as the variability increases, the necessary sample size increases.

But if you haven’t sampled anything yet, how can you know the standard deviation? It may be that you already have some historical data on this, or you could use control chart data from a similar process (see Chapter 7 for a description of control charts). If not, you might need to collect a small sample, perhaps as few as 25–30 items, and calculate the standard deviation first.

In this example, let’s assume you’ve calculated that the standard deviation is three minutes. So, plugging the various numbers into the formula, the sample size needed is 36. See Figure 6-14.

That number increases to 144 if the precision is 30 seconds. And to 2,304 if the precision changes to 7.5 seconds. As you can see, the more precise you want the result to be, the larger the sample size. Incidentally, we’ll come back to this particular calculation (2,304) a little later on in Figure 6-18.
The formula changes to estimate proportions, as shown in Figure 6-15.

\[ n = \left( \frac{2 \times 3}{1} \right)^2 = 6^2 = 36 \]
\[ n = \left( \frac{2 \times 3}{0.5} \right)^2 = 12^2 = 144 \]
\[ n = \left( \frac{2 \times 3}{0.125} \right)^2 = 48^2 = 2304 \]

As before, the usual convention is to work with a 95 per cent confidence level, but there is nothing sacred about this value. Based on the cost of making an error, your project may require a higher or lower degree of confidence.

The tricky bit is determining your best guess for \( p \), though this may not really be as hard as it seems. There may be similar processes already in place, or you may already have a pretty good idea of the 'proportion defective'.
The largest sample size occurs when \( p = 0.5 \), or 50 per cent, so if you have no idea what \( p \) might be, assume it’s 0.5. The worst outcome is simply a larger than necessary sample. Incidentally, the proportion defective is a phrase that doesn’t simply describe defects! It might relate to the proportion of customers who are likely to purchase a new product or service, for example.

Again, let’s work through an example (see Figure 6-16). What is the sample size required to estimate the defect rate in a process, with 95 per cent confidence, when you expect it to be around ‘10% defective’, and where you want the estimate to be within 3 per cent?

The answer with a 3% precision

\[
\begin{align*}
    n &= \left( \frac{2}{d} \right)^2 (p)(1-p) \\
    n &= \left( \frac{2}{0.03} \right)^2 (0.10)(1-0.10) \\
    n &= 4444.4 \times 0.10 \times 0.90 = 400
\end{align*}
\]

The answer gets a lot bigger with precision at 1%

\[
\begin{align*}
    n &= \left( \frac{2}{d} \right)^2 (p)(1-p) \\
    n &= \left( \frac{2}{0.01} \right)^2 (0.10)(1-0.10) \\
    n &= 40000 \times 0.10 \times 0.90 = 3600
\end{align*}
\]

The sample size changes to 3,600 if you want the estimate to be within +/- 1 per cent. The sample size formulas assume the sample size (n) is small relative to the population (N). If you’re sampling more than 5 per cent of the population, \( n/N \) is greater than 0.05, this may be more than you need, and you can adjust the sample size with the ‘finite population’ formula shown in Figure 6-17.
Let’s see how this reduces the sample size of 2,304 that we calculated at the beginning of this section. Remember the population size in this example was 15,000. As you can see, we can reduce the sample to just under 2,000, as shown in Figure 6-18.

You may have worked out the sample size needed for the precision you’d like, but how big a sample can you afford to look at? If it’s less than the sample size should be, what will the affordable sample provide in terms of precision? And, will that be precise enough? By moving our formula around as shown in Figure 6-19 we can calculate the precision provided by the sample size and make a business decision as to whether it will be sufficient.

This section has provided an overview of sampling and the formulae needed to determine sample sizes for populations, either of people or things that have been processed or produced. Hopefully, it’s enabled you to appreciate that valid conclusions can be drawn from comparatively small samples, but that, in order for your sample to avoid bias, it must be representative.

Collecting data takes time and energy, and it costs money, too, so do make sure you’re collecting data that’s useful, and, of course, make sure it’s accurate! If you’re going to do it, do it properly.
Chapter 7
Presenting Your Data

In This Chapter
▶ Investigating variation
▶ Using control charts
▶ Looking at different ways to display data

This chapter introduces the importance of understanding and identifying variation. If you can identify what type of variation you’re seeing in your process results, you can determine whether action is needed or not, and avoid taking inappropriate action and wasting effort.

Control charts can be used to identify types of variation in your process and in the various materials, goods, and parts coming into your process, for example. This chapter covers how to use these powerful data displays. We focus on the most commonly used type, the X moving R, or individuals and range, control chart.

Later in the chapter we refer to some other data displays and ways to assess variation, looking at histograms and hypothesis tests.

Delving into Different Types of Variation

Things are seldom exactly the same, even if at first glance they appear to be so. Variation exists in people’s heights, in the many shades of the colour green, in the number of words in each sentence of this book and in the time different people take to read this book.

Variation comes in two types – common cause and special cause:

✔ **Common cause or natural variation** is just that – natural. You should expect it, you shouldn’t be surprised by it and you shouldn’t react to individual examples of it.

✔ **Special cause variation** isn’t what you expect to see – in the context of your processes, something unusual has happened that’s influencing the results.
You can use statistical process control (SPC) and control charts to identify and define variation in your business processes and we explain just what these are and how to use them in the later section ‘Recognising the Importance of Control Charts’.

Defining the type of variation is important as it ensures you take action only when you need to. Confusing one type of variation with the other creates problems.

**Understanding natural variation**

Natural variation is what you expect to see as a result of how you design and manage your processes. When a process exhibits only natural variation, it’s in statistical control and stable. Being in statistical control doesn’t necessarily mean that the results from the process meet your customer CTQs (Critical To Quality elements of your offering – see Chapter 4) but it does mean that the results are stable and predictable. If the results don’t meet your CTQs, you can improve the process using DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control – see Chapter 2).

To determine whether the variation is natural or special, try the following simple experiment with some colleagues:

First, write down the letter ‘a’ five times. This in itself forms the basis for an interesting discussion on giving clear instructions so that everyone understands the requirements. You may find that some people write their ‘a’s across the page, and others down the page. Some use capital letters, and others lower-case. One or two may even write ‘the letter ‘a’ five times’!

Now look at your own letters and ask whether they’re all the same. Each ‘a’ is probably slightly different, but generally they’re likely to be pretty similar and at least each one can clearly be identified as a letter ‘a’.

The difference between your letters is natural variation, and your process for producing the letters is stable and predictable. If you repeat the exercise, you’re likely to see the same sort of variation. To reduce the variation, you need to improve the process, perhaps by automating your writing or introducing a template. We continue this exercise in the ‘Avoiding tampering’ section later in this chapter.

**Spotlighting special cause variation**

Special cause variation is the variation you don’t expect. Something unusual is happening and affecting the results. Special cause variation may occur if
you don’t identify an important ‘X variable’, which influences your process results, or if you don’t manage the variable appropriately. The Xs will include a range of variables – for example, the accuracy and timeliness of the inputs to your process that you receive from suppliers, or the level of rework within your process (for more on X variables, see Chapter 8).

When a special cause exists, the process is no longer stable and its performance becomes unpredictable. You need to take action to identify the root cause of the special cause, and then either prevent the cause from occurring again if it degrades performance, or build the cause into the process if it improves it.

Not all special causes are bad. Sometimes they provide evidence that an improvement has worked. We describe how you can identify special causes later in this chapter, but first we need to stress why doing so is so important.

**Distinguishing between variation types**

You need to be able to tell the difference between the two types of variation. If you think something is special cause variation when in fact it’s natural, you may inadvertently tamper with the process and actually increase the amount of variation. Likewise, if you think something is natural variation when it’s really special cause, you may miss or delay taking an opportunity to improve the process.

**Avoiding tampering**

In the ‘Understanding natural variation’ section earlier in this chapter, we ask you to write down the letter ‘a’ five times as an example of natural variation. We suggest that, to reduce the amount of variation, you need to review and improve the process. In this section we show what happens if you tamper with the process by reacting to an individual example of common cause variation.

As an example, imagine that your manager doesn’t understand the importance of distinguishing between natural and special cause variation. He wanders through your work area to see the output being produced. He feels that your letter ‘a’ shows too much variation and asks you to show how you produce the letters. As you begin to demonstrate, your manager asks you to stop writing and points out that using your other hand is much better – after all, this is the hand he uses!

If you try writing with your other hand, your results probably show increased variation, and chances are you take longer to produce the output. Now imagine the output goes through an optical scanner – depending on the quality
of your letters when you write using your other hand, you might see further problems. Your manager then provides some unhelpful ideas to solve this problem, too.

Unfortunately, tampering happens all the time in many organisations. Managers often feel their role is to tamper.

Another example of tampering is pointless discussion. You may often see reports comprising pages of numbers that somebody expects you to understand and perhaps base decisions on. In Figure 7-1 we show a typical set of information that is practically meaningless to all but the person who created it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT</th>
<th>Previous month</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Current month</th>
<th>% change from last year</th>
<th>Previous month</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Current month</th>
<th>% change from last year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4678</td>
<td>4750</td>
<td>4978</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures relating to sales activity often provide good examples of pointless data. You may hear statements such as, ‘This week’s figures were better than last week’s, but not as good as the week before that’ or ‘It rained last Thursday, but the team did a great job this week’ – almost certainly the differences in the weekly figures are a measure of the natural variation in the process and not due to a special cause.

Using control charts can help you make sense of the figures by enabling you to distinguish between natural and special variation – but you may need to change the way you think. The different thinking needed is described as you work your way through the data from Figure 7-1, eventually using it to create a control chart in Figure 7-3.

**Displaying data differently**

The data in Figure 7-1 don’t tell you much. But if you present the data in a more visual form, you may begin to understand them. Figure 7-1 shows a typical set of row-by-column data, highlighting the sales performance for two
different locations in the month of May. The figure refers to eight different products. You can see the number of actual sales, along with some targets.

Instead of giving the figures for only one month, a more useful method is to plot a graph, called a run chart, using figures for a series of months. A run chart plots the data in time order – it is a time series plot that makes it easier to spot any trends. A run chart doesn’t tell you whether the variation is natural or special – to know that, you use a control chart to see whether any changes are part of the natural variation of the process or whether they’re unusual and need a second look.

In Figure 7-2 we use the figures for Location A and Product 3 to create a run chart that presents data through to the following March.

![Figure 7-2: Presenting data as a run chart.](image)

### Recognising the Importance of Control Charts

Control charts provide the only way to identify and understand variation.

Walter Shewhart, who felt that businesses wasted too much time confusing the types of variation and taking inappropriate action, developed control charts in the 1920s. Shewhart envisaged the control chart as a way to simplify identification of variation. He knew his control chart should be a run chart showing the mean average, and also the upper and lower control limits (UCL
and LCL). These upper and lower control limits show the natural range of the process results – but he was uncertain where to place these control limits.

Shewhart conducted thousands of experiments to determine the most appropriate position for the control limits. Using the data from the sub-group or sample taken, he discovered that the best positions were at plus and minus three standard deviations from the mean. We explain standard deviations in Chapter 1, but essentially, one standard deviation tells you the average difference between any one process result and the overall average of all the process results. It’s a measure of variation and at plus one and minus one standard deviation from the mean average, you’re likely to incorporate almost two-thirds of your total results. At plus and minus two standard deviations, you cover approximately 95 per cent of the results and setting the control limits at plus and minus three standard deviations includes 99.73 per cent of the data. Forget the statistics for the moment, though. Shewhart chose to place the control limits at these points, because here they work most effectively and economically to distinguish between natural and special cause variation.

Over time, many statisticians have reviewed Shewhart’s experiments and concluded that Shewhart got his limits exactly right.

**Creating a control chart**

Control limits are calculated using the actual results from your processes. Using the results from a process, you can calculate the mean of the first 20 points, represented by a central line on the control chart, together with the control limits, denoted by UCL and LCL. These control limits represent the natural variation of the readings. We show the details for calculating the control limits in Figure 7-9 later in this chapter. Right now, we need to look at the control chart in Figure 7.4. If you feel apprehensive about calculations, don’t worry too much about the maths: the calculations are relatively straightforward, and you can use software to do them for you.

Building on our example from Figures 7-1 and 7-2, we’ve built in some more data for Location A and Product 3. The control chart for the sales figures appears in Figure 7-3. The chart shows that the sales process exhibits variation, and that it is natural. We use the rules of statistical process control (SPC) to distinguish the type of variation. We cover SPC rules in the ‘Unearthing unusual features’ section later in this chapter, but for now work on the fact that, because all the data fall within the control limits, the readings reflect natural variation. This won’t always be the case and you’ll need to look out for unusual patterns in the data. These patterns are part of the rules we describe in the ‘Unearthing unusual features’ section.
If a process exhibits only natural variation, then it is in statistical control and is **stable**. Being stable means that the process results are predictable and you’ll continue to get results that display variation within the control limits. Not reacting to individual data items is the key.

Just because all your readings reflect a process that’s under control, stable and predictable, doesn’t mean your results are necessarily good. For example, you may find a large gap between the voice of the process and the voice of the customer (see Chapter 4 for more on these voices). You might not realise it, but your processes are trying to talk to you and you need to listen! Control charts provide an effective way of doing this, of understanding the voice of the process.

Because the process is stable, you can at least review the whole process to find improvement opportunities.

When you take action to improve the process, you must update your control chart to show the changes. Charts should provide a ‘live’ record of what happens – a ‘clean’ control chart probably isn’t being used properly.

**Unearthing unusual features**

You can identify special causes of variation in a number of ways. Noticing when a data item appears outside the control limits is an obvious one, as we show in Figure 7-4.
You also have some special causes to contend with if you spot a run of seven consecutive points that are all:

- Going up
- Going down
- Above the mean
- Below the mean

Also watch for two other anomalies:

- The middle third rule, which is based on the assumption that approximately two-thirds of the data will appear in the middle third of your control chart – this brings us back to standard deviations. The middle third of the control chart covers plus and minus one standard deviation, approximately two-thirds of your population data. If the spread of the data is out of line with this pattern, a special cause may be responsible. We aren’t great fans of this rule because it can be applied too rigorously and lead to confusion – we tend to focus on the point outside the control limits and the run of seven rules.

- Unusual patterns or trends, where, for example, something cyclical is occurring or data is drifting upwards or downwards over time, but isn’t by itself offending any of the other rules.

This chapter concentrates on the most important signal – a data point outside the upper or lower control limits. You need to find the root cause and then either prevent the special cause from occurring again (if the result is bad) or build the special cause into the process (if the result is good).
Statistical process control (SPC) is a broad subject, and in this chapter we provide only the key points. For more detail, grab one of our companion editions – *Six Sigma For Dummies* or *The Six Sigma Workbook For Dummies*, both published by Wiley.

In Figure 7-4, you can see a point outside the control limits. This probably indicates a special cause and you need to investigate it, but be aware that very occasionally you’ll find a point outside the control limits that is a natural part of the process and lies in the small proportion of data outside the 99.73 per cent covered by the control limits. This is known as a false alarm, though you won’t know that, of course, until you have investigated.

Maybe you know why the April sales figure is unusually high. Perhaps you ran a special promotion, coupled with the provision of a range of extra resources, resulting in a sales figure out of line with the previously expected values – and therefore outside the control limit. In SPC terms, the sales value for April is outside the system. This represents a good special cause – you need to see if you can build it into the process.

Sometimes you find a reason for an out-of-control signal that you can integrate into your improvement programme. As with this example, if you have a very high sales figure, and you know why, you can integrate this reason into the system and use it as part of an improvement strategy.

A special cause that most people are pleased to see is the proof that a change in the process has been successful. Figure 7-5 shows a situation where a process review has been carried out and an improvement action taken. The numbers on the vertical axis refer to the number of errors produced in sequential documents – perhaps the sales order forms.

**Figure 7-5:** New control limits set after a process review and improvement action.
The results that follow the change to the process are all below the original mean (the dotted line), reflecting an improvement in the process. The control chart gives us evidence of a change for the better – in this case, seven consecutive points below the original mean. You can now recalculate the control limits and head down a track that may highlight new special causes to be actioned. Reducing variation is one of the key principles of Lean Six Sigma – and that’s exactly what you’re seeing in Figure 7-5.

Choosing the right control chart

You can use a number of different statistical process control (SPC) charts, but broadly they all follow the same concepts and rules. *Variable charts* display data that’s been measured on a continuous scale, such as time, volumes or amounts of money, while *attribute charts* track data that’s counted, or whether a particular characteristic is present, or right or wrong. Each type of chart has its own standard formula for calculating control limits, but generally the same rules for interpreting the results, and the presence of a centre line apply to all.

Of the available control charts, the *X moving R*, or *individuals*, chart is the most versatile. In the X moving R chart, the ‘X’ represents each of the data points recorded – perhaps a series of sales volumes, or the time taken to process each order. ‘Moving R’ describes the *moving range* – the absolute difference between each consecutive pair of Xs, as shown in Figure 7-6.

This chart is ideal for measuring a variety of things, such as cycle time performance and volumes, and to present attribute data such as proportions or percentages by treating them as individual readings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>47</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>57</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>59</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moving R</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7-7 shows the formula for the X moving R chart. The UCL$_{X}$ and LCL$_{X}$ represent the upper and lower control limits for the X data. The X with the little bar above it (X bar) is the mean average of all the Xs in the data you’re using to construct your chart. R bar is the mean of the moving range values you calculate – see Figure 7-6.
In addition to the control chart for the X values, you can also create a chart for the moving range values. The formulae make use of ‘standard constant’ values, in this case represented by $A_2$, $D_3$ and $D_4$. These have been calculated using statistics to provide shortcuts in the calculations.

**The X moving R chart**

$$UCL_X = \bar{X} + (A_2 \bar{R})$$
$$LCL_X = \bar{X} - (A_2 \bar{R})$$

$A_2$ is one of a number of constant values used in calculating control limits. If the moving range is determined by looking at each pair of Xs, then $A_2$ will always be 2.66.

**Figure 7-7:** Looking at the formula for the X moving R chart.

**The formula for the Moving Range part of the chart is:**

$$UCL_R = D_4 \bar{R}$$
$$LCL_R = D_3 \bar{R}$$

$D_4$ is another of the constants used. Its value for the X moving R chart is 3.267. $D_3$ has no value for this chart, and the $LCL_R$ will always be zero.

Control charts are a key technique in the analysis, control and improvement of processes. Be aware that top-level management should initiate control charts, not those working with the processes. Managers should understand variation and then demonstrate that understanding through their behaviour if their teams are to appreciate the benefits of control charts and SPC. This means that if the data is natural variation, managers shouldn’t be tampering.

**Examining the state of your processes**

You can demonstrate your understanding of variation by using control charts to review performance in regular management meetings. Doing so can show you a number of important things that enable you to make more effective decisions. In particular, control charts help you to determine the state of your organisation’s processes and potentially to transform the operational meetings. This would be the case where the main thrust of current meetings is asking why this week’s results are worse than last week’s, and using the data to blame people for poor performance. Similarly, where the results are better than the previous week, people are praised for higher performance. No understanding of natural or special cause variation exists and tampering is rife. This type of meeting and behaviour tends to lead to the distortion of data and process, and a failure to manage by fact.
A process can be in one of four states, which provide the basis for more effective discussion and action, as shown in Figure 7-8:

 дня

In an **ideal state**, the process is in statistical control and meets the customer’s requirements. If you use a traffic-light system at your operations meetings, you can think of this state as a ‘green light’ – you need no discussion about why this week’s numbers differ from last week’s. Knowing whether the process is in statistical control and meets the CTQs is what’s important. By continuing to use the control chart, you can monitor the process and make sure it stays ideal. You may also want to improve on ideal – perhaps by delighting the customer or reducing the costs associated with the process – but to do that you need to implement an improvement project that looks at the whole process.

A **threshold state** – or ‘amber light’ – describes a process that’s in statistical control but doesn’t meet the customer specifications. Your discussion then focuses on the action that you need to take to bring the
process into an ideal state. Again, you don’t need to discuss the variation between this week’s and last week’s numbers, because the process is predictable. Assuming a DMAIC improvement project is initiated (see Chapter 2), your ongoing discussions will concern the progress you make. By continuing to use the control chart, you can monitor the effectiveness of your improvement efforts and, in due course, provide evidence that they’re working, perhaps with the identification of a special cause, similar to that in Figure 7-6.

- When a process is in the **on the brink state**, it meets the customer’s requirements but is not in statistical control. The process has special causes and is unpredictable. This is a red light situation – at any moment the process may slip into chaos.

- Chaos – the serious **red light state** – describes a process that’s not in statistical control and doesn’t meet the customer’s specifications. By continuing to use the control chart, you can monitor the removal of special causes and the eventual improvement of the process. Removing these special causes from the process before you begin to change is important; if you don’t, they’ll impede your efforts to improve.

In a culture of continuous improvement, moving to an ideal state via a threshold performance is fine. Improvement efforts should always focus on bringing the process into a state of statistical control first – special causes can confuse your improvement efforts if you don’t understand the interactions they are causing.

Take a bite-sized approach to improvement, monitoring as you go.

As your use and understanding of control charts increases, you may wish to incorporate additional information concerning the capability of your processes. Capability indices help you understand more about how well your processes are doing in terms of meeting the CTQs.

**Considering the capability of your processes**

A process in statistical control is not necessarily a good process. The process is predictable, but it still may not meet your customers’ CTQs. Two **capability indices** can be used to help assess your performance.

The capability indices compare the process performance and variation to the CTQs and provide both a theoretical and actual measure to demonstrate the relationship. They tell you precisely how capable the process is of meeting the CTQs.
These indices are relevant only when your process is in control and the process is predictable. The first capability index – the $C_p$ index – looks at the variation in the process compared with the specification limits of the CTQs.

Using the $C_p$ index is like gauging whether or not you can get your car through a gap. Imagine that the width of the control limits in your control chart is represented by the width of the car in Figure 7-9, and the arch represents the width of your customer’s specification limits, their CTQ. Consider whether you can drive through the arch.

![Figure 7-9: Taking your driving theory test.](image)

In Figure 7-9, you can see that driving through the gap is possible – but only just. You need to drive very carefully and ‘centre’ the car. In other words, you need to line up the mean of the control chart with the mid-point of the customer’s specification. The $C_p$ index tells us how many times the car can, in theory, fit inside the arch. In our example, the car fits inside the arch just once, so the $C_p$ value is 1.0.

$C_{pk}$ is the second capability index and it describes the ‘location’. $C_{pk}$ tells you how well you’re ‘driving’ – that is, how well you manage your process. The location describes the position of your process performance as presented by your control limits when compared to the CTQ specification.
Chapter 7: Presenting Your Data

In Figure 7-10, the driving needs some improvement. Here, the $C_{pk}$ value is less than the $C_p$ value. If $C_{pk}$ is less than 1.0, it doesn’t meet the CTQ.

In process terms, you need to shift the mean by improving this threshold process. Reducing the variation also makes the ‘fit’ of the car in the arch that little bit easier.

To fully assess a process you need both the $C_p$ and the $C_{pk}$ values. You also need to be in control of the process for these indices to be meaningful. The $C_{pk}$ value is never greater than the $C_p$ value. When the process mean is running on the ‘nominal’ – the mid-point of the customer specification – $C_{pk}$ and $C_p$ are the same value. This involves good management of the process, or continuing our car analogy, some careful driving.

Figure 7-11 shows the formula for calculating the $C_p$ and $C_{pk}$ values for the X moving R chart (see the ‘Choosing the right control chart’ section earlier in this chapter). The difference between the control limits, the UCL and the LCL, on your control chart covers six standard deviations. The difference between the upper and lower customer specification (USL–LSL) is usually referred to as the tolerance. The USL and LSL represent the range of the customer’s CTQ. For example, they may want a product delivered within five days, but describe their requirement as an upper specification of five days and a lower specification of one day. Three days would be the nominal value of this specification, the mid-point, and the tolerance, the difference between the specification limits, would be four days.

Dividing the tolerance by the distance between your control limits (six standard deviations), you have the $C_p$ value – the theoretical number of times you can fit the car within the arch. Your $C_p$ value must be at least 1.0 if you are to meet the CTQ.

Figure 7-11 describes how the formula for working out your $C_{pk}$ depends on the position of the mean on your control chart.
Part III: Assessing Performance

The \( Cp \) Index

\[
Cp = \frac{USL - LSL}{UCL - LCL} = \frac{\text{Tolerance}}{6 \text{ standard deviations}}
\]

- For the process to be inherently capable, the \( Cp \) index needs to be at least 1.0.

- It may be inherently capable, but how well is it located? You need to use the other capability index, \( Cpk \) to find out precisely.

You need to use one or the other of the following formulae: Which one depends on the position of the mean on the control chart.

- If the mean is closer to the customer’s upper specification limit, you use:

\[
Cpk = \frac{USL - \bar{X}}{3 \text{ standard deviations}}
\]

- If the mean is closer to the lower specification limit, you use:

\[
Cpk = \frac{\bar{X} - LSL}{3 \text{ standard deviations}}
\]

To put the capability indices into context, think location, location, location! You need to manage your processes in a way that tightly controls variation and locates the mean of your control chart on the nominal of the customer specification. Figure 7-12 shows the effects of doing so.

In Figure 7-12, the process has a \( C_p \) value of 2.0 – in theory, it can fit inside the arch twice. If the \( C_{pk} \) is also 2.0, the process is very capable of meeting the customer specification and does fit inside the arch twice. On the other hand, if your driving isn’t so good – that is, you don’t manage your process well enough – you can see the effect as the \( C_{pk} \) value reduces, moving from left to right in the figure. When \( C_{pk} \) is below 1.0, you’re unable to consistently meet the customer’s specification.

The capability indices help give you a complete picture of performance. They can help you prioritise improvement action, too. By comparing the \( C_p \) and \( C_{pk} \) values of different processes, you can decide where to focus your improvement efforts, perhaps concentrating on those processes where the values are less than 1.0.
**Additional ways to present and analyse your data**

As we explain in this chapter, process data may be presented in control charts, enabling you to determine the state of the process and its capability to meet CTQs. This information provides you with a clear picture of the action needed, if any.

Once the control chart indicates that the process is stable, we can use other charts to examine the data. The histogram, shown in Figure 7-13, is a chart frequently used to look at large (>50) samples.

Histograms can be helpful in providing a picture of the mean and range of performance, and indeed the distribution of the data; they don’t help you determine the type of variation that you are seeing, however, which is why we use a control chart. In Figure 7-13, the data appear to be distributed normally, but this isn’t always the case.
Sometimes you might see examples of skewed data, where, perhaps, a lot of items are processed quickly but a long tail of data reflects items that are delayed for some reason – see the Bar chart in Figure 7-14. These delayed items may be creating customer complaints or increasing your processing costs in some way – or both! With a skewed distribution, the mean is pulled to the right or left of centre and the broadly even spread of data we see in the ‘bell-shaped curve’ of a normal distribution is shaped accordingly:
You need to understand the reasons for the delay, perhaps using a check sheet and Pareto diagram to present your results (see Chapter 6 for more on these). The histogram can also help you identify the need to segment your data, as shown in Figure 7-15.

![Figure 7-15: Twin peaks.](image)

Looking like a camel with two humps, this *bimodal distribution* (two peaks) contains two ‘populations’, and to fully understand what the results are showing you need to separate them. The two populations could well be two different product lines, where one takes longer to process because of its increased complexity, for example, or the results might be from different locations dealing with different processes.

**Testing Your Theories**

From time to time, and particularly where you’ve been segmenting your process data, you need to know whether a statistically significant difference exists between data sets. The data might show, for example, the results from different teams, perhaps from varying locations. Or you may have been experimenting with improvement ideas and want to know whether the apparent improvement in your results is real.

You may be able to see a difference by viewing the shape of the data in a histogram, for example, or by comparing the mean or standard deviation, or
indeed, the amount of variation that’s showing up in a control chart. Even if you appear to see a difference, you may need to know how different your difference is. You may want to determine whether the differences are ‘real’ or just natural variation.

So, you need to decide whether the data has come from the same population or a different population. Possibly, the sample represents the future population to come. You need to determine if the data are really different – fortunately, you can use a hypothesis test to help you find out.

Hypothesis testing helps you find out if a statistically significant difference exists or not. This chapter provides only a brief overview of the tests, which are well supported by software programs such as Minitab or JMP, for example. Check out the Minitab or JMP websites for more details about hypothesis testing.

Creating two hypotheses for your tests, the null hypothesis and the alternate hypothesis, is the first step. The null hypothesis, usually expressed as $H_0$, proposes that no difference exists between the data. The alternate hypothesis, $H_A$, states a difference is evident. The alternative hypothesis is sometimes presented as $H_1$.

Hypothesis tests are different from control charts; they don’t look at ongoing data, but rather take a sample at a point in time. Usually, a 95 per cent confidence level is used, that is, you can be 95 per cent confident that the results display either a statistical difference or they don’t.

Two hypothesis tests may be especially useful: the T-test and the ANOVA. The T-test looks at two sets of data (as shown in Figure 7-16), and the ANOVA considers three or more sets of data.
An example of using a T-test is determining whether a process change has really improved performance: you look at the before and after results, perhaps following a DMAIC project. An example of using ANOVA is comparing the results from several teams in order to identify whether one team is performing better than others, which perhaps provides an example of best practice to follow. A \textit{p value} determines whether a real difference exists in your data. Using the usual confidence level of 95 per cent, if \( p < 0.05 \), you can be 95 per cent confident that a difference exists – a 5 per cent chance of spuriously seeing a difference when one isn’t there still exists, but the odds are overwhelmingly (19 to 1) against this being the case. If \( p \geq 0.05 \), you can conclude that insufficient evidence exists to reject the null hypothesis. You can interpret this conclusion in one of three ways:

\begin{itemize}
  \item The samples come from the same original population.
  \item You have too much variation.
  \item Your samples are too small to detect any real difference.
\end{itemize}

Where a difference is evident, in the performance of teams at different branches, for example, don’t jump to conclusions about why. The difference could be related to the way the data is collected, the size of the branch, the number of staff, their experience, the market segmentation, and so on. Through discussion and analysis of the process, you need to find the reasons, so you can build in best practice or find ways to eliminate the root causes of problems.
Whether you manage a day-to-day operation or are involved in a DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) improvement project, you need to understand what factors can affect performance, especially if you encounter problems in meeting your customers’ requirements. In this chapter, we introduce a selection of tools and techniques to help you identify the ‘guilty parties’. We focus on how and how well the work gets done – the process and the data.

Unearthing the Usual Suspects

If you’ve seen the Oscar-winning film *The Usual Suspects*, you may remember its false trails and red herrings. Not until the closing scenes do you find out just who the guilty person is. Many of the usual suspects are innocent – just like in real life and in your search for the root causes of problems in your process.

People often jump to conclusions about the possible causes of problems. In many organisations, managers seem to ‘know’ for sure what the causes are; usually, however, a whole range of suspects influence performance and affect your ability to meet customers’ CTQs (Critical To Quality) – but chances are only a vital few are actually ‘guilty’. Consider the following fishy tale:
A shortage of oysters off the eastern seaboard of the US has occurred in recent years. Clearly, this is a symptom of global warming or pollution. But perhaps not: research carried out by a Canadian university shows how the functional elimination of large sharks from the east coast, especially the scalloped hammerhead, has inadvertently resulted in dwindling supplies of shellfish as the result of an increased population of rays who eat them – see Figure 8-1.

**Figure 8-1: A fishy tale.**

---

### Generating your list of suspects

To find the guilty party, you generate a list of possible causes, check out each possible cause and gradually narrow down the list. In this section we look at the methods available to help you root out the suspects.

### Creating a cause and effect diagram

The fishbone, or cause and effect, diagram (see Figure 8-2) was developed by Dr. Ishikawa and provides a useful way of grouping and presenting ideas from a brainstorm.

**Figure 8-2: The fishbone diagram.**
The head of the fish contains a question that describes the effect you are investigating (make sure you choose a narrowly focused question or you’ll end up with a whalebone!). For example, you might ask, ‘What are the possible causes of delays in delivering customer orders?’ or ‘Why are there so many errors in our invoices?’ You can group the possible brainstormed causes under whatever headings you choose. In Figure 8-2 we use the traditional headings of People, Equipment, Method, Materials and Environment. You may find these headings useful in prompting ideas during the brainstorm, but be aware that they can also inhibit more lateral thinking.

The team comes up with their ideas on the possible causes, writing the ideas on sticky notes so that you can move them around easily during the subsequent sorting process.

Place your major cause headings on the left-hand side of the diagram, forming the main ‘bones’ of the fish. The brainstormed ideas (the potential main causes) form the smaller bones. For each possible cause, ask the question ‘Why do we think this a possible cause?’ and list the responses as smaller bones coming off the main causes. You may have to ask ‘Why?’ several times to identify the probable reason, though you might still need to validate this with data.

Use an interrelationship diagram next, to help you focus on the right Xs (or input variables – see the ‘Investigating the suspects and getting the facts’ section below for an explanation), as shown in Figure 8-3. Remember, the numbers next to the boxes represent arrows out over arrows in.

Figure 8-3: The fishbone diagram meets the interrelationship diagram.
Instigating an interrelationship diagram

Using an interrelationship diagram (ID) helps you identify the key drivers behind the effect you're investigating in your fishbone diagram. We cover the ID in Chapter 2, where we show how you can use it with an affinity diagram – a really useful way of helping you get to the root cause.

Investigating the suspects and getting the facts

Managing by fact is vital, so validating the possible causes highlighted by your interrelationship diagram (see previous section) is the next step. All those possible causes are innocent until proven guilty. To validate your causes, you may need to observe the process and go to the Gemba (the place where the work gets done – see Chapter 2), or check out the data to see whether they confirm your suspicions. You'll probably need to collect some additional data to do this. Chapter 4 covers the development of measurable CTQs, which provide the basis for the measurement set of your process, and Chapters 6 and 7 introduce the importance of a data collection process, beginning with the need to measure the outputs of your process.

In Lean Six Sigma speak, the output measures are Y data, and the results here are influenced by the upstream X variables. Xs and Ys are actually just cause and effect. Individually and collectively, the various Xs influence your performance in meeting the customer CTQs, the Y variables. Sometimes, Xs are referred to as ‘independent variables’ and Ys as ‘dependent variables’. Clearly, the Y results depend on you managing the Xs very carefully.

A SIPOC diagram (see Chapter 3 for the details) provides an ideal framework to help you think about all your process measures and now you need to pull together a set of X measures, if you don’t already have them. A range of X variables will be coming into your process – the ‘input variables’. These input variables affect the performance of the Ys, and may include the volume of activities, for example the number and type of new orders. These input variables may well concern the performance of your suppliers, too, perhaps in terms of the level of accuracy, completeness and timeliness of the various items being sent to you. The inputs might be from customers or suppliers, but either way, they’ll impact on how you perform. How often do you need to go back for missing information or clearer instructions, for example?

A range of X variables will exist in the process itself – the ‘in-process variables’. Here, your deployment flowchart or value stream map (see Chapter 5 for details) can help you highlight the potential Xs, including activity and cycle times, levels of rework, the availability of people or machine downtime,
for example. Again, these Xs will affect your performance. As you identify the X measures you need, so you’re building a balance of measures to help you manage your process. You are likely to find that the SIPOC and deployment flowchart are especially helpful here.

Getting a Balance of Measures

To fully understand the performance of your process, you need a balance of input, in-process and output measures, as shown in Figure 8-4, with perhaps one to three measures for each. You also need to recognise that the input and in-process variables will influence the results in your output variables, so your measures should clearly link together.

Figure 8-4: Getting the balance of measures and understanding how they interrelate:

Connecting things up

Figure 8-5 provides a reminder of how the CTQs are pulled together and incorporates Figure 6-1 from Chapter 6. Figure 6-1 shows how you need to put the measurable CTQs into a matrix, determine the output measures and assess the output measures as strong, medium or weak.

Now you put the output measures into the Y to X matrix, as shown in Figure 8-5, identifying the X variables and the corresponding measures for them.
You also need to assess how these X measures link to the outputs, ensuring you have at least one strong X measure linking to each output measure, the Ys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voice of the customer</th>
<th>Key issues</th>
<th>Measurable CTQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To meet timescales we need to know about new service requirements asap</td>
<td>Speed is key as the service must be completed within agreed timeframes</td>
<td>Issue new service advices within 5 hours of receipt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We must have the right details and information</td>
<td>Accuracy is vital to avoid wasted activity and lost time</td>
<td>No errors in the documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Fishbone may have highlighted some gaps.

Do we have the right measures and an appropriate balance? Perhaps we need to segment the data in some way.

---

**Figure 8-5:** Linking things up: developing and reviewing the measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer Requirements</th>
<th>Output Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issue new service requirement advices within 5 hours of receipt of customer call</td>
<td>% in 5 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No errors in the information recorded in the advice</td>
<td>Strong relationship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output Measures</th>
<th>What are the input &amp; in-process X variables?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% in five hours</td>
<td>Strong relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of errors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of errors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ideally, you can determine precisely how the variables interrelate, considering the X measures as ‘leading indicators’ and the Y measures as ‘lagging indicators’ – that is, a clear cause and effect correlation is in place. To do this, you need to use a scatter diagram to help prove your point.

**Proving your point**

When you think you know the cause of the problem in your process, you may need to provide some evidence to back it up. For example, your boss may think she knows the answer, but you may find something different as the result of your careful analysis of the facts.

You can use a simple matrix to show how the various snippets of evidence match against the suspects. This matrix is sometimes referred to as logical cause testing, where you summarise the possible causes of the problem, and show whether the various evidence you’ve gathered from your process and data analysis logically matches the suspects.

Using a scatter diagram (sometimes referred to as a scatter plot) can help you strengthen your case. A scatter diagram helps you identify whether a potential relationship or correlation exists between two variables and enables you to give a value to and quantify that relationship. The variables are the cause and effect – X and Y. You can use this method to verify potential root causes of a problem or, for example, to validate the relationship between your input and in-process measures against your output measures. If your suspected cause (X) is real, then any changes in X produce a change in the effect (Y). Do be careful, however, as correlation does not always imply causation, and you need to use common sense to draw your conclusions.

The dependent Y variable is always plotted on the vertical axis, the independent X variable is plotted on the horizontal axis. The data is plotted in pairs, so when X = ‘this value’, Y = ‘that value’. We show four such pairs in the first example in Figure 8-6. In this example, a relationship seems to exist between speed and error rate – the faster we do it, the more errors we get. This correlation is positive because the values of Y increase as the values of X increase.

The second example in Figure 8-6 shows a negative correlation – the values of Y decrease as the values of X increase and, in doing so, appear to confirm our theory that investment in training leads to reduced error rates.

In the third example in Figure 8-6, no correlation exists, so our theory doesn’t hold. Whatever the X is, it doesn’t influence the Y results; do make sure, though, that the data has been segmented, otherwise a pattern might be hidden from view. Chapter 3 covers segmentation.
Seeing the point

Simply seeing the picture may be enough to demonstrate that you have or haven’t found the root cause of your problem, but to strengthen your case you can put a value on the relationship between the variables by calculating the correlation coefficient, or r value. This value quantifies the relationship between the X and the Y – it tells you the strength of the relationship, be it positive or negative, in terms of the amount of variation the X is causing in the Y results.

In a perfectly positive correlation, $r = +1$. In a perfectly negative correlation, $r = -1$. Usually the correlation coefficient is less than one, as the possibility of only one X affecting the performance of the Y is unlikely; generally, several will be evident and it’s likely you will have determined the correlation coefficient value for each of these. Almost certainly, however, one X will be causing the most variation.

The correlation coefficient becomes clearer with a little bit more maths (don’t worry – software such as Excel, JMP or Minitab can do it for you). The value $r^2$ (the coefficient of determination) shows the percentage of variation in Y explained by the effect of X. For example, if $r = 0.7$, the variable is causing 49 per cent of the variation in Y; if $r = 0.8$, the value increases to 64 per cent. In either of these circumstances, you seem to have found the important root cause of the problem as these values are particularly high, especially considering that a number of other Xs are also influencing the Y results. With a lower value, for example where $r = 0.2$ or 0.3, the impact is relatively small, accounting for 4 per cent and 9 per cent, respectively.

Figure 8-7 shows the line of best fit, which can help you see the likely values for data that you don’t currently have. Drawing a line through highly correlated data such as that in the first two examples in Figure 8-6 is easy – you can do it with a ruler and pencil. You can calculate the line precisely using the regression equation, $Y = b_0 + b_1x_1$, where $b_0$ = the intercept (where the
line crosses the vertical axis, $X = 0$) and $b_1$ = the slope (the change in Y per unit change in X).

You’ll see this equation presented in a number of ways but, whichever letters you use, the slope will look the same! Figure 8-8 identifies the need to be aware of a threshold point – the straight line of best fit might not always continue into the future as circumstances change.

When just one X is involved, this calculation is known as simple linear regression. Multiple regression extends the technique to cover several Xs, as does design of experiments, but these more involved statistical techniques are outside the scope of this book (take a look at Six Sigma For Dummies by Craig Gygi, Neil DeCarlo and Bruce Williams and Six Sigma Workbook For Dummies by Craig Gygi, Bruce Williams and Terry Gustafson, both published by Wiley).

Linear regression enables you to make predictions for the value of Y with different values of X, though remember that the straight line might not continue forever. As indicated earlier, a threshold may exist where things change dramatically, as we show in Figure 8-8.

Abandon rate in a call centre is a good example of a threshold point existing where: callers might be prepared to hang on the line for a reasonable time, but at a certain point they become irate and slam the phone down.
Scatter diagrams are easy to produce using programs such as JMP, Excel or Minitab. However, be aware of some of the common errors and pitfalls associated with them, such as mixing up the X and Y variables and axes or making the assumption that correlation implies causation. Correlation does not always imply causation, and you need to use common sense to draw your conclusions.

The example in Figure 8-9 shows data from the German village of Oldenberg, for the years 1930 to 1936. As you can see, the figure shows that Walt Disney’s Dumbo got it right: storks really do bring babies! A relationship does exist in these data – but the X and Y axes are the wrong way round. The village expanded in this period, people built new houses and the increase in the number of tall chimneys proved to be an attraction for nesting storks. More usefully, we could plot the number of houses on the X axis and the number of storks on the Y axis.

Understanding the various Xs affecting the performance of your process is crucial. Once you’ve identified your Xs, you can ensure the right measures are in place and can work towards creating stable and predictable performance.

**Assessing your effectiveness**

Several ‘Lean measures’ are available to help you understand performance and the scale of improvement needed, including Takt time and overall process effectiveness and Overall Equipment Effectiveness.
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**Figure 8-9:** Bringing home the baby.

![Correlation Between Number Of Storks and People](image)


**Taking Takt time into account**

*Takt time* tells you how quickly you need to action things in relation to customer demand. Takt is German for a precise interval of time such as a musical meter. It serves as the rhythm or beat of the process – the frequency at which a product or service must be completed in order to meet customer needs.

The Takt time formula is the available production time divided by the customer demand:

\[
\frac{\text{The available work time per shift}}{\text{The number of customer orders per shift}}
\]

The available time is independent of how many resources are available. It represents the number of working hours in the day or shift. For example, if a widget factory operates 480 minutes per day and customers demand 100 widgets per day, Takt time is 288 seconds, or 4.80 minutes, as shown in Figure 8-10. If demand is for 240 widgets, the Takt time would be two minutes.

Similarly, if customers want two new products per month, Takt time is two weeks.
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Let’s take an example, using our formula:

\[
\text{Takt time} = \frac{\text{The available work time per shift}}{\text{The number of customer orders per shift}}
\]

- We have 100 customer requests each working day, where we have an eight hour shift for 10 people
- The number of people isn’t a factor in calculating Takt time, so:
  - 8 (hours) \times 60 (minutes) = 480 available minutes
  - 480 divided by 100 (customer requests) = 4.80 Takt time
- Even if there were 20 people, the Takt time would still be 4.80
- It’s the production rate needed to meet the demand

Recognising the effect of rework is important, because it effectively reduces the Takt time in direct proportion. So, imagine that in the example, a 10 per cent error rate exists in the first-pass output of the work, though this is picked up and corrected. In effect, this ‘increases’ the number of customer requests from 100 to 110, the ‘available’ minutes are unchanged at 480, but the impact on Takt time is to effectively make it shorter, at 4.36 minutes. Takt time will be effectively shorter still if we have second-pass corrections to deal with! So, the actual Takt time might be 480, but the rework means you have less time than that in practice.

Incidentally, Toyota typically reviews the Takt time for a process every month, with a tweaking review every ten days. Within a service organisation, the use of control charts (see chapter 7), to understand the likely variation in customer orders for example, can be a helpful way of reviewing Takt time.

Clearly, an important relationship exists between Takt time, cycle time and activity time. If the Takt time is less than the cycle time you have a problem, which must be tackled immediately, ideally using DMAIC. Removing waste may well be part of the solution; preventing it in the first place might be another.

When Takt time equals cycle time ‘perfect flow’ exists, but too often the flow isn’t balanced. This situation can cause bottlenecks that disrupt your ability to meet customer demand. Figure 8-11 shows the dilemma faced by a line experiencing bottlenecks.
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In order to meet the Takt time, the level of non-value-add (NVA) activities will need to be addressed, but a better balance will be required, too, as shown in Figure 8-12.

Combine tasks, reduce NVA and simplify things where possible
Chapter 9 covers NVA activity in detail, but essentially this relates to unnecessary work that adds no value to the customer.

**Considering overall process and equipment effectiveness**

In analysing your performance, you may also want to put in place some additional measures, such as *overall process effectiveness* (OPE) in transactional processes and *overall equipment effectiveness* (OEE) in manufacturing. We use OPE and OEE to measure and understand the performance and effectiveness of equipment or processes. Each of these summary measures has three components: availability, performance, and quality.

- **The availability rate** measures downtime losses from equipment failures and adjustments as a percentage of the defined and scheduled time.
- **The performance rate** measures operating speed losses – running at speeds lower than design speed and stoppages lasting for brief periods as agreed.
- **The quality rate** expresses losses resulting from scrap and rework as a percentage of total parts run.

These elements are multiplied together, where

\[
\text{OEE} = \text{Availability} \times \text{Performance} \times \text{Quality}.
\]

So, with Availability at 90 per cent, Performance at 95 per cent and Quality at 99 per cent,

\[
\text{OEE} = 0.90 \times 0.95 \times 0.99 = 84.6 \text{ per cent}.
\]

In service organisations, or for transactional processes, OPE tends to be used. Here, you take the following three elements, again multiplying them together to determine the OPE:

- \( A \) = availability of equipment
- \( P \) = productivity
- \( Q \) = quality rate

Take a look at *Lean For Dummies* by Natalie J. Sayer and Bruce Williams (Wiley) for more detailed information about the OEE and OPE.
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Improving the Processes

The 5th Wave
By Rich Tennant

“We’re using just-in-time inventory and just-in-time material flows which have saved us from implementing our just-in-time bankruptcy plan.”
In this part . . .

In this part, we introduce a variety of tools and techniques to help you identify and reduce waste in your existing processes, enabling you to improve the time it takes to do things. We give you the lowdown on Value-Added and Non-Value-Added, and look at the importance of identifying and tackling bottlenecks in your processes.

In particular, we are looking at how to improve process flow covering a number of concepts including ‘pull not push’, and the power of prevention. We also look at how to design new processes so that they deliver six sigma performance from day one, and in doing so, we introduce the House of Quality (Quality Function Deployment) as the key technique in this.
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Identifying Value-Added Steps and Waste

In This Chapter

▶ Adding value to your organisation
▶ Seeing how most problems result from just a handful of issues
▶ Working waste out of your organisation
▶ Taking a greener approach

"We need to add value." How often do you hear someone in your organisation say something similar to this? Perhaps you use the phrase yourself. Unfortunately, many organisations don’t have an agreed definition of ‘value-added’ or indeed ‘non-value-added’ and this leads to confusion and missed opportunity. People place different interpretations on what this commonly used Lean Six Sigma expression means, and the use of the terms to help remove unnecessary steps and actions, and to simplify processes, can be lost.

This chapter focuses on waste, generally, describing the ‘seven wastes’ popularised by Toyota’s Taiichi Ohno, and a few more that have been added to the list since. These various ‘wastes’ need to be removed to reduce costs and processing time, and improve service to the customer.

Interpreting Value-Added

Lean Six Sigma focuses on providing value for the customer (see Chapter 2), so knowing what value actually means in your organisation is crucial. Chapter 4 covers the CTQs, those critical to quality customer requirements that your organisation needs to meet. In examining how your processes try to meet those CTQs, you need to assess whether all the steps involved are
really necessary, and if they occur in the best sequence. For determining if each step adds value to your process, a standard definition that everyone in your organisation can use and understand is a prerequisite.

**Providing a common definition**

For a step to be value-adding, it must meet the following three criteria:

✔ The customer has to care about the step.
✔ The step must either physically change the product or service in some way, or be an essential prerequisite for another step.
✔ The step must be actioned ‘right first time’.

The first criterion in this list is rather subjective. Put yourself in the shoes of the customer: if they knew you were doing this particular step, would they be prepared to pay for it? In providing value for your customer you need to give them the right thing, at the right time, and at the right price (see Chapters 2 and 4 on meeting CTQs).

You need to look at your process from your customers’ perspective. You may be processing their orders in batches, for example, and waiting until you’ve completed the entire batch before despatching the products. The step putting an individual customer’s order to one side while you finish processing others hardly adds value from their perspective.

Consider another example. You have to refer your customer’s mortgage application to a senior underwriter to approve the loan. The customer won’t be happy to pay for this step, especially if it involves sending his papers to another location – he expects you to be able to approve the loan. If the process involved the client’s paperwork for the mortgage going back and forth between underwriters the situation would be even worse.

The second criterion – the step must change the product or service – means that activities such as checking, revising, expediting and chasing are clearly non-value-adding. Challenging your process steps with this criterion seeks to prevent unnecessary checking and the movement of items back and forth between different steps in the process.

Chapter 5 describes a process-stapling exercise for highlighting non-value-adding steps. Some steps in your process may be completely unnecessary
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– so remove them. Ensure the removal won’t cause an unexpected knock-on effect elsewhere in the process, though. If you carry out the process stapling thoroughly, you can see all the vital elements in your process and how they interrelate and you can make a simple improvement with no unforeseen adverse effects.

Very often, managers have ‘bolted on’ these unnecessary steps as a knee-jerk reaction to something going wrong in a process. It was almost certainly the wrong thing to do, but before too long, it became recognised as an important step in the process. As other errors occurred over time, so more ‘bolt-on’ steps were added, leading now to a prime opportunity for a value-added analysis to help make the process flow more easily. Our experience is that checking work to avoid errors can be a pretty hit-and-miss affair – we always recommend trying to build quality in through prevention and error proofing.

Making sure a step is done right first time is the third criterion in checking value-added. Rework costs time, effort and money and is definitely a non-value-adding activity. Chapter 10 looks at addressing errors using prevention and error proofing.

**Carrying out a value-added analysis**

After you establish a common definition for value-added, you can review your processes and see if any non-value-adding steps can be removed. This section describes how to go about a value-added analysis, but bear in mind that you’re likely to want to keep some of the non-value-adding steps you discover. For example, some regulatory requirements may be in place that the customer may not be interested in, but which you must adhere to. These are usually described as ‘essential NVAs’. Some organisations feel it appropriate to add an additional column to Table 9-1, to capture this type of NVA, often under the heading of either ‘Essential or Business NVA’.

You need to analyse your process, so looking at Chapter 5 may be helpful. A value-added analysis really is as straightforward as it sounds, though: just look at each step in your process and determine if it’s necessary. Use the matrix in Table 9-1 to capture your data. Completing and analysing the detail might create some surprises; typically, very few steps add value.
As part of your analysis, assessing the unit or activity time for each of the process steps is sensible. Unit time is the time it takes to complete a process step (we cover unit time in more detail in Chapter 5). The unit or activity time is the sum of the value-added and non-value added time, including the ‘essential or business NVA time’ if you chose to show it as a separate column.

If you know how long a step takes to complete and the salary costs associated with the people working in the process, you can work out the approximate cost of that non-value-adding activity, which may well encourage you to improve the step or eliminate it.

Understanding the unit time is relevant for all of the non-value-adding steps, but perhaps especially so in terms of the rework activity. Chapter 5 looks at mapping your processes. Very often process maps are produced assuming the work is carried out right first time. Unfortunately, this ideal situation isn’t always the case, as you can see in Figure 9-1 (the dotted lines represent rework).

Mapping, perhaps in a different colour, the rework loops in your process and recording how often they’re used can be very revealing. In possession of your cost information, you can then start to prioritise your efforts to prevent these expensive errors (see Chapter 10 for more on preventing errors occurring in the first place).
Once identified, many non-value-adding tasks can probably be eliminated. However, some will remain necessary for regulatory, health and safety or environmental reasons. Termed ‘essential non-value-adds’, these activities need to be carried out as quickly and efficiently as possible. Ensure your process allows this to happen.
Assessing opportunity

Typically, only 10 to 15 per cent of the steps in a process add value and, more often than not, these steps represent as little as 1 per cent of the total process time. These numbers may sound impossible, but just think about what happens in your own processes.

In relation to these figures, the scope for improvement is huge, especially in reducing cycle time – the time it takes to process a customer order. In Chapter 1, we refer to Taiichi Ohno’s quote:

_All we are doing is looking at a timeline from the moment the customer gives us an order to the point when we collect the cash. And we are reducing that timeline by removing the non-value-added wastes._

Reducing the timeline between a customer’s order and receiving payment is your mission and the value-added analysis described in this chapter starts you on that journey.

Looking at the Seven Wastes

_Muda_ is Japanese for waste. In any process, some steps add value and some don’t. Some of these non-value-adding steps have to stay, however, perhaps because of limitations in available technology or resources. Others can be eliminated immediately, perhaps through a DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) project (see Chapter 2).

In waste terms, these non-value-adding steps are described as either ‘type one’ or ‘type two’ Muda, respectively.

These broad types of waste can be broken down into seven categories:

- Overproduction
- Waiting
- Transportation
- Processing
- Inventory
- Motion
- Correction
Sometimes, these categories are introduced as ‘Tim Wood’:

✓ Transportation
✓ Inventory
✓ Motion
✓ Waiting
✓ Overproduction
✓ Over-processing
✓ Defects

In the following sections we look at each of these wastes in turn, using these seven categories.

Owning up to overproduction

Overproduction is producing too many items, or producing items earlier than the next process or customer needs. This type of waste contributes to the other six wastes.

Working in a service organisation, we discovered a classic example of process sub-optimisation: improvement or inappropriate targets in one part of the process cause problems elsewhere in the process. The manager of Department A was determined to show how good both he and his team were compared with the other departments involved in the downstream process. He set a production target that required overtime work from his team, but which showed extremely high levels of productivity that earned praise from senior management. Unfortunately, this increase in output created problems in the immediate downstream process step, leading eventually to the work being stored as a two-week backlog. Even more unfortunately, the manager of Department B received the blame and was pressured by senior management and those working on the further downstream process steps. Overproduction had struck again!

A classic example of overproduction involves printed material. When you see how the unit price for leaflets or brochures, for example, dramatically reduces as the volume increases, over-ordering is really tempting. Ordering the higher volume and paying so much less per unit makes sense. Or does it? Do you have a large amount of printed material that is unlikely to be used, or is taking up valuable storage space, or is out-of-date? How much is literally thrown away?
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Playing the waiting game

Waiting essentially means people are unable to get on and process their work. This delay may be caused by equipment failure, for example, or because people are waiting for the items they need in their part of the process.

Waiting can result from late delivery by external or internal suppliers, or perhaps the incomplete delivery of an order. One of our favourite examples of unnecessary waiting involves photocopiers. Large organisations need top-of-the-range photocopiers but often senior management decide, for reasons of cost, that basic models will suffice. Unfortunately, cheaper models aren’t designed to deal with the volume demands of large organisations, and keep breaking down, leaving staff to either wait for them to be repaired or wander round trying to find a photocopier that does work.

Troubling over transportation

Transportation waste involves moving materials and output unnecessarily. Sending partly completed batches of work through the internal mail system because processing teams are located inappropriately is an example. Movement of goods to and from the same place is another. Transportation processes involving non-value-adding steps are even more wasteful.

Transportation waste can also include moving surplus material (see the ‘Owning up to overproduction’ section, earlier in this chapter). The need to move things around in order to find space for other things, for example, is often the result of overproduction. (Chapter 11 covers pull production systems, whereby items are only requisitioned when they’re actually needed.)

Picking on processing

Over-processing waste covers performing unnecessary processing steps, involving, for example, irrelevant information or the completion of too many fields on a form. Putting too many additional information leaflets into a letter being sent to a customer – piggy-backing on the real purpose of the correspondence – is another example.

Processing of unnecessary information is the real crux of processing waste. Consider situations in which customers filling in order forms, and people processing them, have to provide or input more information than is really needed. Eventually, the processing team identify the ‘key fields’ and, provided they complete those, the application can be progressed. So what was
the other information for? Sometimes it can be justified as potentially important marketing information, but so often it isn’t needed or even used.

**Investigating inventory**

Inventory waste links to overproduction resulting in too much work in progress or too many brochures, leaflets or stationery in stock.

Working with a banking client, we reviewed the process for issuing bank statements to account holders. Large machines are used to sort the statements and stuff the envelopes, but this particular work area didn’t have sufficient capacity to deal with the volume of statements. They needed an additional machine, but had no room for one. Or did they?

In reality, poorly utilised space in their storage room (in part caused by keeping over-ordered and out-of-date literature) had created an overspill of inventory onto the work area floor space. This overspill was preventing the acquisition and location of the additional and much-needed equipment. We used the Five Ss – sort, straighten, scrub, systemise and standardise (see Chapter 10 for more on these) – as a framework to help keep things neat and tidy, with a place for everything and everything in its place. Using the Five Ss, we created space to facilitate the introduction of the extra machine and an accompanying increase in processing output.

Watch out for the overproduction of items simply to meet productivity targets. A demand for costly space to store them is likely to result!

**Moving on motion**

Time for some ergonomics. Motion waste covers a range of movements, including that of people, perhaps because of the inappropriate siting of process teams or equipment, or the need to find misplaced documents. This type of waste also includes the need to access too many screens, double-handling or seeking unnecessary approvals.

Motion waste certainly includes unnecessary movement caused by a poorly designed workspace: positioning of computer screens or the height of a desk or work bench, for example. Motion waste is a particular focus in assembly plants, where saving even a few seconds in the various stages of assembling a high-volume product can be vital in enabling reduced costs and increased production.
Some years ago, researchers compared the relative positions of the controls on a lathe with the size of an average male worker. They found that the lathe operator had to stoop and move from side to side to operate the controls. The ‘ideal’ person to fit the lathe would measure 4 feet 6 inches tall, 2 feet across the shoulders and have an arm span of 8 feet!

This example epitomises the shortcoming in design when no account has been taken of the user. People come in all shapes and sizes, and ergonomics takes this variability into account in the design process. Ergonomics is about ensuring a good fit between people, the things they do, the objects they use and the environments in which they work, travel and play. A range of best practice guidelines is available on the Internet, covering areas such as lifting and the ideal design of workstations.

One goal of ergonomics is to design jobs to fit people. Job design in ergonomics recognises that everyone is different. Variability in height, weight, length of arms, size of hands and so on, needs to be taken into account, and study of the human body (anthropometrics) provides data on how these vary across the population.

Applying these principles involves following a logical process:

1. **Analyse the job.** What is required to do the job properly and safely?

2. **Identify any stressful elements of the job, focusing on issues related to physical movement.** Is machine access too tight for the largest worker? Do short workers have to crane their necks to read displays? Do workers have to reach above their shoulders or below their knees?

3. **Determine the relevant body dimensions linked to the problems identified.** Height, weight, arm length or hand size can be issues, for example.

4. **Decide how much variability needs to be accommodated in the design.** You can use data from various anthropometric studies (studies of the human body) to help you determine the appropriate specifications in your design. Many of these are available on the Internet or from relevant government departments. You might be able to create the design based on the actual measurements of your existing staff, for example, using the extremes of their height and/or weight information.

5. **Involving the operators and users, redesign the workstation as appropriate.** Build in adjustment capability to accommodate size or arm-length differences between staff.

Benefits of applying this five-step process are improved efficiency, quality and job satisfaction. Costs of failure include error rates and physical fatigue, or staff absence through injury.
**Coping with correction**

Correction is the seventh waste and it deals with rework caused by not meeting customer requirements (the CTQs – critical to quality customer requirements), providing incomplete replies or simply making errors.

Figure 9-1, in the ‘Carrying out a value-added analysis’ section earlier in this chapter, is a process map showing levels of rework. You can use unit time information to put a cost on rework. American quality guru Phil Crosby refers to PONC – the *price of non-conformance*. This simple measure puts a price on how much it costs to do things wrong, to not meet customer requirements. He estimates that in a service organisation, PONC accounts for somewhere between 25–40 per cent of annual expenditure!

Errors are a costly waste – Chapter 10 focuses on how to prevent them.

**Looking Beyond the Seven Wastes**

The Japanese believe seven to be a lucky number, which is why they identify the ‘seven wastes’ even though they know at least eight exist! This eighth category is failing to use the potential of people.

**Wasting people’s potential**

The ‘waste’ of human potential can be viewed from two perspectives – misused or untapped.

*Misused* potential can result from not properly structuring the way work is distributed and described. So, for example, how often do you see misalignment of individual and departmental goals, causing people to work at cross purposes? And how often do you either hear or say the words, ‘that’s not quite what I meant’? Spending a little more time on properly describing and agreeing the requirements of the task is time well spent – assuming the task is a value-adding one!

Untapped potential is often the result of managers assuming their staff leave their brains behind in reception when they come into work. Think about all the things people do in their spare time, running clubs or societies, acting as the treasurers, or being a school governor, organising social events and raising funds for charity, being members of teams or choirs, and so on. These
activities require skills and talents. Skills and talents that aren’t always recognised in the workplace – it’s such a waste!

Another form of people waste stems from competition between teams in the same organisation. Co-operation can cut out so much wasted effort. Time will also be well spent on agreeing the ‘one best way’ of carrying out the various process activities of the organisation – standardisation is covered in Chapter 10.

In looking for opportunities to reduce or eliminate waste, you can find a clue in words that begin with ‘re’. Although plenty of ‘re’ words are fine – recycle is one of them – many indicate doing things more than once. Look out for rework, reschedule, redesign, re-check and reject!

## Going green

Nowadays, you also need to consider waste in the context of the environment and the use of energy. The term ‘green lean’ describes this approach. We could write an entire book on this subject, so here we limit ourselves to just a few examples to help get you thinking.

Packaging and the use of inappropriate materials – too much packaging and too much plastic – are obvious targets. Consider the energy and resources used in producing possibly unnecessary packaging.

Supermarket ‘two for one’ offers simply encourage the purchase of too much food, which may then be thrown away when it passes its sell-by date before it’s needed.

Other examples of waste are over-heated buildings, machines left turned on or in standby mode and overnight lighting of empty premises. These are so simple to address and yet so many businesses don’t bother to do so.

## Considering customer perspectives

The various wastes described in this chapter are all seen from an internal perspective. But, given that one of the key principles of Lean thinking is providing customer value, what do customers see from a waste perspective? How does internal waste affect them?
Certainly, customers will experience delays in waiting; consider queues, late deliveries or slow responses. Waiting and delays will also result when they order products that are currently out of stock or when the wrong product is delivered and a re-order is needed. Also think about the effects of poor communication or inadequate instructions, errors and defective products. They all create waste.

Customers are also likely to feel frustrated by the amount of duplication they experience. Having to re-enter or repeat information and details, whether on forms or in telephone conversations, especially in situations where they’re transferred from one person to another, is time-wasting for the customer – and the organisation. The financial services industry, in particular, provides another example of waste: that generated by the abuse of existing customers in favour of securing sales to new customers. This industry often displays too little loyalty to existing customers, who are effectively encouraged to transfer their business elsewhere. Special deals are offered to new customers on terms that aren’t available to existing clients. And to add insult to injury, requests for access to these special terms by existing customers are often refused.

Acquiring new business is an expensive process, yet organisations then seem quite prepared to let it walk out of the back door. What waste. What poor management and business philosophy.

All forms of waste, whether internal or external, are expensive!

**Focusing on the Vital Few**

Witnessing the scale of waste in your own organisation makes you appreciate the need to tackle things in bite-sized chunks. Quantifying the scale of the waste problem, and breaking things down into manageable pieces, involves measurement. Chapter 6 covers measuring your processes using check sheets and Pareto diagrams. The various types of waste can also be put into a Pareto chart, and though Pareto’s 80:20 rule (that, generally, 80 per cent of the problems/errors are caused by 20 per cent of the error/problem types) won’t always be exact, it is likely to reveal that a vital few areas are causing most of the problem(s).

The Pareto example shown in Figure 9-2, taken from the Waste and Resource Action Programme (WRAP) data for 2009 and based on diaries kept by 319 households, shows some 1.5 million tonnes of food and drink being wasted in the UK.
You need to find your vital few and start to work on them. One way of doing this is to organise regular ‘waste walks’ – these are rather like process stapling exercises (see Chapter 5), but here the focus is on spotting examples of waste.

You can arrange a rota for people throughout the organisation, and in doing so, you are helping secure their engagement and involvement, something we pick up on in Chapter 13.
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Discovering the Opportunity for Prevention

In This Chapter
▶ Applying good housekeeping
▶ Using prevention rather than cure
▶ Undertaking proactive maintenance
▶ Levelling your processes

The concept of prevention has been in existence for a long time – a very long time. Even before our grandmothers told us that prevention is better than cure, and probably even before Laozu had highlighted its importance back in 600 BC:

Before it moves, hold it,
Before it goes wrong, mould it,
Drain off water in winter before it freezes,
Before weeds grow, sow them to the breezes.
You can deal with what has not happened,
Can foresee
Harmful events and not allow them to be.

Prevention is a good way to tackle waste and delays in your processes (which we cover in Chapters 9 and 11). If you create less waste and fewer delays, you reduce the need for rework and avoid some other non-value-adding activities, too. For example, by providing more information upfront to your customers, you may reduce the volume of customer enquiries.
Keeping Things Neat and Tidy

A simple ‘housekeeping checklist’ can help you to reduce waste and wasted effort in the workplace. In Lean Six Sigma, you use a system called the Five Ss to create this checklist.

In using the Five Ss, you need to run a red tag exercise, a tracking process that identifies things in the process that you don’t need, whether inventory, stationery or something else.

You may also find that visual management techniques, such as signs, symbols and colour coding help you in your housekeeping.

Introducing the Five Ss

The Five Ss link to the concept of just in time (see Chapter 11 for more on this approach), which aims to provide the tools and materials you need to do the job only when you need them. Implementing the Five Ss usually leads to a safer and more pleasant working environment that encourages both self-management and team working. Here are the Five Ss:

- **Sort** encourages you to look at the tools, materials, equipment and information you need to do your job, and separate them into those used ‘frequently’, ‘occasionally’ and ‘never’. You can sort based on your experience, but ‘tagging’ the items in some way can be helpful (see the ‘Carrying out a red-tag exercise’ section later in this chapter).

- **Straighten** literally means straightening things up and putting everything you use frequently easily to hand. Straightening may include, for example, toolkits, files or email folders, or may involve moving a printer to a more convenient location. Things that you don’t use frequently need to be put somewhere else, recycled, or thrown away! You need to decide how many items need storing, how they should be stored and where. Naturally, these stored items should be appropriately labelled to facilitate their easy access in the future.

- **Scrub** concerns keeping the things you use, and the environment you work in, clean and tidy, and appropriately maintained. Make your workplace shine: get rid of rubbish and dirt, and don’t leave scrap lying around. Make sure your tools are current, safe and clean, and that all the information and documents you use are up-to-date and well-presented. Check that equipment and machinery are routinely serviced and maintained.
Systemise means strengthening your approach. Design a simple way of working so that your tools and information stay sorted, straightened and scrubbed. Essentially, systemise involves regularly re-doing the first three Ss! Doing so helps identify the reasons why the workplace becomes messy and cluttered, and prompts preventive thinking to find ways of stopping the problems recurring.

Standardise the whole approach and keep doing it. Stick to this system every day, train everyone in the application of the Five Ss, regularly review things and tell others about your effective method of working so it becomes a way of life.

Carrying out a red-tag exercise

A red tag exercise is a tracking process to help highlight unneeded items. If you use the Five Ss, which we describe in the previous section, red-tagging can become a useful element of ‘Sorting’. You could, for example, tag the various items on your desk on a particular date, see when you use them next and then update the tag with the time and date. If you haven’t used them in, say, one month, then move to ‘Straighten’ so they can be appropriately relocated. Once all the obvious things have been thrown away, or ‘relocated’, you’ll be left with only those things that you regularly use and need to hand. In a culture of ‘green lean’, unneeded things might well be taken to a secure storage location, where they are reviewed and either used in other internal operations, sold, recycled, given away or finally scrapped.

You can use red-tagging at home, too – for example, to keep your wardrobe from bursting.

You may need to form a team to work on red-tagging your wider working areas, appointing a champion and team members. You identify the areas to tackle, for example inventory, equipment, stationery and supplies, and agree and communicate the criteria and timeframe of the exercise.

The team red-tags items, evaluates the results, and agrees and takes the necessary actions. Working in this way involves organising the needed items regularly so they’re easy to locate and use. The team may use the red-tag exercise at the same time as introducing or enhancing visual management (see the next section) to make checking that items are where they should be easier. Visual management helps ensure that items in use can be returned to the right place, and that missing items are easily identified; see the ‘shadow board’ for tools in Figure 10-1.
Using visual management

Visual management takes many forms in the workplace and also outside of it; traffic signs are an obvious example! In the workplace, a variety of displays, charts, signs, labels, colour-coded markings and so on can be utilised. Using a visual approach helps everyone see what’s going on, understand the process and know that tasks are being carried out or items stored correctly.

Visual management is a complementary approach to support the Five Ss, the control plan (see Chapter 2), health and safety (see Chapter 4), kanban (see Chapter 11) and more.

As well as helping to show if something is out of place or missing, visual management is also used to clearly mark walkways or parking areas, or to identify sites – where a hard hat needs to be worn, for example. A clear link to the Five Ss exists.

Displays and controls could include data or information for the people working in a particular area, keeping them informed of overall performance or focused on specific quality issues. Visual controls could also cover safety, production throughput, material flow or quality metrics, for example.

Essentially, visual management is an important technique for supporting improvement; it ensures the workplace is well-organised and that things can be easily found. It’s a very effective way of communicating results and involving people.

Visual management isn’t enough by itself, though. It needs to lead to appropriate and timely actions. Process performance review meetings are thus important. These meetings are one of the secret ingredients of success, as shown in Figure 10-2. They might take 5 or 20 minutes; what matters is holding them regularly and making them focused.
Apart from reviewing performance and the activity for the day ahead, process performance review meetings also provide a forum to discuss improvement opportunities and ideas. This approach follows the After Action Reviews (AARs) used by the US military. An AAR is an assessment conducted after a mission, project or major activity that allows the people involved to review and understand what happened and why. Essentially, the process looks at what you planned to do and achieve, and then analyses what actually happened in practice. Were results better or worse than anticipated? Either way, what lessons emerge and what now needs to be done as a result?

_The Army’s After Action Review (AAR) is arguably one of the most successful organisational learning methods yet devised. Yet, most every corporate effort to graft this truly innovative practice into their culture has failed because, again and again, people reduce the living practice of AARs to a sterile technique._

—Peter Senge

Senge’s observation is all the more remarkable when you consider that the US Army is such a hierarchical and bureaucratic organisation. But in carrying out these reviews, the Army really is involving all of the participants, from the lowest-ranking soldiers up to commanders. It’s an approach that encourages the involvement of all participants in sharing their observations, thoughts and ideas, which ultimately provides the link to continuous improvement by making sure everyone’s on board and kept up-to-date.

Figure 10-3 provides an example of how to structure an activity board that then forms the agenda and focus for the team meetings. Make sure the meetings are actually held in the same location as the board is displayed!
In this example, you can see that five key areas are highlighted for discussion. Whatever form your visual display takes, aim to keep the information simple, easy to read and understand, and up-to-date in terms of data. You shouldn’t need to spend time interpreting the message. Examples of visual management are everywhere, and most people see them every day, as road signs, traffic signals and written notices. Visual management aims to:

- Help people know where they are.
- Highlight important messages and rules about health and safety.
- Help people know where to find things.
- Highlight when things aren’t where they should be.
- Identify when things go wrong – and what to do.
- Communicate information about performance, to both staff and visitors.
- Help people prevent errors and accidents by using standard colours.
- Help highlight waste.

Visual management takes many forms, from using standard colours for pipes, cables and wiring, to showing clearly marked out walkways or parking spaces, and designated floor space for equipment and machines. Figure 10-4 provides some examples.

Management attitudes, behaviours that lead to appropriate and timely actions, and use of the other preventive tools and techniques that we describe in this chapter need to support the visual management approach.
Looking at Prevention Tools and Techniques

You can prevent or at least reduce the impact of problems by using a whole range of tools and techniques. Sometimes, they'll be all you need to achieve Lean Six Sigma performance.

Introducing Jidoka

Jidoka is a Japanese word describing the prevention of defects and it works on the principle that, once a defect or error occurs, production is stopped to
ensure no further defects or errors are produced until the cause of the problem is remedied. In 1902, Sakichi Toyoda, the founder of the Toyota group, invented an automated loom that stopped each time a thread broke. This immediate halt prevented the thread spewing out and so saved time that previously was wasted in sorting out the ensuing mess. A printer stopping when its ink runs out is a modern example of Jidoka.

Without Toyoda’s concept, automation has the potential to allow a large number of defects to be created very quickly, especially if processing is in batches. Jidoka is often referred to as *autonomation* – a means of preventing defective items from passing to the next process.

Autonomation allows machines to operate autonomously, by shutting down if something goes wrong. *Automation with human intelligence* is another term for this concept. We highlight the ‘no’ in autonomation to remind you that no defects are allowed to pass to a follow-on process.

Jidoka also embraces the concept of ‘Stop at every abnormality’, which means a manual process stops whenever an abnormal condition occurs. Sometimes in manufacturing, every employee is empowered to ‘stop the line’, perhaps following the identification of a special cause on a control chart (see Chapter 7 for more on these).

Forcing everything to stop to immediately focus on a problem may seem painful at first, but is an effective way to quickly get at the root cause of issues. In batch processing, discovering problems immediately is crucial.

**Reducing risk with Failure Mode Effects Analysis (FMEA)**

*FMEA* is a prevention tool that helps you identify and prioritise potential opportunities for taking preventive action. Identifying the things that might go wrong – the *failure modes* – is the first step.

By looking at what might go wrong (the failure modes), you can assess the impact of what happens (the effects), when it does go wrong, how often it is likely to occur, and how likely you are to detect the failure before its effect is realised. For each of these potential events you assign a value, usually on a scale of 1 to 10, to reflect the risk. FMEAs are created for processes, systems, and designs. They are also applied to safety concerns as well.

Table 10-1 provides a typical rating scale for a service organisation.
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Table 10-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Severity of Effect</th>
<th>Likelihood of Occurrence</th>
<th>Current Detectability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>Immediately detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very minor effect</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Found easily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Usually found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
<td>Low to moderate</td>
<td>Probably found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>May be found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Moderate to high</td>
<td>Moderate to high</td>
<td>Less than 50% chance of detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unlikely to be detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Very unlikely to be detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hazardous</td>
<td>Extremely high</td>
<td>Extremely unlikely to be detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Disastrous</td>
<td>Almost certain</td>
<td>Almost impossible to detect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To help you prioritise your actions, you calculate a risk priority number (RPN). This value is the result of multiplying your ratings for the severity of the risk (from Table 10-1), the frequency of occurrence and the likelihood of detection. You then find ways to reduce the RPN. Figure 10-5 provides an example of an FMEA template. It includes columns that enable you to consider the failure modes and their effects, determine the RPNs, allocate the responsibility for improvement and recalculate the RPN, once the improvement actions have been taken.

In determining ratings for the various failure modes in your processes, working with members of the relevant process team and looking at each step in the process is sensible. To ensure you identify each step, we recommend you use a deployment flowchart rather than a value stream map, as the latter might not have sufficient detail for a process FMEA.

Your ratings against the descriptions in Table 10-1 are based on your experience rather than absolute fact, so when you complete the exercise, step back and make sure the numbers seem sensible. Next, prioritise the failure modes that need to be addressed and determine actions to reduce the RPN scores. Consider a pharmacist as an example. Going back a few decades, many things could go wrong – for example, a child could open a bottle of her parents’
tablets, swallow them and become ill. Looking at the ratings in Table 10-1, the severity rating is therefore high. The detection rating is also high and the occurrence rating is probably somewhere in the middle. This failure mode may happen every day, but the pharmacist is unaware until the damage has occurred.

The RPN in this example is high. To address the failure mode, pharmacists now use child-proof containers and print warnings on them about keeping tablets out of the reach of children, create tablets that don’t look or taste like sweets and reduce the strength of individual tablets.

Examine your own processes to see if FMEA creates any opportunities for improvement. Consider each step in your process and identify its failure modes. In coming up with your RPN, remember that these numbers are subjective; use common sense in determining the action needed.

In creating your list of possible failure modes, call upon your own experience but also use techniques such as negative brainstorming (also called anti-solution brainstorming). This technique turns brainstorming on its head and instead of asking, for example, ‘What possible failure modes are evident in the ABC process?’ takes a different tack and tries, ‘How can we ensure the ABC process goes wrong?’

You may be surprised by the ideas that your team members put forward. Some of the suggestions will be silly, but that doesn’t matter – indeed, it helps make the exercise fun.

When you have your list of failure modes, ask the question, ‘How many of these things really happen?’ Changing your negative statements to positive ones can produce a solution to your problem.

Negative brainstorming not only helps develop your list of potential failure modes, it can also begin the process of identifying ways to reduce the risks and improve the process.
Error proofing your processes

Error proofing – sometimes referred to as *Poka-yoke*, Japanese slang for ‘avoiding inadvertent errors’ – is key to working out the actions you need to take to improve your process.

Poka-yoke approaches either prevent mistakes from being made or make the mistakes obvious at a glance. Poka-yoke approaches are:

- Inexpensive
- Very effective
- Based on simplicity and ingenuity

Poka-yoke doesn’t rely on operators catching mistakes, but it does help to ensure quick feedback 100 per cent of the time, leading to process improvements and reductions in waste.

Consider the 1-10-100 rule, which states that, as a product or service moves through the production system, the cost to your organisation of correcting an error multiplies by 10. Looking at the processing of a customer order, for example:

- Order entered correctly: $1
- Error detected in billing: $10
- Error detected by customer: $100

The 1-10-100 rule fails to pick up the additional costs associated with dissatisfied customers sharing their experience with others. Error proofing, all in all, is really worth doing.

Examples of prevention and error proofing are observable in your everyday life. Your car may flash a warning light if you don’t use the seat belt. Some high-tech cars even have breath-testing devices that prevent ignition if you exceed the legal alcohol limit. And at home you probably have smoke detectors and electricity trip switches.
Three types of error proofing approaches exist: contact, fixed value and motion step:

✓ **Contact error proofing** involves products having a physical shape that inhibits mistakes – see Figure 10-6.

The physical design makes installing parts in any but the correct position impossible. Electronic equipment design, and that of its various attachments and extensions, for example, ensures the right cables can only go into the right sockets.

This situation is achieved through a combination of part sizes and shapes, as well as colour codes. Although the latter is an example of visual management and might not prevent you from trying to plug something into the wrong socket or location, nevertheless, the concept is trying to prevent you from doing so!

Another example is a fixed diameter hole through which all products must fall. Any oversized product is unable to pass through, and the potential defect associated with it is thus prevented.

✓ **Fixed value error proofing** identifies when a part is missing or not used and essentially ensures appropriate quantities.

A simple example is the French fry scoop used in a takeaway, which is designed to ensure a consistent number of fries precisely fits the package served to the customer.

A further example is ‘egg trays’ used for the supply of parts – spotting that something’s missing is easy as one compartment is empty.

✓ **Motion step error proofing** automatically ensures that the process operator has taken the correct path or number of steps, possibly by breaking a photocell light sensor, or stepping on a pressure sensitive pad during the assembly cycle.

A very different example is how spell-checkers provide automatic warnings when words are incorrectly spelt throughout the completion of a word-processing document – the operator needs to click on the highlighted word to decide whether to change it.
Preventive maintenance means being proactive to prevent equipment failure and system problems. Contrast this approach to diagnostic or corrective maintenance, which is performed to correct an already-existing problem. If you have and look after a car, you may understand the concept of preventive maintenance: you don’t change your oil in response to a problem situation – you do it before things go wrong, so your engine lasts longer and you avoid car troubles down the road.

Preventive maintenance is a schedule of planned maintenance actions aimed at the prevention of breakdowns and failures. Preventing the failure of equipment before it actually occurs is the primary goal. Preventive maintenance is designed to preserve and enhance equipment reliability by replacing worn components before they fail and activities include equipment checks, partial or complete overhauls at specified periods, oil changes, lubrication, and so on. In addition, workers can record equipment deterioration so they know to replace or repair worn parts before they cause system failure. Recent technological advances in tools for inspection and diagnosis have enabled even more accurate and effective equipment maintenance.

An ideal preventive maintenance programme prevents all equipment failure before it occurs. For example, in an airport, preventive maintenance may be in place in critical service areas such as escalators, lighting and aircraft bridges.
As with all prevention activity, some people see preventive maintenance as unduly costly. This logic dictates that regular scheduled downtime and maintenance is more costly than operating equipment until repair is absolutely necessary – and may well be true for some components. Long-term benefits and savings associated with preventive maintenance, however, also need to be considered.

Without preventive maintenance, for example, costs for lost service time from unscheduled equipment breakdown will be incurred, something that would become apparent through OEE measures, for example, as described in Chapter 8. Also, preventive maintenance results in savings by increasing the service life of effective systems. Long-term benefits of preventive maintenance include:

- Improved system reliability.
- Decreased cost of replacement.
- Decreased system downtime.
- Better spares inventory management.

You can’t always prevent things from going wrong or equipment from failing. But when they do, your ability to recover from problems quickly is key.

**Avoiding Peaks and Troughs**

This section focuses on dealing with work activity to avoid too many peaks and troughs in the volumes and types of work being processed. Levelling the work isn’t easy, but it is the foundation of Toyota’s celebrated production system. The Japanese refer to the concept as *Heijunka*, extending the concept to incorporate the need for ‘standard work’ – the processing of work in a consistent way.

**Introducing Heijunka**

Heijunka is the underlying concept of the Toyota Production System (TPS), shown in Figure 1-1 in Chapter 1. The TPS consists of two columns – Jidoka (for more about this concept, see the ‘Introducing Jidoka’ section earlier in this chapter) and just in time (see Chapter 11) – supported by Heijunka.

Heijunka involves smoothing processing and production using levelling and sequencing. For a process to run smoothly and consistently with many different kinds of output, it has to average, not just in volume, but also in kinds. So, you need to process the different types of customer order, for example, based on the date they’re received rather than dealing with the more straightforward cases first and allowing the more difficult ones to build up and be delayed.
Heijunka involves the following elements:

- **Levelling** involves smoothing the volume of production in order to reduce variation. Amongst other things, this technique seeks to prevent ‘end-of-period’ peaks, where production is initially slow, but then quickens in the last days of the sales or accounting period, for example.

- **Sequencing** involves mixing the kinds of work processed. So, for example, when setting up new loans, the type of loan being processed is mixed to better match customer demand and help ensure applications are actioned in date order. Managing this approach may be easier in manufacturing, where a producer may be able to hold a small buffer of finished goods to respond to the ups and downs in weekly orders. Keeping a small stock of finished goods at the very end of the value stream, near shipping, this producer can level demand to its plant, and to its suppliers, making for more efficient utilisation of assets along the entire value stream while still meeting customer requirements.

- **Stability and standardisation** seeks to reduce variation in the way the work is carried out, highlighting the importance of following a standard process and procedure. This technique links well to the concept of process management and the control plan, where the process owner continuously seeks to find and consistently deploy best practice.

Concepts such as Heijunka can’t be implemented overnight – for example, Toyota has taken many years to achieve the successful application of levelling and spreading the load but is now a driving force in the growing awareness of lean-thinking principles in the Western world.

### Spreading the load

Keeping things balanced and level means your process flows are smoother and your overall processing times faster. But be warned – this situation isn’t easy to achieve, either at work or on your way there!

Consider variable speed limits on motorways, which aim to maintain a steady, continuous flow of traffic, enabling us to all keep moving and avoid stops and starts during busy periods. Unfortunately, some drivers always speed up between the speed cameras, only to brake hard when they get to the next one, an approach that creates braking and delay back down the road.

In the workplace, you need to try to avoid peaks and troughs in activity, if you can. The month- or quarter-end cycles in many organisations highlight the difficulties of peaks. Actioning financial reconciliations, for example, on a daily or weekly basis may be possible, thus avoiding the monthly or quarterly peak of activity. You need to determine whether an opportunity to change frequencies exists in your organisation.
In Chapter 9 we talk about waste, or *Muda*. This expression is often used together with two other words, *Mura* and *Muri*. *Mura* describes unevenness in an operation; for example, people hurrying then having to wait, as in the motorway scenario. *Muri* means overburdening equipment in some way.

Consider *Mura* and *Muri* in the context of maintaining a smooth and level flow at a transport depot. You have several three-tonne trucks, but you need to transport six tonnes of material to your customer. You have four options:

- ✓ All six tonnes on one truck = Muri and a probable broken axle.
- ✓ Four tonnes on one and two on another truck = Muri, Mura and Muda.
- ✓ Two tonnes on three trucks = Muda.
- ✓ Three tonnes on two trucks = Muri-, Mura- and Muda-free!

So, this last option is the optimum way of delivering the material to your customer. It uses an evenly distributed approach, no waste occurs and trucks aren’t overburdened.

In an office environment, consider the over-use of a photocopier that is not designed for high-volume copying but is being used for just that purpose. Breakdowns are inevitable, leading to waste in the form of people waiting or walking around the office to find a copier that is working.

**Carrying out work in a standard way**

Sometimes, the first step in preventing problems and rework is agreeing on a standard process. Formulating a standard process gives you real gains easily and leads to stability and predictability in the process. Actually, you can’t really begin to improve a process until you standardise it. Following standardisation, you have a genuine chance to stabilise the process and prompt further improvements. In Chapter 5, we look at techniques such as process stapling and process mapping to help you develop both the best approach and a standard approach to the way work is done.

Standardising the ‘one best way’ of how the work gets done is key, but in a culture of continuous improvement you may find better ways to do the work that become the new ‘one best way’, until further improvement occurs. Of course, if defects occur, your first question needs to be, ‘Has the standard process been followed?’ If it has, then the process needs to be improved.

In this evolving culture of continuous improvement, fuelled and supported by Lean Six Sigma, you need to keep improving your process, encouraging ideas from the people working within it. As you grow increasingly confident in applying the Lean Six Sigma principles, so you’ll recognise that there’s no end to the process of improving processes!
In this chapter we focus on those points in the process flow where demand exceeds capacity – bottlenecks. In Lean Six Sigma, some people call bottlenecks ‘constraints’. Whichever term you use, the effect is the same: the bottleneck, or constraint, sets the pace for your process and determines the speed and volume of your output. Put simply, either you manage the bottlenecks or they manage you.

Applying the Theory of Constraints

You need a framework to help you manage your constraints. This section looks at how to identify the bottlenecks in your process, prioritise them for action, and reduce or eliminate their effect using Eli Goldratt’s five-step approach.

Identifying the weakest link

Think of your organisation as a chain like the one in Figure 11-1 – a series of functions or divisions that are dependent on each other, even if the people within the organisation don’t recognise and accept that fact. For example, you don’t ship parts until they’re packaged, and you don’t package parts until they’re manufactured, and so on. Answering the question ‘How strong is the chain?’ is easier than you might think: the chain is as strong as its weakest link. Find your bottleneck and you find the weakest link in your chain.
Conventional wisdom supports the idea that improving any link in the chain improves the chain overall, and ‘global’ improvement is the sum of the local improvements. But time for some different thinking: this local improvement approach leads to process sub-optimisation, where apparent improvements in one part of the process actually make things worse in another part. You need to make your improvements with an understanding of the end-to-end process, or the chain. And you need to take only those local actions that strengthen the chain, by focusing potentially scarce resources on the constraint.

**Improving the process flow**

Eli Goldratt suggested a theory of constraints involving a five-step approach to help improve flow:

1. **Identify the constraint.**
2. **Exploit the constraint.**
3. **Subordinate the other steps to the constraint.**
4. **Elevate the constraint.**
5. **Go back to Step 1 and repeat the process.**

A constraint is a bottleneck. A constraint occurs wherever and whenever capacity cannot meet demand. You can identify constraints where you have a build-up of people (a queue), material (inventory), units to be processed or work in progress (a backlog).

When you find the bottleneck or constraint, you can then find ways to improve the processing capability at the bottleneck point in the process flow. You need to exploit the constraint, that is, maximise its potential, ideally, without major expenditure.

For example, if your constraint is a machine, try to keep the machine running during the working day. Don’t close it down for servicing: you can service the machine after hours. Any time lost at the constraint has a big effect on the whole process, which takes you to Step 3 of the theory.
To subordinate the other steps to the constraint, you use the constraint to dictate the pace at which the upstream activities send their output to the constraint, and which tells the downstream activities how much they can expect to receive from the constraint.

As an example, consider the deployment flowchart in Figure 11-2, featuring Ann, Brian and Clare.

![Figure 11-2: Brian the Bottleneck.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ann</th>
<th>Brian</th>
<th>Clare</th>
<th>Unit time</th>
<th>Cycle time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 mins</td>
<td>10 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 mins</td>
<td>2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 mins</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 mins</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total time: 1 hour, 4 days

Brian is the main bottleneck. Ann producing more than Brian can deal with is pointless, as doing so will simply create an increasing pile of work in progress. Brian is setting the pace for the process and, ideally, is pulling the work through accordingly, rather than letting Ann push it through at her pace. This situation may mean that Ann can tackle some additional tasks, possibly even helping Brian. Either way, you can improve the constraint, though you might find productivity measures and targets get in the way.

More often than not, productivity measures and targets are a driver of bad behaviour. You get what you measure, and if people are measured on productivity, then you’ll get productivity and meet the target! But at what cost?

Too many examples exist of productivity ‘credits’ being allocated to different types of work without taking the bigger picture into account. This situation seems especially the case in the public sector, but private companies can be just as bad.

People look at the allocation of these credits and from their experience, they quickly realise that work type A, for example, is quite simple but nevertheless
gains good productivity credits, whereas work type B is a lot harder and the credits seems too low for the tasks involved.

No prizes for guessing which type of work gets done first. The type A work is processed first; the type B becomes a lower priority. So tasks aren’t done in sequence, and a backlog of the harder and increasingly older type B work builds up – but that situation’s okay because the productivity target has been met!

Furthermore, the approach encourages ‘push’ – people need to hit their targets, never mind about the backlog downstream in the process. In the Ann, Brian and Clare example, the bottleneck at Brian will get worse if Ann keeps pushing work through, but if she’s trying to meet productivity targets, that’s almost certainly what she’ll be encouraged to do. Let’s get back to the theory of constraints. To elevate the constraint means to improve it, and, in doing so, increase the capacity of the constraint. You can introduce improvements that remove this particular bottleneck, possibly through a DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) project – Chapter 2 takes you through the steps. Of course, once you initiate changes, a new constraint will appear somewhere else in the flow, so you start this improvement cycle again.

Step 5 takes you back to the beginning of the five-step process to repeat the process – a route to driving continuous improvement. In the Ann, Brian, Clare example, if we now assume that the constraint has been removed at Brian’s step, you would then need to address the ‘new’ bottleneck in the process, which appears to be with Clare.

**Building a buffer**

The constraint sets the pace for the process – subject to the external customer requirements, it tells the upstream process steps the rate of production needed, and the downstream process steps how much work to expect, as well as their production rate. However, imagine if one of the upstream steps wasn’t able to produce things on time – a machine could break down, for example. Placing a small buffer in front of the constraint to ensure sufficient work is always available is a good idea, just in case an upstream process step experiences problems, such as machine failure. This upstream step can work faster than the constraint if necessary, so things should soon catch up, but in the meantime the process flow is uninterrupted. This concept is called ‘drum, buffer, rope’.

The imaginary ‘drum’ is the beat of production set by the constraint, rather like the drum beating the pace for the oarsmen on a Roman galley. The buffer provides the contingency that keeps the constraint working even if one of the
upstream steps slows or fails temporarily. The ‘rope’ cordons off, or controls, the flow of work by preventing too much coming through to the constraint – this image also helps you imagine the work being pulled through at the right pace. In Figure 11-3, the drum equates to the production of 40 items each day, even though the process steps upstream of the constraint could produce more.

![Figure 11-3: Banging the drum for drum, buffer, rope.](image)

**Managing the Production Cycle**

Whether you work in a manufacturing organisation or a service industry, you need to understand and manage the production process.

**Using pull rather than push production**

*Pull production* is a system in which each process takes what it needs from the preceding process exactly when it needs it, and in the exact amount necessary. The customer thus pulls the supply and helps avoid being swamped by items that aren’t needed at a particular time. In our example in Figure 11-2 in the preceding section, Brian pulls the work through at his pace when he wants it, not when Ann can send it. Pull production reduces the need for potentially costly storage space. For example, in an environment where pull production isn’t in use, overproduction in one process, perhaps to meet local efficiency
targets, may result in problems downstream, increasing work in progress and creating bottlenecks. Symptoms of overproduction include the following:

- **Too many**: Making more items than needed.
- **Too soon**: Making them earlier than needed.
- **Too fast**: Making them faster than needed.

Pull production links naturally to the concept of *just in time*. Just in time provides the customer with what they need, when they need it and in the quantity demanded. This concept applies to both internal and external customers, but it demands a very closely managed relationship with suppliers, something that can take many years to achieve.

Ideally, the downstream activities signal their needs to the upstream activities through some form of request, for example a kanban card (*kanban* is Japanese for a card) or an electronic andon board. An *andon* can be a light that flashes when more stock is required, for example, or you could use a card signalling that a goods-in tray is empty or at a certain level and needs topping up.

Whatever signal is agreed, nothing is produced upstream until the request is made and a signal is flagged. If the activity is being processed within a ‘cell’ (see the ‘Using cell manufacturing techniques’ section later in this chapter), seeing and managing the pull operation is more straightforward as everyone is working closely together.

A simple example of the kanban signal in practice is the stationery cupboard. A re-order card is placed in an appropriate position within the stock and when the card is revealed as someone takes a new memo pad from the remaining pile, for example, a re-order is made to ensure the stock of memo pads doesn’t run out. The kanban system is also evident in your chequebook, as a re-order form towards the end of it.

**Moving to single piece flow**

*Single piece flow* means each person in the organisation performs an operation and makes a quick quality check before moving their output to the person in the next process. If a defect is detected, Jidoka is enacted (this concept is covered in Chapter 10); that is, the process is stopped, and immediate action taken to correct the situation and take countermeasures to prevent reoccurrence.

Ideally, single piece continuous flow processing is carried out within ‘cells’, with the relevant people and machinery sited closely together. See the ‘Using cell manufacturing techniques’ section later in this chapter.
Recognising the problem with batches

Single piece flow is a real change of thinking that moves you away from processing in batches, but it may be difficult to achieve, and organisational logistics may mean you need to continue with batches, though you need to be aware of the pitfalls that batches bring.

Traditionally, large batches of individual cases or items are processed at each step of the process and are passed along the process only after an entire batch has been completed. Delays are increased when the batches travel around the organisation, both in terms of the transport time, and the time they sit waiting in the internal mail system – at any given time, most of the cases in a batch are sitting idle, waiting to be processed. In manufacturing, this idleness is seen as costly excess inventory.

In batch processing, errors can be neither picked up nor addressed quickly. If errors occur, they tend to occur in large volume, which further delays identifying the root cause. In single piece flow, the error is picked up immediately. With the trail still warm, you can get to the root cause analysis faster and prevent a common error recurring throughout the process.

Looking at Your Layout

In many organisations, especially in offices, the various people involved in a process often aren’t sitting together, and can even be located on different floors or in different buildings. This type of layout inevitably results in delays as people or work travels around the organisation.

Identifying wasted movement

People and materials lose vast amounts of time travelling between different locations (Chapter 9 covers waste and how to eliminate it). Use the spaghetti diagram in Chapter 5 (Figure 5-1) to help you reduce wasted travel time.

Using cell manufacturing techniques

Cellular manufacturing organises the entire process for similar products into a group of team members, with all the necessary equipment. This group and its equipment is a cell. A cell shouldn’t feel like a prison; rather, it should feel liberating for the team members who have real control over what they produce.
Cells are arranged to easily facilitate all operations, often adopting a horseshoe shape, as shown in Figure 11-4. Outputs or parts are easily passed from operation to operation, often by hand, eliminating set-ups and unnecessary costs, and reducing delays between operations.

Working in cells offers the following benefits:

- The facilitation of single piece flow and a reduction in the use of batches.
- Faster cycle times and less work in progress, which in turn results in a need for less floor space.
- Reduction of waste and minimisation of material handling costs resulting from less movement of people and materials.
- More efficient and effective utilisation of space.
- A heightened sense of employee participation.
- More efficient and effective use of people in the team, empowering them to take responsibility and control (see Chapter 13). Daily team meetings are easier to arrange, helping to create a culture of continuous improvement, which results in a positive team attitude and an enrichment of job satisfaction.
- Elimination of bottlenecks.
✓ Facilitation of visual management, see Chapter 10 – with everyone in the process working closely together in the same area, the team’s performance results can be displayed easily for all to see.

## Identifying product families

Within cellular arrangements, identifying and processing common *product families* – those products or services involving identical or similar processing steps that previously might have been seen as different activities, each processed by different teams – makes sense. To identify the appropriate product families, you need to create a matrix detailing the process/value stream steps across the page and the different products or services down the page, as shown in Figure 11-5.

This matrix highlights where the process steps are identical or essentially the same for the different products. These steps can then be processed by the same team, increasing your flexibility and processing capability. When we look at the product families and processes, we should be aware of the need to address the three Rs – in this case, the *runners, repeaters* and *rarities*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vet application</th>
<th>Enter on system</th>
<th>Run credit check</th>
<th>Issue offer</th>
<th>Diary follow up</th>
<th>Client confirms</th>
<th>Issue cheque</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bronze plus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver edge</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum plus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 11-5: Keeping it in the family.*
Runners are regular and predictable work activities; the repeaters are also regular work activities, but are fewer in number and frequency than the runners. As their name suggests, rarities are exactly that, occurring every now and then. They need to be addressed as one-off work activities.

Naturally, the process and value stream maps (see Chapter 5) should take account of these differing activities.
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Introducing Design for Six Sigma

In This Chapter
▶ Designing new processes
▶ Doing the DMADV
▶ Looking at the House of Quality

This chapter provides only an introduction to Design for Six Sigma (DfSS). It is simply an overview because the topic could easily form a standalone book. We look at the DMADV method – Define, Measure, Analyse, Design and Verify – as well as take a tour through the House of Quality (otherwise known as quality function deployment). But we stress that it’s only a tour and not a full structural survey!

It’s important to recognise there is a much wider range of concepts, tools and techniques used in DfSS projects, though the House of Quality is certainly an important part of the toolkit. This book does not look to cover benchmarking techniques (other than within the section on QFD), or TRIZ, the theory of inventive problem solving, for example, and there are many other tools, too, especially in the area of innovation.

Benchmarking is the process of seeking out the best performing products/services (performance benchmarking) and learning how these products/services are produced (process benchmarking).

Genrich Altshuller categorised over 2 million patents, classifying them by industry and uncovering the problem-solving process behind the invention. Often the same problems had been solved over and over again using one of only 40 fundamental ‘inventive principles’. If later inventors had had knowledge of the work of earlier ones, solutions could have been discovered more quickly and efficiently.
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Introducing DfSS

In Chapter 2, we looked at how to improve existing processes using DMAIC: Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control. Sometimes a process doesn’t exist and you need to create one, perhaps for new services or products. Or perhaps your current process is so poor that scrapping it and starting again makes sense.

In these circumstances you have the opportunity to begin with a blank canvas. You can design products and services, and the processes that support them, that will delight your customers from day one. Imagine processes that aren’t plagued with defects. DfSS often concentrates on the “delighter” curve in the Kano model – see Chapter 4. Think about introducing these new products and services quickly, and to a consistently high standard. You can focus on those organisational processes that create the highest value-adding outcomes.

Design is a funny word. Some people think Design means how it looks. But, of course, if you dig deeper, it’s really how it works.

—Steve Jobs

Design for Six Sigma (DfSS) is a philosophy for designing new products, services and processes often with high customer involvement from the outset, though that won’t always be so – consider inventions by people such as Jobs and Dyson, for example.

When redesigning a process, we focus on the customer. When designing a new service or product, there may not be a customer yet. In this case, we concentrate on the demands of the (potential) marketplace.

Where the customer is involved, we mean both end user customers and internal business stakeholders and users. Customer requirements and the resulting CTQs (Critical To Quality – see Chapter 4) are established early on and the DMADV framework rigorously ensures that these requirements are satisfied in the final product, service or process.

Introducing DMADV

The DMADV framework is focused on the customer and their CTQs. Where possible to do so, we have to listen to and understand the voice of the customer, but we may also need to look beyond the voice of the customer in developing our designs.
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As with DMAIC (see Chapter 2), managing by fact and not speculation ensures that new designs reflect customer CTQs and provide real value to the customers in line with the principles of Lean Six Sigma.

DMADV projects are often concerned with introducing radical change within an organisation. A well thought out change management programme is vital to support the change. Our Elements of Change Model is described in Chapter 15 (see Figure 15-7) – it provides a framework to address and manage the various people issues that are so important for the successful completion of the project.

Figure 12-1 shows the DMADV phases involved in a DfSS project.

![Figure 12-1: The DMADV phases.](image)

**Defining What Needs Designing**

The Define phase is about scoping, organising and planning the journey for your project. Understanding the purpose, rationale and business case is important, as well as knowing who you might need to help you, and how you will go about managing things. So, understanding the boundaries of the project, including the processes, market(s), customers and stakeholders involved, is vital.

An essential ingredient for success will be ensuring that you and your team have a clear understanding of why the project is being undertaken, and what it’s trying to achieve. The Define phase is all about making sure such understanding happens.
You need to bring together the right people at the start, making sure the relevant departments and functions are represented. All too often, this isn’t the case and the definition and scope of the project suffers. Using the affinity and interrelationship diagrams (Figures 2-3 and 2-4 in Chapter 2) can be an especially helpful way of starting a DFSS project. These techniques provide a way of bringing the team of people together and teasing out their various thoughts, issues and agendas, before helping in the identification of the key areas for the project’s success. Importantly, the completion of the two techniques creates involvement and ownership of the project for the team members.

The first metre is often more important than the last mile!

**Getting the measure of the design**

The Measure phase is vital as it provides the framework around which the design can be built, and the basis for the design decisions needed in further phases. This phase focuses on defining and understanding customer needs, and understanding the different customer segments is essential. DFSS projects typically seek to optimise the design of products or processes across multiple customer requirements, so a detailed understanding of these is an essential foundation.

The next step is to translate these needs into measurable characteristics (CTQs) that become the overall requirements for the product, service or process. The aim is to fully understand the customer requirements, define the measures, and set targets and specification limits for the CTQs.

Where you are designing new products or services, you need to make sure the design will be able to be produced with existing processes, or designing new processes to accommodate the new design. Considering process capability at this phase, rather than after the design is complete, is a hallmark of DFSS.

**Analysing the design**

The Analyse phase looks to develop the functional specification, and high-level designs. The design phase then creates and tests the detailed design.
Analyse begins the process of moving from the ‘what’ to the ‘how’ – what the customer needs, to how we might achieve it. This means mapping the CTQs onto the internal functions, and starting to look at alternative design concepts.

*Design means how something works, not how it looks – the design should evolve from the function.*

—James Dyson

For a service, this analysis means identifying the key functions; for a more tangible product, it means identifying its key part characteristics. Typically, the sub system characteristics are developed next, followed by the components (parts) of the sub system.

Functions are what the product, service or process has to do in order to meet the CTQs identified and specified in the design process. In a service environment, functions are best thought of as key high-level processes to be considered. So, for example, the product or service being designed could be a telephone ordering service, with a design goal of an order placement within five minutes. The functions involved could include ‘answer the call’, ‘check requirements’, ‘check stock’ and ‘place order’, for example. You will need to carry out an analysis of the functions to understand their performance capability and ensure they are fit for purpose.

The emphasis in a DfSS project is very much on alternative design concepts and the generation, analysis and assessment of a high-level design.

The second part of Analyse involves analysing and selecting the best design concept and beginning to add more details to it. Each element of the design should be considered in turn, and high-level design requirements specified for each. Consideration will also need to be given to how the different components fit and interact with each other.

This process usually involves creating several high-level designs, assessing the suitability of each and then selecting the best fit.

You need to assess performance and develop design scorecards to help you analyse how capable the design is in terms of delivering the CTQ. Assessments might be carried out through simulations, field tests or pilots, where appropriate, involving the customer so that you can capture their feedback.

Design scorecards provide a systematic method of deploying requirements into the design and predicting the capability of the new design. They capture the critical performance measures at each level and visibly track the measured performance as the design evolves.
In DMADV projects, you need to collect new data for the functional analysis. Given that you’re designing something new, the output of this work will probably mean that the resulting input and in-process variables, the Xs (see Chapter 8), will be your choices.

Based on the outputs of the review, the high level design requirements can be finalised, and a thorough risk assessment undertaken using FMEA (Failure Mode Effects Analysis – see Chapter 10).

**Developing the design**

The Design phase is also in two parts. It begins by developing the ‘how’ thinking in more detail. The objective is to add increasing detail to the various elements of the high-level design. The emphasis is on developing designs that will satisfy the CTQ requirements of the process outputs.

The design process is an iterative one – the high-level design was established in the Analyse phase; now the design is specified at a detailed enough level to develop a pilot and test it. The detailed design activities are similar to those in the high-level design phase but with a significantly lower level of granularity. This step integrates all of the design elements into one overall design.

Finally, the lowest-level specification limits, control points and measures are determined. These will form the basis of the control plan that needs to be in place following implementation (see Chapter 2).

Before implementation, however, you need to pilot the design. Enough detail should now be available to test and evaluate the capability of the design by preparing a pilot in the second part of the Design phase. It’s important you plan an effective and realistic pilot.

**Verifying that the design works**

The design is piloted and assessed in the Verify phase, and, subject to any adjustments that follow the pilot, implementation and deployment follow. As with DMAIC, the final step in the cycle is to assess the achievements made and lessons learned.

The results are verified against the original CTQs, specifications and targets. The project is closed only when the solution has been standardised and transitioned to operations and process management.
You need to ensure that no black holes exist in the handover to the process owner or operational manager. You must work closely with your team to achieve a well-planned and well-documented transition.

**Choosing between DMAIC and DMADV**

It is possible to start a project using the DMAIC method (see Chapter 2 for a description of all the stages) only to find yourself at some point changing to DMADV. Figure 12-2 provides a picture of the likely decision points in transitioning from one method to the other.

DMADV projects call for a range of Lean Six Sigma tools and techniques, including many that you are already familiar with from DMAIC. Perhaps the most important technique, however, is quality function deployment (QFD), an approach that’s often referred to as the House of Quality because of its appearance (see Figure 12-3).

As with DMADV, QFD merits its own book, so here we provide only an overview of this tool.
Considering Quality Function Deployment

Customer research is used to determine the voice of the customer. Competition research helps us hear the voice of the market. Quality function deployment (QFD) helps translate both voices into high-level requirements – the CTQs – and to place measurable definitions, specifications and targets on these requirements.
In the Analyse and Design phases, QFD is further utilised to develop specifications for the lower-level details of the designed product, process or service in order that the high-level customer CTQs can be satisfied.

QFD is a graphical representation of the logic flow, from identifying customer requirements to the detailed development of actions to ensure those requirements are met. A series of interconnected matrices are developed, moving from the requirements, through to design, and eventual implementation and deployment. The ‘room numbers’ in Figure 12-4 represent the order of the logic flow for completing the QFD.

**What are these houses and rooms all about?**

Figure 12-4 shows a house with numbered rooms.

You’ll also discover that QFD doesn’t stop at just one House of Quality. In the Analyse and Design phases a second, third and even fourth House of Quality can be built (see the ‘Undertaking a QFD drill-down’ section later in this chapter).

![Figure 12-4: 40 Acacia Avenue: seven rooms with a view.](image-url)
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Room 1 – Customer needs

Before you get to the QFD stage, you’ll have identified and segmented your customers, built a data collection plan, and conducted your customer research – so what you’re left with by this stage is a large amount of voice of customer information.

Room 1 (see Figure 12-5) focuses on organising the information that you’ve collected and then interpreting and translating that information into a set of CTQ statements.

Figure 12-5: Room 1 – Customer needs.

Room 2 – Prioritising needs and looking at the competition

Once you’ve completed the CTQs, their relative importance is established in Room 2 (see Figure 12-6). The QFD approach initiates a trade-off analysis. The customer may want the specifications of a Ferrari but is only willing to pay the price of a medium-sized saloon – so which of her requirements are the most important? When you enter the design phase, you must understand the priorities from the customer’s viewpoint.
As part of organising and prioritising customer needs, the customers should also be asked to rate you against the competition. For a redesign effort, the same survey used to determine their satisfaction with your current performance can be applied to determine how the competition satisfies their needs.

Such a comparison can help your team determine the strengths and weaknesses of their current product, service or process. The resulting information is input to the target-setting process for CTQs.

Assign a symbol for your own organisation and one for each of your competitors – these should be market leaders or the most distinguished organisations you directly compete with. For each need in Room 1, ask your customer to assign a rating between 1 (lowest) and 5 (highest) for both your company and your competitors. The highest rating on the scale is typically reserved for how the perfect service performs. A visual comparison can then very quickly be drawn, as shown in Figure 12-7.

In the example, ‘our company’ isn’t doing too well!
Figure 12-7: Competition comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Our Company</strong></td>
<td>△</td>
<td></td>
<td>△</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County Bank</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>△</td>
<td></td>
<td>△</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Union Lending</strong></td>
<td>△</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>△</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Room 3 – Characteristics and measures**

In Room 3 (see Figure 12-8), we start moving from the ‘what’ to the ‘how’ of the customer requirements. Until now, we have merely understood what the customer requires; now we need to understand the characteristics and measures that are needed to ensure that the end design meets those requirements.

For each customer attribute, ask what characteristics and measures will indicate how well you are meeting their needs. You need to develop measures for which targets and specification limits can be established. Be aware that measurements can produce two types of data: continuous data, such as time or temperature; and discrete data, such as accuracy; for example, the number of errors that occurred or whether an event happened or not.

**Room 4 – Relationships**

In Room 4 (see Figure 12-9), some analysis starts taking place. We look at the customer CTQs derived from Room 1 and the characteristics and measures described in Room 3, and then start to draw relationships between them. The purpose of this room is to ensure that the requirements of each characteristic and measure are taken into account. Remembering that Room 1 concerns the ‘whats’ and Room 3 is all about the ‘hows’, the key question we are asking when we build Room 4 is, ‘Can this “how” achieve that “what”?’
Figure 12-8:
Room 3 – Characteristics and measures.

Figure 12-9:
Room 4 – Relationships.

TARGET GOALS
3
Characteristics & Measures (How)

4
Relationships (What vs. how)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part IV: Improving the Processes

For each relationship between needs in Room 1 and characteristics and measures in Room 3, perform the following steps and complete the relationship matrix shown in Figure 12-10:

- Both figures 12-9 and 12-10 include symbols representing strong, medium and weak. Typically, rating the relationships uses a scale of strong (9), medium (3), weak (1) or none (0).
- Calculate the score for each cell by multiplying the priority for the customer need in Room 2 by the (9, 3, 1, 0) value of each related cell.

Once all of the relationships have been rated, you can add up the individual scores for each measure to determine their importance, carrying out a sanity check and balance at the end to make sure the matrix looks and feels right.

Figure 12-10: The relationship matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship matrix</th>
<th>First level</th>
<th>Second level</th>
<th>Time to answer phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friendly staff</td>
<td>Willing to answer questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shows respect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Strong 9</td>
<td>Moderate 3</td>
<td>Weak 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Room 5 – Competitive benchmarking

The term *benchmarking* applies to the process of looking both inside and outside of your own organisation to see both how well others are doing at providing products and services similar to yours (performance benchmarking) and how ‘best practice’ organisations provide their products and services (process benchmarking).
Technical evaluation (see Figure 12-11) involves using benchmarking to set appropriate goals and targets for the measures identified in Room 3. However, benchmarking also continues to be valid as you move through the Analyse and Design phases – although the focus then is much more on process benchmarking (searching for best practices) than on performance benchmarking (comparing performance measures).

Try to get competitive data for every key measure and analyse it using a 1–5 scale (1 = poor performance, 5 = best-in-class). Use a separate row in Room 5 for each of the 1–5 gradings.

Choose one symbol for your organisation and a different symbol for each of your company’s competitors. For each measure in Room 3, assign the symbols to the appropriate grading.

Using this exercise, you can gain a very quick visual impression of how your organisation is performing against the competition in all of the key measures, as shown in Figure 12-12.
At this stage it is important to distinguish between qualitative and quantitative benchmarking – the former was done in Room 2, where customer perceptions of your qualitative performance in relation to the CTQs were established. That situation is different to the requirements of Room 5, where we are looking for a comparison of quantitative performance in relation to the measures established in Room 3. Here, we are looking at actual performance as opposed to perceptions about performance.

**Room 6 – Targets and limits**
Keeping the results of the benchmarking from Room 5 in mind, Room 6 (see Figure 12-13) now looks to set the goals and targets against the measures and characteristics defined in Room 3.

No magic recipe exists for setting targets and specifications. Doing so is a function of business know-how and technical expertise, and the use of tools, including, for example, the analysis of benchmarking data, and a thorough understanding of customer requirements using the Kano model referred to in Chapter 4.

**Room 7 – Correlation**
The final ‘room’ is the roof. It looks at the impact of each of the measures on the CTQs and how the measures affect each other.
To complete Room 7 (see Figure 12-14), you first need to examine each measure and assess the likely impact of increasing, reducing or hitting the target for that measure on customer CTQs.

You then examine the relationship between each pair of measures to understand the impact and effect of any relationships on the final design, assigning one of the following four symbols to represent that relationship:

++ strong positive

+ positive

– negative

- - strong negative

The ‘roof’ of the house will then look something like Figure 12-15.

You are then in a position to understand how the various characteristics and measures may impact the end design – the aim is to resolve the conflicting situations before you build the design. Keep in mind that:

✔ Satisfying negatively correlated measures typically requires a lot of time and forward thinking, though the best solutions and designs will not require tradeoffs.
Conflict resolution between measures should always focus on meeting the customer needs, not yours!

Measures with strong positive correlations can become part of the overall design strategy.

**Figure 12-15: Assessing the impact of measures on customer CTQs**

| Target goals | ↓   | ↑   | ⬤   | ↓   | ↓   | ↑   | ↓   | ↓   | ⬤   | ↓   |

**Undertaking a QFD drill-down**

A QFD drill-down aims to develop further Houses of Quality, gradually refining the level of detail until the design is specified at an implementable level.

As you move from one house to the next, as shown in Figure 12-16, you carry over the corresponding targets and importance measures. The number of houses used in the drill-down may vary but tends to increase with the level of complexity. For a simple service design, the second house may be enough. Generally speaking, product design is more complex and will require more houses than service design.
The second House of Quality is developed in the Analyse phase, where CTQ measures are mapped onto the functions. The CTQ measures and the targets/importance ratings are taken from the first House of Quality. You find the correlations to enter in the cells in Figure 12-16 by asking the following question: If I design this particular function correctly, what impact does it have on my ability to meet the CTQ measures/targets?

The output of the QFD matrix in Figure 12-16 is a prioritisation of functions. It helps to identify where the design effort must be concentrated in order to satisfy the CTQs and thereby the customers.

**Decisions, Decisions**

Throughout the DMADV phases and the evolving constructions of the QFD houses, you make decisions about the various design concepts and ideas. The Pugh Matrix shown in Figure 12-17 is often used to help in this process.
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Figure 12-17: The Pugh Matrix.

Developed in the 1980s by Stuart Pugh, the Pugh Matrix, or ‘controlled convergence’, provides a simple framework for comparing solutions or concepts against a set of pre-determined criteria. The original intent of the Pugh matrix was as a framework to help refine the competing designs by improving the S and – rankings to + and combining the + attributes into a super alternative. It’s often used, however, to aid the selection of the best design.

The tool provides a structured way to evaluate alternative or competing concepts, and benefits from being both non-numeric and iterative. It is most often used during ‘design projects’ and works as described below.

If you face many competing options, try to identify your top five or so ‘favourites’. You might find it helpful to represent each concept with a simple sketch and maybe a few words – but ideally not just words alone. The sketches should be produced to the same level of detail and must communicate the key ideas embodied in each option. Finally, give each concept a name.

The list of selection criteria against which the concepts will be evaluated is the crucial part of the matrix. You should already have a detailed understanding of customer needs from your earlier work, and the list of criteria...
should be straightforward for you to determine. If it isn’t, you have some work still to do! Don’t forget to include selection criteria that are based upon the needs of the business and internal stakeholders.

The final list of criteria should be unambiguous and must be agreed upon by the full team. Watch out for criteria that are too generic; ‘cost’, for example, may be more effectively assessed if it is broken down into the various cost drivers.

You don’t need to have weighted the criteria at this stage, but doing so is a good idea, perhaps using paired comparisons. Weighting the criteria will certainly help you to focus on the key concepts.

Choose one of the concepts as a ‘datum concept’ providing a standard reference point. It doesn’t really matter which one, but it can help if it is something that already exists. Ideally, use a concept from your earlier benchmarking that represents best-in-class.

In turn, compare each concept with the datum for each of the criteria. If the concept is better or easier, then mark it ‘+’. If it is worse or harder, then mark it ‘-’. Finally, if it is similar to or the same as the datum, then mark it ‘S’. It’s a bit like trying out different strength lenses when having your eyes tested for new glasses!

For each concept, add up the total number of +, – and S scores and take the – total away from the + total. Each concept will now have a score, and it is possible to rank them in preferential order.

In discussing the merits of each concept, you may well find a basically good concept that suffers from one poor feature. In this case, a minor modification could improve the overall solution. Finding ‘losing’ concepts that outscore the others against certain criteria is also possible. In these circumstances, try to combine the best elements into a ‘new improved’ concept.

As your project unfolds, new concepts may well emerge. If they do, you need to create a new matrix taking one of the stronger concepts as your new datum. If you haven’t already done so, weighting the criteria at this stage is sensible.

Naturally, the process is only as good as the team input, the choice of selection criteria and the quality of the basic concepts. Remembering the importance of the ‘soft’ factors, reflecting on the process is almost certainly worthwhile. Do the team agree on the outcome? Does one solution clearly stand out above the rest? Do the results make sense and do any consistently good or bad features exist? If no outstanding solution emerges, maybe you used ambiguous criteria or perhaps the concepts are too similar.
Although this chapter has provided only an introduction to DMADV and QFD, it does highlight the focus and attention needed to introduce new or redesigned products, services and processes that are defect free.

More often than not, DMADV projects are significantly more resource hungry than DMAIC projects in terms of people, IT involvement and cost, but despite the potentially higher risks, they do, of course, bring higher rewards.

In a large organisation deploying Lean Six Sigma, 20 DMAIC projects will likely be carried out for every one DMADV project.

For most organisations the initial focus of improvement activity will also probably be on bite-sized DMAIC projects and it will be some time before DMADV is used, though market factors may demand otherwise.

As the deployment of Lean Six Sigma takes hold, it’s likely there will be lots of Lean projects, a moderate number of DMAIC projects, and a few DfSS projects, with the organisation getting to grips with reducing rework and waste, generally, improving process flow and reducing cycle times.
Part V

Deploying Lean Six Sigma

The 5th Wave  By Rich Tennant

“I ran an evaluation of our last pie chart. Apparently it’s boysenberry.”
In this part . . .

Lean Six Sigma provides a comprehensive collection of tools and techniques and in this part we look at how to deploy the overall approach to ‘make it happen’ successfully in an organisation. Essentially this comes down to three basic essentials – doing the right work; doing the work right; and creating the right environment.

We start by looking at the key role that leadership plays in creating the right environment. Chapter 14 examines how selecting projects is essential to ‘doing the right work’. Chapter 15 expands on the subject of engaging people in the approach – the ‘soft’ stuff, which in our experience many organisations discover is the really hard stuff.
Chapter 13

Leading the Deployment

In This Chapter
- Understanding the importance of leadership
- Getting started and considering organisational size
- Looking at the role of the deployment programme manager
- Appointing a project champion

This chapter is about making Lean Six Sigma happen by leading its deployment from different levels. The key to successful deployment (as shown in Figure 13-1) is the organisation’s leadership and management. They have to be actively involved and must be seen to be leading and supporting the approach. ‘Follow me, I’m right behind you’ simply isn’t good enough. Having the top-level executives in the organisation fully behind the approach makes it stand a much better chance of success. Leadership doesn’t just come from the top, though. In this chapter, we look at the different roles needed to create an effective deployment programme.

- Doing the right work
  - Strategic alignment
  - Project selection
  - Managing by fact

- Doing the work right
  - Lean Six Sigma tools and methods
  - Programme management
  - Project management
  - Process management

- Creating the right environment
  - Leadership behaviour
  - Effective sponsorship
  - Mentoring, coaching
  - Effective teamwork
  - Resourcing
Key Factors for Successful Deployment

Figure 13-1 is a simple illustration of a successful deployment model.

- **Right work**: Ensure your projects are focused on the right issues and linked to your business objectives. Find out what your organisation considers important and then use your organisation’s business strategy to drive your Lean Six Sigma projects.

- **Work right**: Run your projects effectively, using good-quality people, applying sound project management techniques, and ensuring rigorous governance through committed sponsorship, project reviews and tollgates reviews.

- **Create the right environment**: Lean Six Sigma flourishes as the natural way of working in certain settings. Creating the right environment is about leadership, recognition, encouraging people to do the right things effectively, and ensuring the environment supports the team’s work.

Executive Sponsorship

Jack Welch, perhaps the world’s most famous advocate of Six Sigma when he was chief executive of General Electric, is often cited as the ultimate role model business champion. When asked by one of the authors of this book how to gain leadership commitment in organisations that don’t have a ‘Jack Welch at the top’, he replied, ‘It’s no good giving this role to “Harry from Quality”.’ With all due respect to the excellent Harrys who work in quality departments, commitment has to be actively driven by the leadership at the top of the company.

Ideally, the executive sponsor is the most senior person in the organisation, with a real interest in seeing Lean Six Sigma become more than simply a ‘quality’ initiative. Indeed the reason that the approach has continued to win support from senior executives is because it creates tangible business benefits through delivering improvement and change.

The role of the executive sponsor is to provide strategic direction and support for the overall deployment programme. Effective executive sponsors also recognise that Lean Six Sigma is critical to their own success.

An executive sponsor who really is passionate about Lean Six Sigma shouldn’t underestimate the motivational effect he or she can have on those involved in implementing the approach. If you’re a senior executive, here’s a quick list of the things you need to do:
Provide the initial drive and strategic direction for the programme.

Articulate a clear vision of how you see the future and why this approach is so important.

Appoint a deployment programme manager.

Provide the budget and resources for the team as needed.

Agree the scope of the programme.

Make space on your leadership team-meeting agenda to review progress and keep yourself informed by getting involved.

Spread the message – personally through a variety of communication channels and through your behaviour and actions.

Take part in ‘showcases’ and recognition events, for example at certification and award ceremonies. Recognition is really key to success.

Act as a role model – ensure you are not easily diverted off-track.

Active involvement in sponsorship is required, not passive acquiescence. As you understand more about the principles as well as the tools and techniques of Lean Six Sigma, you’ll see some great opportunities for their application in and around your own office and in the management processes in your organisation.

Here are three simple Lean Six Sigma techniques that can be encouraged and applied by the senior executive team:

Avoid jumping to solutions. When confronted by challenging and complex business problems, do you have a tendency to jump to solutions or expect the team reporting to you to do so? ‘Managing by fact’ means being informed by intelligent data. Clearly, if the solution really is obvious then don’t hold back but all too often we see situations in which quick-fire (shoot from the lip!) decisions are made at senior level only to be regretted later at great cost to the organisation.

Beware of the average. Understand the danger of only seeing averages in regular performance reports and the illusion that targets are being met. Discover the power of variation-based measures using control charts, as described in Chapter 7. Control charts provide a visual way to understand the performance of your processes or value streams. Importantly, they enable you to determine when to take action and when not to.

Encourage the use of visual management. As described in Chapter 10, although mostly seen at an operational level, simplifying regular reports by using visual management techniques can provide great opportunities for senior management. The approach helps everyone to see clearly what is going on.
A Few Words about Size

You might be reading this chapter and thinking that your organisation is too small and that Lean Six Sigma wouldn’t work or be relevant. Don’t be put off! Stick to the principles. No matter how small your organisation is, it will provide some kind of services or products to customers. In some public service organisations the customers may not have a choice and you might not regard them as normal customers. That’s okay. One thing all organisations have in common is that they’re driven by processes and Lean Six Sigma will help them manage and improve those processes – as shown in Figure 13-2.

For example, even the smallest general practitioners’ medical practice can apply the principles of Lean Six Sigma. Of course, they won’t need to train many or indeed any of their small team to advanced Lean Six Sigma levels. However, having a practice manager trained to Green Belt (see Chapter 2 for a description of how Lean Six Sigma adapts the coloured-belt system from the martial arts) could make all the difference to the effectiveness of the practice.

We’ve worked with many professionals in service organisations who have unquestioning expertise in their chosen field (IT is a good example), but who freely admit that they’ve never really got to grips with the notion of process
excellence. This situation is understandable. A doctor, for example, will receive years of training in their professional area of expertise but would not expect to be an expert in organisational process improvement. The same applies to small departments inside larger organisations – the head of a software development team, for example, might be expected to be an expert in Java but would have little notion of control charts and visual management.

Anyone with a leadership role can start to apply the principles of Lean Six Sigma in their organisation, no matter how small. They won’t be able to do it entirely on their own, however. The deployment programme manager is also key to making Lean Six Sigma happen.

The Deployment Programme Manager

Leadership alone isn’t enough to make Lean Six Sigma happen. Good management is also needed and here’s where the deployment programme manager comes in.

The deployment programme manager needs to be a respected member of the team who wants to take on the role. If your organisation has never undertaken this before, don’t worry! The role can be taken on by a good middle manager (in a large organisation), who has the potential to develop further. If you are already developing a ‘high potential’ group, then look for someone from within it who demonstrates the following characteristics:

- Practical
- Networker
- Resource investigator
- Planner
- Team worker
- Will find the time
- Motivated
- Good presenter
- Open-minded
- Curious
- Has a continuous improvement mindset
We could go on to include a good sense of humour, charisma, dynamism, magician, miracle worker and many other characteristics – but let’s be realistic! What is crucial is that the deployment programme manager is capable of not only getting things up and running but also works well with people at all levels (including the senior executive sponsor described earlier in this chapter).

Perhaps controversially we would say that the deployment programme manager doesn’t need to be an expert in Lean Six Sigma tools and techniques. At least not at the start of a programme. However, they do need to have a good project/programme management background and be prepared to learn new skills.

Indeed, the equation we apply to the effectiveness of a solution (as we explain in more detail in Chapter 15) can also be applied to the appointment of a Lean Six Sigma programme manager,

\[ E = Q \times A \]

where effectiveness \( E \) is a function of \( Q \) for technical quality and \( A \) for acceptance. In applying this equation to recruitment, we’re looking for a balance between technical (hard) and people (change management/soft) skills. The ‘equation’ is so simple and yet can be applied when looking to recruit people into the programme at all levels. Even the best Black Belts or even Master Black Belts (see Chapter 2) should offer a balance between hard and soft skills.

The Lean Six Sigma deployment programme manager gets things up and running by:

- Organising senior executive workshop-style training.
- Selecting and working with a suitable training/coaching provider.
- Facilitating the programme start-up through an initial series of improvement projects.
- Ensuring that progress is monitored and that initial projects stay on track and deliver tangible benefits.
- Establishing governance with a light touch.
- Ensuring the message is spread via different channels right across the organisation.
- Putting internal resources in place to support the programme.
- Organising and running a steering group of senior deployment ‘champions’ from across the organisation.
✓ Ensuring that employee participation is duly recognised as well as project successes.
✓ Share best practice as it develops across the organisation.

Clearly, no ‘one size’ fits all and we are at pains to point out that Lean Six Sigma can drive improvement in organisations of every size and shape, in all sectors. Adapt the role of the deployment programme manager to suit your own situation.

In very small organisations the senior executive sponsor and the deployment programme manager roles can be combined (leadership and management) but, if you can work as a double act, then so much the better.

Lean Six Sigma Start Up

Investing time in getting the start up right is well worth it. How you go about launching your Lean Six Sigma project will affect its success.

Of course, you may be reading this book before you’ve even floated the idea of running a Lean Six Sigma programme with your team. As a first step, therefore, you may need to think about how you’ll win support, especially if people have had negative experiences with other initiatives in the past. Possibly these other initiatives failed because the organisational culture was resistant to change. To make your programme successful, you need to introduce it gradually, in stages.

Consider visiting organisations that have successfully introduced this kind of approach. But bear in mind that it has taken decades for organisations like Toyota or Ricoh to embed this culture into their organisations and they’ll be the first to say that they have further to go and more to do. What we find most illuminating about visiting these companies are the leadership and cultural aspects of a genuine continuous improvement culture. The leaders in such organisations often do not realise how good they are, are quite humble and find it hard to describe how this has happened – the approach is so deeply rooted in the values and principles of their organisation, it pervades everything they do.

The soft stuff is the key to success! You need to win over the people in your organisation if you want to really embed the approach and obtain sustainable results. Chapter 15 covers the people issues.
Start by engaging the leadership team in a series of ‘kick off’ events that combine elements of training covering the basics of Lean Six Sigma with how it can best be applied in the particular organisation through a series of targeted improvement initiatives. Most importantly, these sessions need to highlight the role of the senior team and how its members will affect the success of the Lean Six Sigma programme throughout the organisation.

You need to select processes that can be improved by the Lean Six Sigma approach (Chapter 14 has more on project selection). A positive impact early on will smooth the path for integrating the approach throughout the whole organisation.

To ensure the success of your Lean Six Sigma start up, you need to:

✓ Raise awareness and engage senior executives.
✓ Identify advocates and champions.
✓ Develop a network across the organisation.
✓ Develop expertise.
✓ Deploy Lean Six Sigma tools and techniques through well-developed and important projects.
✓ Support business unit adoption/roll-out.
✓ Encourage ‘everyday use’ of the Lean Six Sigma tools.
✓ Generate and communicate successes.
✓ Monitor Lean Six Sigma measures.

Project Champions

Leadership and management are needed at the overall deployment level. At the project level, every improvement initiative deserves a champion who’s prepared to devote the time and support needed to help the project team overcome any roadblocks on their journey.

The project champion is involved in selecting the project and the team members for it. As the project progresses, the project champion stays involved by:

✓ Providing strategic direction for the team.
✓ Developing the improvement charter (see Chapter 2), ensuring the scope of the project is sensible.
✓ Remaining informed about the project’s progress and taking an active involvement in project reviews.
✓ Providing financial and other resources for the project team.
✓ Helping to ensure the business benefits are realised in practice.
✓ Being prepared to stop a project if necessary.
✓ Helping to get buy-in for the project across the organisation.
✓ Ensuring appropriate reward and recognition for the project team in the light of their success.
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Chapter 14

Selecting the Right Projects

In This Chapter
▶ Generating improvement ideas
▶ Assessing their suitability
▶ Prioritising projects

The right leadership and management are needed to create the right environment, as we describe in Chapter 13, but how do you ensure you’re doing the right work?

Selecting the right areas in the business to focus on, especially when first starting up a Lean Six Sigma programme, will ensure early successes and build confidence.

Generating a List of Candidate Improvement Projects

You need to choose a portfolio of projects for launching your Lean Six Sigma programme – but where do you start? As Figure 14-1 demonstrates, so many opportunities seem to be available and so many problems and issues need addressing!

In fact, selecting the initial projects, along with selecting the right people to work on them, is a practical way of getting the leadership team involved early on in a Lean Six Sigma programme. A well-facilitated project selection workshop is an effective way to kick-start the approach. It gets the senior team working on things that they really care about and using some of the Lean Six Sigma tools in action (for example, a paired comparison, as described in Chapter 4).

We advise running a short (say, two- to three-hour) briefing session a couple of weeks before the project selection workshop to demystify Lean Six Sigma and get everyone considering potential candidates in terms of both processes and people. This way everyone will have had a chance to consult with
their own teams, if necessary, and to come armed with their list of candidates before the project selection workshop.

Figure 14-2 describes project selection as a simple three-step process. Once you have a long-list of candidates, you then need to assess them and reduce the number to a shortlist of projects that are suited to the approach.

It may seem obvious, but your first step is to identify your top-level processes, recognise who the customers are and ensure that you understand their requirements.
You could carry out a series of initial process ‘health checks’ across the organisation reviewing performance measures and using high-level process maps (more on these in Chapter 3). These are likely to be a combination of SIPOC (Suppliers, Inputs, Process, Outputs and Customers) diagrams and value stream maps (see Chapters 3 and 5 for more on both of these). These health checks will also help you to start identifying waste and non-value-adding activities. Improvement opportunities may already be obvious, but you may need to collect some data to help quantify and validate them. Typical measures include those to assess activity or unit time, cycle or lead time, error rates and work in progress. You can also start to use control charts to understand the different types of variation in these processes (as described in Chapter 7) as well as looking at process capability. These measures will help you understand how well you are doing against customer requirements, highlight bottlenecks and delays, and enable you to focus on reducing rework activity and bring your processes under better control. In determining which problem to tackle first, you may want to start simply. The introduction of the Five Ss – sort, straighten, scrub, systemise and standardise (for full details, see Chapter 10) – can be a really effective way of getting everyone in a team of people involved. Also consider carrying out some ‘waste walks’ to spot opportunities for improvement (see Chapter 9 for more on these).

Another technique that works well is for everyone in the team to consider the question: ‘What are the top ten things we would like to fix in this organisation?’ You probably already know which process problems need tackling, but go for bite-sized opportunities to start with rather than biting off more than you can chew. Process activities that seem ripe for improvement could be exhibiting the following symptoms:

- High correction rates and rework levels.
- Long processing times.
- Too many steps in which things go back and forth.
- Excessive delays between steps.
- Excessive checking.
- High levels of work in progress or inventory.
- Processes where no standard way of doing the work exists.
- Late deliveries to customers.
- High levels of customer complaints.

Potential projects will come from many different sources. Top-down or bottom-up approaches are both fine but as you develop more of a culture of continuous improvement you’ll find that more ideas will come from the people who do the work – the process operators (as shown in Figure 14-3). We recommend that leaders encourage the submission of ideas for improvement and everyone in the organisation responding. Companies such as Ricoh have taken this approach seriously over many years. It is a far cry from the
suggestion schemes of old, which involved an empty box hanging on a wall. Improvement ideas have to be taken seriously within a proper system in which they’re evaluated and acted on quickly. Many of these suggestions can be regarded as ‘just do it’ tasks but some will form good candidates for the Lean Six Sigma approach.

**Figure 14-3:** Identifying where suggestions come from.

![Diagram showing Management Driven and Operator Driven projects](image)

You need to balance strategy and business plans with problems seen in everyday process operations. Generating improvement ideas won’t be difficult, but you can’t do everything! Each suggestion needs to be assessed to see whether it is suitable for the Lean Six Sigma approach.

## Working Out if Lean Six Sigma Is the Right Approach

When starting up a Lean Six Sigma programme, we suggest you focus initially on process improvement opportunities using the DMAIC (Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control) approach rather than major process re-design or new product development using DfSS (Design for Six Sigma – see Chapter 12). Although we like DfSS a lot and believe it is a largely undiscovered toolkit, we believe that getting some basic experience of using Lean Six Sigma for improvement before using it for design is best. Build your knowledge base gradually and save the more advanced tools for when the Lean Six Sigma programme is well-established within your organisation.

Think about this as tackling problems or issues and remember the rule of ‘not jumping to solutions’. Taking a more measured approach isn’t easy if you
and your team are conditioned to jump into action and progress is measured by activity rather than finding the root causes of problems.

In some cases, the solution is clear right at the start and, if this really is the case, don’t use DMAIC – just get on and do it! Lean Six Sigma isn’t suitable for everything. However, if the solution isn’t clear, if you haven’t got the facts and data or you aren’t sure what’s causing the problem, then jumping to the solution too quickly can be very costly. IT ‘solutions’ are notorious for promising to solve all manner of problems but failing to deliver in practice. A highly publicised example of such failure is the centralised health-care records system, which the UK government recently scrapped after spending billions of pounds on its development. You can probably think of smaller examples of ‘jumping to the solution’ without the full facts or any real root-cause analysis being carried out.

Starting a Lean Six Sigma DMAIC project will present a challenge for some managers because it will require them to not know all the answers and to have an open mind. The DMAIC approach is similar to good detective case-work. At the Define phase, you know you have a problem – just like the detective knows a crime has been committed – but you don’t know the cause or the solution. Likewise, the detective doesn’t know ‘who did it’! Sure, you, and the detective, might have a few hunches but it’s important to have an open mind. That’s a real challenge for some managers.

In assessing your candidate list of improvement ideas, think about whether the solution is clear. If it is, then you won’t need to use the DMAIC method. Use a good project management approach to implement the changes needed. In the same way, some police incidents are open and shut cases. They don’t require the setting up of an investigation team and there are more important cases to be working on.

At the outset, consider these three questions:

✔ Does a gap exist between current and required performance?
✔ Is the cause of the problem already understood?
✔ Is the solution already apparent?

If the answers are yes, no and no, then the project is likely to be suitable for Lean Six Sigma DMAIC.

Prioritising projects

Your team will have only a limited amount of resources to deploy on running DMAIC improvement projects, so you need to be selective. Prioritise the candidates by considering only really serious issues where you can make the biggest difference.
Involving the senior team in a project selection workshop is a good way to engage them (see earlier in this chapter), and if you’ve already run an Executive Awareness session beforehand they’ll arrive with several improvement ideas. Now you need to filter these and agree on your first set of improvement projects and who’s going to lead and manage them.

If you have lots of ideas you could use a simple voting technique to reduce your long-list down to a more manageable number before using a more detailed criteria-based approach. A simple voting approach is effective for a first-round reduction. Don’t lose the initial list, though, as these ideas can be added to a project ‘hopper’ for future consideration. Remember that this isn’t a once-only task; you’ll also set up a project selection process to continue after you’ve selected the first group of projects. After all, we want to see continuous improvement not a one-hit wonder!

Figure 14-4 is a typical list of criteria we’ve used in various organisations to work out which project ideas are workable. This list isn’t fixed; you might have other priorities and criteria, so do adapt it to meet your own priorities and organisational needs.

Another approach is to use a weighted criteria selection matrix. First agree the selection criteria with the senior team at the workshop. Try to keep the number down to no more than six criteria and then agree weights for each of them. Now evaluate the shortlist of candidate projects against the weighted criteria as described below.

**Using a Criteria Selection Matrix**

A Criteria Selection Matrix, as shown in Figure 14-5, can be used in a number of ways during a Lean Six Sigma project and also help you select the projects to tackle.

The steps for this are as follows:

- List the project ideas.
- Identify the important criteria in the decision.
- Weight these on a scale.
- Look at how each option impacts the factors and score out of ten.
- Multiply the score by the weighting.
- Add up the weighted scores and group into high, medium or low.
- Reject the low scoring options.
- Evaluate the rest and decide.
## Chapter 14: Selecting the Right Projects

### Figure 14-4:
A list of criteria for assessing project viability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for Success</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear link to a real business need</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurable cost or performance benefits</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer requirements well understood or input from the customer can be obtained</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer satisfaction will be positively impacted</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong support/sponsorship in place</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope is clear and reasonably narrow</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical and current data is accessible</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achievable in 3-6 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not capital intensive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource is available</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear ownership for delivery</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is ‘doable’ – the problem lies within the organisation’s control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Now is the right time to do this project</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process won’t be changed by another initiative during the timeframe of the project</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can’t afford not to do this project</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 14-5:
Criteria-based matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Weights</th>
<th>Criteria A</th>
<th>Criteria B</th>
<th>Criteria C</th>
<th>Score (weighted)</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idea one</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>15/35</td>
<td>7/35</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea two</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>21/6</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea three</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>24/8</td>
<td>40/40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea four</td>
<td>8/6</td>
<td>18/5</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idea five</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>21/6</td>
<td>30/30</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Management Team would weight each criterion by its relative contribution and importance in achieving its plans, with potential projects then evaluated and prioritised for action.

Even where you have identified a clear ‘winner’, it is worth making sure that it is an effective option and not simply the best of a bad bunch. The percentage column on the right-hand side of the diagram will help you keep a check and balance on things, and perhaps encourage you to look for ways of improving the ‘preferred choice’.

The Criteria Selection Matrix provides a useful format for the selection of all sorts of things both within the workplace and outside. At home, for example, you might use it to select the next car you want to buy, or the school you would like your children to attend.

If you like, you can keep things simple and use a 2 x 2 matrix, as shown in Figure 14-6, with each potential project mapped against benefit and effort, or cost. This matrix allows you to identify visually the most ‘desirable’ projects, as well as those that may be potential quick wins. Quick wins can be taken down a slightly different route using a Rapid Improvement approach – see later in this chapter.

**Figure 14-6**

Benefit–effort matrix for screening projects.

- **Highly Desirable Opportunities**
  - Projects in upper left are the most desirable projects.
- **Potentially Desirable Opportunities**
  - Projects in the upper right are potentially desirable, but usually require more analysis to reduce the scope and effort.
- **Potential Quick Hits**
  - Possible quick improvements or ‘Kai Sigma events’.
- **Least Desirable Opportunities**
  - Projects in the lower right are the least desirable.

**Deciding on which approach fits which project: Doing the work right**

At a project level, it’s important to distinguish between those problems that can be tackled using a rapid improvement approach, and those that need to be approached in a more formal way, using DMAIC over perhaps three to six months.
Start simply, securing commitment and ongoing support. As you make progress in your deployment, you can increasingly link your activities to the organisation’s business plans and strategic objectives. You might look to policy deployment as the next stage, especially if you’re aiming for breakthrough results. You can use cause and effect analysis to distinguish which processes need which approach. For example, Figure 14-7 uses Ys to refer to Effects and Xs to denote Causes. The organisation as a whole has to achieve its top-level business goals (the big Ys in Figure 14-7), which are ‘caused’ by the organisational processes performing well and delivering their outputs. The process Ys are in turn ‘caused’ by the in-process variables being in control. This approach will affect the way you manage the whole organisation. Significant benefits will surface at the top by focusing improvement resources on those Xs that will have the biggest positive impact all the way up the chain.

Now let’s consider the start up of two typical projects. One is a typical DMAIC project, which is likely to run over three to six months, and the other is a rapid improvement workshop-based project, which can be carried out within weeks.

**Figure 14-7**

Being Business Wise with the Business Ys.

The business Y may be influenced by several processes. In turn, the process Ys are influenced by a number of sub-processes.

We need to understand the various linkages and the variables that drive the ‘big Ys’. And we need to determine ‘Project Ys’, too!

**Setting up a DMAIC Project**

At the senior team level, you first need to gain agreement on a number of basic details:

- Who will take on the role of project champion? This person will represent the voice of the organisation and ensure that the project is kept on track and steered in the right direction. (Chapter 13 covers the role of the project champion.) The project champion will need specific training, reflecting a balance between the leadership aspects of the role and a
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A good grounding in Lean Six Sigma (to a minimum of Yellow Belt level – Chapter 2 explains the martial arts analogy).

✓ Who will take the project lead? If you’re just starting up we recommend that the project leader is selected for Green Belt training and that the training is delivered in modules based on the life of the project; in this way the training exercises use and develop the real-life project. As you are unlikely at this stage to have internal experts available (Black Belts or Master Black Belts), we suggest you use a training organisation to provide project coaching to the Green Belt trainees as they work through their projects.

Once these two roles have been assigned, the project champion and the Green Belt project leader can get started on the project’s Define phase, focusing initially on the project charter (see Chapter 2). They also need to appoint part-time project team members. Process operators should be involved in the project as they are the people who know the process best. They’ll also be much more inclined to adopt improvements if they’ve been involved in developing them. From here onwards, the project will move through the DMAIC phases described in Chapter 2.

Seeing Rapid Improvement with a Kai Sigma Event

DMAIC provides a systematic and proven approach to improvement that can be applied to projects both large and small, including the rapid improvement events that we refer to as Kai Sigma, as shown in Figure 14-8.

Kaizen means change for the better. It is often associated with short, rapid, incremental improvement and forms a natural part of an organisation’s approach to continuous improvement. Kai Sigma is developed from the kaizen approach and adapts the framework of the DMAIC phases in a series of facilitated workshops. The facilitator doesn’t need to use the language of Lean or Six Sigma. The aim is to involve the people who use a process in making improvements to that process and the approach makes use of team knowledge rather than detailed analysis.

The solution may already be known by the people who actually use the process but historically they have not been listened to! The workshops should involve the team for up to five or six days full time, either over five or six weeks, or in a one-week hit. This timescale compares to perhaps four months part time needed for a traditional DMAIC project.

Some preparation will be needed, of course, especially in agreeing on the problem, which should have a narrow focus and be clearly defined. Kai Sigma is biased towards action and the derived solution to the problem should be put into practice as quickly as possible. In fact, some elements of the solution may actually be actioned during the workshops.
As with a traditional DMAIC project, the Control phase is vital to ensure the improvement gain is maintained.

In our experience a traditional five-day Kaizen event is more likely to be effective in a manufacturing organisation than a service or transactional office-based environment, where the Kai Sigma multi-workshop approach is more appropriate.

To ensure these workshops are a success, some planning and pre-work is necessary. Where appropriate, look to see if relevant data is already available or whether you need to collect some in advance of the event.

Good event facilitation is critical to the success of this approach. Don’t underestimate the skills needed to run a workshop. The facilitator will need to be experienced and well versed in the Lean Six Sigma toolkit. He or she will also need to be a good trainer as an element of training is inevitable during the workshops.

The role of the facilitator is to:

- Ensure Kai Sigma rapid improvement workshops, meetings and interactions between people are effective and productive.
- Make the best use of the skills and contributions from everyone involved.
- Make sure that all aspects of each workshop are orchestrated to ensure success.

The event involves three phases:

- Preparation
- The actual workshops
- Follow-up
In terms of tools and techniques needed, a set of simple but commonly used tools should handle the problems selected. They include:

✓ The improvement charter (Chapter 2)
✓ CTQs (Chapter 4)
✓ SIPOC – the high-level process map (Chapter 3)
✓ Process stapling (Chapter 5)
✓ A process and/or value stream map (Chapter 5)
✓ The theory of constraints (Chapter 11)
✓ The ten wastes (Chapter 9)
✓ The Five Ss (Chapter 10)
✓ Visual management (Chapter 10)
✓ Measurement and data collection (Chapter 6)
✓ Data displays, including checksheets and Pareto charts (Chapter 7)
✓ Brainstorming (Chapter 2)
✓ Fishbone diagram (Chapter 8)
✓ Interrelationship diagram (Chapter 2)
✓ FMEA and error proofing (Chapter 10)
✓ Control plan (Chapter 2)

You’ll also need some simple selection and prioritisation techniques, including:

✓ The 2 by 2 matrix (earlier in this chapter)
✓ Paired comparisons (Chapter 4)
✓ The criteria selection matrix (earlier in this chapter)

DMAIC provides a systematic approach to achieving process improvements. In many ways, the key is clear problem definition followed by the successful completion of the Measure and Analyse phases. Get these phases right and the improvement solution is often very obvious. Sometimes a solution presents itself as a result of the Measure phase and you can move to a ‘quick win’. Quick wins bypass the Analyse phase and go straight to Improve, but some care is needed and you should make sure you truly understand the effects of your change.

Be the detective – solve the crime – eliminate the suspects from your enquiries!
Six Sigma and Lean originated from industrial manufacturing backgrounds, with early emphasis on tools and techniques. Now, however, most managers accept that recognising and handling the people issues is the biggest challenge in implementing Lean Six Sigma successfully.

Lean Six Sigma aims to make change happen in order to improve things. Human beings, like most creatures, are cautious and sceptical about change – it spells danger. Humans have an inbuilt resistance to change, especially if somebody tells us it’s going to be ‘good for us’. Most people fear losing something they have as a result of change.

Dealing with personal fear and loss is another big challenge in implementing Lean Six Sigma, but few enthusiasts in statistical theory cover this in their extensive training.

Understanding people is key to implementing a Lean Six Sigma project. Almost always, if Six Sigma and Lean projects fail, people issues of one form or another are the cause. In this chapter we offer guidance and tips for managing the human aspects of change in Lean Six Sigma.

Working ‘Right’ Right from the Start

Unfortunately, we don’t know an easy formula for solving the challenge of managing people in a Lean Six Sigma project. However, in over 80 implementations of Lean Six Sigma, we have found a small number of common factors that consistently stand out as critical for success. Perhaps not surprisingly,
leadership commitment is one of these critical factors. Clinching buy-in at the beginning is the real challenge. We cover leadership in more detail in Chapter 13.

Gaining Acceptance

Overcoming the resistance movement in your organisation may be one of the biggest challenges you face when you introduce Lean Six Sigma. You may find lots of people are reluctant to accept this new way of working, especially with its suspiciously confusing name (Lean Six Sigma). You can’t ignore or get away from these people: resistance exists in all organisations, no matter how big or small, and at all levels.

Making change happen successfully in an organisation is difficult. John P. Kotter, a respected expert in organisational leadership, researched 100 organisations that failed in their first business transformation attempt. In his *Harvard Business Review* article ‘Why transformation efforts fail’, Kotter identifies eight common failure factors:

- Not establishing a sufficient sense of urgency.
- Not creating a powerful enough leadership coalition.
- Not creating a vision.
- Under-communicating.
- Not removing obstacles to the vision.
- Not systematically planning for and creating short-term wins.
- Declaring victory too soon.
- Not anchoring changes in the culture.

In the next section, we show how you can use these factors to your advantage in your organisation.

Managing change

Turning the factors that we describe in the previous section into positives provides the basis for a model for managing change as shown in Figure 15-1. You can use this model during the life of each Lean Six Sigma project and also across the entire Lean Six Sigma programme for your organisation. Work from left to right in the model, starting with establishing the need for change.
George Eckes, a well-known writer on this subject, uses a simple but eloquent expression to express gaining acceptance for change and overcoming resistance, whether for a whole Lean Six Sigma programme or for the changes resulting from part of a Lean Six Sigma project:

\[ E = Q \times A \]

**E is the effectiveness of the change in practice:** This represents the effectiveness of the implementation, which depends on the quality of the solution and the level of acceptance.

**Q is the technical quality of the solution:** The ‘hard’ tools of Lean and Six Sigma will have proven that the solution works when tested. An ideal solution may have been identified, but its effectiveness will depend on the degree to which it is accepted.

**A is the acceptance of the change by people:** Having a high ‘score’ for A is as important as having a good-quality solution.

Some hardened practitioners believe that the A factor is more important than the Q factor and is the real key to success in Lean Six Sigma. To understand how people perceive things and to win support, you need to score well on both factors.

If you’re in the early stages of deploying a Lean Six Sigma programme, the A factor is likely to start with winning support from senior managers.
Keep Q × A in mind as a simple shorthand for a highly complicated issue – dealing with the human mind.

**Overcoming resistance**

In the preceding section we introduce the concept of a good A ‘score’ – or acceptance of your project by other people in your organisation. We could write a whole book on what makes a good A score, but Estelle Clarke, one of Europe’s leading Quality figures, provides a useful guide in this list:

1. Building relationships on mutual commitment.
2. Leading by example.
3. Making decisions based on facts.
4. Being open to change how we work.
5. Having an inspiring vision of the future.
6. Having clear goals and targets.
7. Having plans that are clear and well-communicated.
8. Having a winning strategy.
9. Establishing clear roles and responsibilities.
10. Dealing effectively with those resisting change.
11. Having no need to fire-fight.
12. Attracting and retaining world-class people.
13. Following world-class processes.
14. Having clear and open communication.
15. Learning from each other.
16. Working well together across all functions.
17. Encouraging teamwork.
18. Finding more productive ways of working.
19. Having consistency of purpose.
20. Having a high sense of urgency.
22. Continuously seeking to achieve competitive advantage.
23. Continuously building customer confidence.
25. Being honest and sincere.
26. Understanding our market, customers and competitors.
27. Facing up to problems quickly.
28. Rewarding the right behaviours.
29. Encouraging creativity and innovation.
30. Modifying systems and structures to support business assurance.
32. Doing what we say we will do.
33. Expecting our performance standards to increase continuously.
34. Encouraging expressions of different points of view.
35. Only reporting relevant information.
36. Wanting to learn from our mistakes so we don’t repeat them.

You can map the list on to the change model in Figure 15-1 and use it as the basis for an organisational assessment involving a simple scoring mechanism. Simply adding the words ‘How good are we at . . .’ before each phrase can help you develop a questionnaire. For example, with number 17 on the list you can ask ‘How good are we at encouraging teamwork?’.

In practice, you can adapt the list for a specific project and add an assessment score, typically using five levels: 1 = very poor, 2 = weak, 3 = fair, 4 = very good, 5 = excellent. This technique can be useful to measure the ‘acceptance baseline’ in Figure 15-1. Don’t feel you have to use a questionnaire for every project, though; simply interviewing and listening to people who have an interest in the project (the stakeholders in the project) using these questions can be a really useful approach.

**Creating a Vision**

Clear, 20:20 vision may well be something that organisations try to develop in the next few years. Talking about ‘visions’ in a book about Lean Six Sigma may seem rather fanciful – but visions help you paint a picture that appeals to people’s hearts and minds and can help you answer the question “Why change?”

Customers, business leaders and employees all view the future from different perspectives. Imagining a time machine is an ideal way to develop a
Part V: Deploying Lean Six Sigma

vision: you can speed ahead and discover for real what it will be like when the change has been completed. What is different? What is there more or less of? Being in the future you can find out how the change has affected people’s attitudes and behaviours. What does it feel like now? How does it look from the view of the customer, the leader and the employee?

A time machine is outside the scope of even the most extensive Lean Six Sigma Master Black Belt toolkit, so we use a simple technique called *backwards visioning*. This technique helps you create a picture of the future expressed in behavioural terms – that is, what the culture will be like in the future. The improvement team (the Lean Six Sigma team) imagines that their change has been completed successfully and then considers what they’d expect to see, both internally and externally, in terms of the following:

- Behaviours
- Measures
- Rewards
- Recognition

By determining the team’s perceptions of these issues, you can begin to understand the actions that you may need to take as part of your progress towards the desired state; the future after the change has been made. These actions include the activities and behaviours that you need to reduce and remove and those that you need to introduce and increase.

You may want a more supportive culture, where people help each other more, work in a team more and operate less on their own private agendas. For example, as a manager you see a piece of litter in the corridor. Do you walk on because you didn’t drop it and cleaning this area isn’t your job? Or do you pick it up and set an example? Creating a vision is about leadership, taking responsibility and working in the best interests of the business.

Writing down a backwards visioning statement provides a helpful framework for developing influencing strategies. For example, a good vision for the future for an airport operator working on reducing queues and increasing security is:

‘Our goal is to transform the security experience of the travelling passenger by: (a) exceeding expectations by eliminating queues, and (b) creating a highly professional environment overseen by security staff who are rigorous, professional, helpful and proactive.’

A clear vision provides clarity about the outcomes of the change effort and helps you to identify at least some of the elements that the change aims to transform. A vision secures commitment and support from anyone involved
in delivering this service by helping people understand what you want to change – and why.

**Understanding Organisational Culture**

Defining the concept of ‘culture’ in organisations is difficult – yet most people have an idea of what the term means in their own organisation. They know their organisation’s ‘unwritten rules’ and can describe ‘the way things get done around here’ a lot more vividly than a written rulebook or set of documented policies can.

At the core of most organisations is a set of values and beliefs that pervade everything and dictate more strongly than any management fad what people think should be done and how it should be done. These enduring beliefs create attitudes and behaviours that may undermine your Lean Six Sigma project if people consider your project to threaten them.

Alongside the formal declared change (the plan), another process is happening – often hidden in the shadows but still having a powerful impact. The best Lean Six Sigma practitioners recognise the hidden cultural, unwritten rules and manage change in the cultural process as keenly as they manage the work process being improved.

Many change initiatives fail because their proponents don’t have enough awareness of the cultural factors involved. Many mergers and acquisitions fail to achieve the promised gains for this reason.

Culture is complex, powerful and based on events of the past. In any organisation, rituals, stories, myths, heroes and villains play important roles. Gerry Johnson, at Cranfield University in the UK, developed the idea of a *cultural web*, shown in Figure 15-2. Essentially, the cultural web is ‘the way we do things around here’.
Symbols in organisations may be much more significant than you think. Status symbols, language and jargon may be based on associations with power and status.

**Busting Assumptions**

*Assumption busting* is a useful tool for challenging why things are done in the way they are. Keep asking ‘Why are things done this way?’ to get beyond the initial, often superficial, responses.

A project to speed up registrations for land registry in the UK provides a good example. Registrations had always been sent to the legal department for review if the property value exceeded a particular amount. It transpired that no logic was involved in this decision as the value of the property made no difference to the complexity of the title – but it did add three months to the completion time!

Assumption busting is a quick and easy technique that works well in workshop-style sessions with groups or just as a tool to work through on your own. Question the obvious things with a fresh pair of eyes. Why have we always done it that way? Here are some common assumptions:

- It is impossible to do that.
- The rules will not allow that approach.
- We will never get it through IT in time (okay, maybe that one is fair!).
- Department A, B, C (take your pick) will never agree.
- These all need to go for authorisation before being processed.
- Every project needs to have all 164 project documents produced before being given the go-ahead (even small projects?).
- We don’t have the money, equipment, room or personnel.
- Central office would never agree to it.
- You can’t teach an old dog new tricks.
- It’s too radical a change.
- That’s beyond our responsibility.
- The employees will reject it outright.

You need to make everyone aware that these assumptions exist and be brave enough to challenge the status quo.
Seeing how People Cope with Change

Several models illustrate the stages that people go through when coping with change in their lives. Many people know the Kübler-Ross model, involving the following stages: shock, denial, awareness, acceptance, experimentation, search and integration.

Figure 15-3 illustrates how people typically react to change over time.

Lean Six Sigma projects are about changing things for the better. You’re trying to improve processes – so change is inevitable. Blindly hoping that doing the same things in the same way will magically improve your product or service is head-in-the-sand (HITS) thinking. Unsurprisingly, although being an ostrich or HITS thinking is a surprisingly common management practice, it’s not taught in management schools or on Lean Six Sigma training courses!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Weeks</th>
<th>Weeks/Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotions</td>
<td>Numbness outcry</td>
<td>Outrage, fear guilt, turmoil</td>
<td>Hope, confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thought</td>
<td>Dazed</td>
<td>Disrupted</td>
<td>Realistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour</td>
<td>Perplexed</td>
<td>Defensive, hyperactive, hyperalert</td>
<td>Constructive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparing energy and attitude

Not everyone reacts to the prospect of change in the same way, so looking at different responses is helpful. An ‘energy and attitude scale’, as shown in Figure 15-4, is a useful way of assessing people’s attitudes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECTATOR</th>
<th>WINNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive Attitude</td>
<td>Positive Attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Energy</td>
<td>High Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEADBEAT</th>
<th>TERRORIST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Attitude</td>
<td>Negative Attitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Energy</td>
<td>High Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Winners** are open and responsive to change. They want to do their best and have the energy to see things through to the end.

- **Deadbeats** have a negative attitude towards change and low energy levels. For them, change is a nuisance, and they undertake tasks with reluctance.

- **Spectators** have very good intentions. They have a positive attitude towards change, but low energy levels. Typically, they say the right things, but find it hard to follow through.

- **Terrorists** have high levels of energy but their attitude towards change is negative. Typically, terrorists have their own agenda. They can be very outspoken in their opinions, and their attitude can be summed up as ‘that will never work’.

Fortunately, most organisations have a lot more winners than terrorists. By developing a strategy to tackle the ‘soft stuff’ (handling people) and respecting people’s views and feelings, tackling change can be successful in even the most challenging organisations.
Using a forcefield diagram

We can take the model in Figure 15-4 further by looking at the strength of support for, or resistance to, the outcome of a specific project. We do this for different interest (stakeholder) groups using a forcefield diagram, as shown in Figure 15-5.

A forcefield diagram is a useful graphical representation of the positive and negative ‘forces’ influencing a particular project. You could also use a forcefield diagram when starting to implement an entire Lean Six Sigma programme in your organisation, as this diagram indicates. The length of each line represents the strength of the force. In Figure 15-5 the programme is perceived to bring increased revenue, which is seen as a positive force, but this has to be balanced by the negative force of being too expensive. Remember that these are perceptions rather than facts; however, they will certainly influence stakeholders’ opinions, so understanding what these forces are, and their strength, can be very helpful in understanding why people feel the way they do.

Analysing your stakeholders

Stakeholder analysis is another useful technique for identifying the ‘interest groups’ (stakeholders) in your project and their levels of support.
Use the matrix in Figure 15-6 to show where the stakeholders are now on the positive/negative scale, and also where you’d like them to be in the future. This kind of stakeholder analysis needs to be regularly updated during the life of a project, and is best kept for the team’s eyes only. You’re dealing with sensitive stuff – how people think and whether they’re for or against change.

### Stakeholder matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Strongly against</th>
<th>Moderately against</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Moderately supportive</th>
<th>Strongly supportive</th>
<th>Hot/Cold spots</th>
<th>Next steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X = where they are  O = where we need them to be

No matter what your proposed change is, some people will be very much for it, some completely against, some in-between and some almost indifferent. And that’s pretty much life! Don’t be surprised when you find this range of attitudes applying to your project, or to the solution that you and your team eventually develop. Finding out early in the project what the for/against situation looks like, both on the surface and beneath it, is a good idea.

A **key stakeholder** is anyone who controls critical resources, who can block the change initiative by direct or indirect means, who must approve certain aspects of the change strategy, who shapes the thinking of other critical parties or who owns a key work process impacted by the change initiative. So, in your Lean Six Sigma team, ask:

- Who are the key stakeholders?
- Where do they currently stand on the issues associated with this change initiative?
- Are they supportive and to what degree?
- Are they against and to what degree?
- Are they broadly neutral?

Given their status or their influence on your project, where do you need the stakeholders to be? Moving some stakeholders to a higher level of support may be both desirable and possible, so work out how you can do that.
Consider what turns them on or off, and think about how you can present the project in a more appealing and effective way for them.

**Focusing on key elements of change**

To deal with the people issues we describe in this chapter and to understand the key elements involved in managing change, we use the ‘elements of change’ model shown in Figure 15-7, based on work by Kotter, to help you in the deployment of the overall approach and in local and cross-company projects.

This model can be used as a simple but effective tool to assess how well you are doing in relation to the change management elements of individual projects and also of the overall deployment programme. You can use the radar-like chart shown in Figure 15-8 to carry out regular assessments of your team’s progress.

---

**Figure 15-7:** Elements of change model.
Scoring 100 per cent would mean ‘perfect’, whilst scores nearer the centre indicate areas where more work needs to be done. This is a great tool to use in your team; everyone can carry out their own assessment initially and then share each other’s to see where common themes or differences of opinion exist.

You will also find that some organisational characteristics are inherent in a particular culture. For example, some organisations are much faster at using new methods of internal communications than others. Some are good at making the change happen but fall down on embedding the change.

One common factor central to successful change management is effective communication. To ensure that you get the right messages to the right people at the right time, and via an appropriate medium, you need to develop a communication plan as part of your overall deployment plan. Try to think about the different audiences and to see them as both teams and individuals. And remember, we all see and hear things differently.

Consider the use of storyboards to capture the essence and key elements of a team’s improvement activity. For example, use the framework of DMAIC to design a small number of PowerPoint slides to highlight the main elements of a project or improvement event, ideally incorporating photos and videos.
Part VI
The Part of Tens

The 5th Wave
By Rich Tennant

“I’m one of the Six Sigma black belts in the company, but several of us still wear suspenders.”
In this part . . .

Every *For Dummies* book includes a fun and informative Part of Tens. Here, we give you information on some of the excellent resources covering Lean Six Sigma that are available. We also provide a collection of lists that include best practices, common mistakes, and where to go for help.
You can apply the Lean Six Sigma toolkit in organisations of all sizes and in all sectors. The following sections highlight details to take care of to ensure success.

Lead and Manage the Programme

Leadership and management are needed to make Lean Six Sigma work in practice: leadership from a senior executive who takes on the sponsorship role and management through a deployment programme manager appointed by the senior team to programme manage the Lean Six Sigma deployment across the organisation. Even if you’re working in a small organisation, these dual roles need to exist and, in our experience, a double act is better than a solo attempt. Even if you have the best intentions as the leader of your organisation, your Lean Six Sigma programme stands little chance of success unless you appoint a deployment manager to work with you and to make this happen. Equally, trying to start up and deploy a programme without a business sponsor to act as its leader is pointless.

If you’re a senior executive, here’s a quick reference list of what you’ll need to do (refer to Chapter 13 for a more detailed list):

✔ Provide drive and direction.
✔ Articulate why this approach is so important.
✔ Provide the budget and resources for the team as needed.
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- Regularly review progress.
- Spread the message.
- Recognise success.
- Act as a role model.
- Ensure you’re not easily diverted off-track.

The Lean Six Sigma deployment programme manager’s main tasks include:

- Designing the overall programme.
- Planning the initial roll-out.
- Engaging stakeholder support.
- Setting the framework and structure.
- Organising training and support.
- Reporting on progress, targets and measures.
- Dealing with internal communications.
- Sharing internal best practice.

A deployment programme manager’s role is often underestimated. They should be able to focus on getting the programme off to a good start by organising the selection of initial projects that will bring early tangible benefits, engage with the wider organisation, establish a suitable training programme and achieve early results, thus increasing acceptance within the senior executive group.

As the programme develops, regularly reviewing how well the overall Lean Six Sigma programme is progressing, and comparing your approach and progress against best practice, makes sense. A small number of specialist organisations can provide this service, providing you with an independent audit report showing how your organisation matches up with others at the same stage.

Refer to Chapter 13 for more details about Lean Six Sigma leadership roles.

**Appreciate that Less Is More**

Keeping things simple can be surprisingly challenging in a world where processes and systems can become overly complicated and not matched to changing customer expectations and requirements.
Businesses that haven’t discovered Lean Six Sigma seem to have a built-in tendency to overcomplicate processes by inserting non-value-adding steps as a result of one-off failures being treated as ‘common cause’ events.

In one organisation we’re familiar with, a high-value sales quotation was issued incorrectly. After that ‘one-off mistake’, a senior finance manager insisted that every new quotation be sent for additional checking and authorisation before being despatched. As time progressed and more mistakes continued to happen, even further checking and inspecting steps were added into the process in the false belief that this would reduce the defect level. Customers then complained that they did not receive quotations in the time they expected. When we tackled this issue shortly after the organisation decided to use Lean Six Sigma, one customer stated: ‘Your internal processes are like a black hole.’ Applying Lean Six Sigma resulted in a simplified, faster process, a subsequent increase in customer satisfaction and a reduction in customers switching to competitors. Improving the process wasn’t difficult, but no one had ever looked at the process from either the view of the customer or across the whole organisation.

Adopting the strategy of keeping it simple is a good idea for any Lean Six Sigma project. Try using deployment flowcharts (explained in Chapter 5) to illustrate the unnecessary complexity inadvertently ‘designed’ into processes across your organisation – you may well discover a vast number of checks and balances added into the overall process. Simply map the process across the entire organisation and count the number of different people involved in the process and number of crossover points. Then see how you can consolidate or reduce them.

One organisation we’ve been working with has coined a brilliant expression for the aim of their programme: ‘relentless simplification’.

**Build in Prevention**

Prevention really is better than cure. This adage applies in business just as in health – with the added benefit in business that a prevention-based system costs a lot less than a cure.

Imagine a situation whereby an organisation ships poor-quality products to customers, or doesn’t clean its hotel rooms adequately, or sends out inaccurate or late invoices to clients. The cost of putting right these failures is much higher than preventing the failure in the first place. Handling customer complaints, carrying out expensive rework, and paying for additional warranty shipping are non-value-added costs that your competitor won’t incur if
they’ve fully adopted Lean Six Sigma. Losing customers adds the final insult to injury, as word spreads of your company’s poor quality.

Many high-quality manufacturing plants use error detection and error prevention techniques such as Poka-yoke (Japanese slang for ‘avoiding inadvertent errors’ – see Chapter 10), but service and transaction-based organisations still see such techniques as a novelty. Error detection and prevention systems are inexpensive and highly effective at preventing errors occurring in everyday work.

For example, a handful of hotel chains now use Lean Six Sigma, and travellers who use several hotels can really tell the difference in quality. Think of the effect of finding a hair on the pillow just after you arrive for a stay at a premium-brand hotel. By focusing on the process of cleaning the room, a Lean Six Sigma business will almost completely eradicate the possibility of such a defect occurring, in the same way that the likelihood of an aeroplane engine failing is now miniscule.

No organisation is perfect but building quality into your processes and preventing failure before it can happen is the key to the long-term success of companies like Toyota. And taking into account the cost of failure, actually means you incur less expense overall.

**Challenge Your Processes**

Understanding how the work gets done, and then improving processes, is at the core of Lean Six Sigma. We don’t see the word ‘process’ as synonymous with heavy documentation, bureaucracy or sluggishness – although we know that the word ‘process’ often conjures up an image of a constraining rather than a liberating force. The famous statistician, W. E. Deming, used to say that the key role of managers is to ‘change the processes’:

_Eighty-five percent of the reasons for failure to meet customer expectations are related to deficiencies in systems and process rather than the employee. The role of management is to change the process rather than badgering individuals to do better._

Take the lid off any organisation, look inside and you’ll find that the organisation is made up of a series of interconnecting processes. Using the Lean Six Sigma toolkit in a continuing cycle of assessing, improving and maintaining this organisational system by challenging the state of these processes will keep the organisation fit and capable of consistently meeting customer requirements.
Go to the Gemba

The Japanese expression ‘Go to the Gemba’ means go to the place where the work gets done. This approach is used throughout leading organisations, such as Toyota, where senior managers are almost indistinguishable from shop floor workers as they continually support and encourage everyday Kaizen (continuous improvement) activities. (Chapter 15 explains Kaizen in more detail.)

To find out how the work really gets done in your organisation, you need to go to the place where it happens. Lean Six Sigma projects get to the root of problems by actually going to the workplace and involving the people who do the work. All too often, the process map in the company quality manual is fictional – it doesn’t represent reality. A real process stapling exercise – walking through the process viewed from the thing being processed – is an eye opener. (For more on process stapling, check out Chapter 5.)

Recognising and being prepared to accept that significant differences may exist between the real life ‘as is’ process in the Gemba when compared to the ‘should be’ process, let alone the ideal ‘to be’ process, is worthwhile.

Many organisations are now institutionalising time for senior managers to regularly ‘Go to the Gemba’. After all, how can you win a round-the-world yacht race if you lose touch with what the crew are having to deal with every day?

Manage Your Processes with Lean Six Sigma

Lean Six Sigma is traditionally used as a method for improving processes but you can also use the tools to help you manage your business processes on an everyday, ongoing basis. During the control phase of a Lean Six Sigma project, all the key ingredients of process management should be put in place, including the measurement system needed to monitor the ongoing running of the process on a day-to-day basis.

In essence, the control phase leaves the process running sweetly, and with the following in place:

✔ A clear, customer-focused objective reflecting the CTQs (check out CTQs in Chapter 4).
✔ An agreed process map (plug into process mapping in Chapter 5).
An agreed data collection plan with an appropriate balance of X and Y measures (we delve into data collection plans in Chapter 6).

✓ An ongoing control plan (we cover control plans in Chapter 2).

✓ A standardised process with appropriate documentation in place (see more about standardisation in Chapter 10).

✓ A visual management system to be updated and used in practice on a day to day basis using statistical control charts when needed (see our vision of visual management systems in Chapter 10).

By running a series of DMAIC projects across the processes that are at the core of running your organisation, you put in place the basis for an effective process management system. (We get down with DMAIC in Chapter 2.)

Don’t spend ages putting a detailed process management system in place before you run a DMAIC project. Although such a system may seem like a sensible and logical approach, in reality your business leaders may lose patience as months or years pass by and they see little or no change. Lean Six Sigma’s whole rationale is to make a difference!

### Pick the Right Tools for the Job

A newly trained Lean Six Sigma practitioner may want to use all their newly learned tools – but in practice the Pareto principle (in this case, that 80 per cent of projects can be completed successfully by using only 20 per cent of the LSS tools) will apply in the choice of appropriate tools for different projects. Chapter 6 explains the Pareto principle in more detail. Here are a few tips for using the right tools:

✓ Consider that, used in the wrong way, even the best tools give bad results.

✓ Know what rigour is necessary and when.

✓ Remember that excellent influencing skills are as important as superb tools (see the $E = Q \times A$ equation in Chapter 15 for assessing the success of your project in relation to people’s commitment to your ideas).

✓ Don’t be tempted to disappear into your own analysis paralysis.

✓ Use the methodology and the tools together. Even the most rapid improvement event will benefit from using the define, measure, analyse, improve and control stages. We’ve seen a DMAIC exercise carried out successfully in a one-day rapid improvement workshop. (See Chapter 2 for a full explanation of DMAIC.)
✓ Remember that 20 per cent of the tools will be used on 80 per cent of projects, so don’t try to shoehorn every tool into a project.

✓ Keep the scope of your project simple and understandable.

✓ Don’t be afraid to ask for help if you need to use a tool that you haven’t used since training. Everyone needs support from time to time.

Tell the Whole Story

Most people like a good story. We learn a great deal through reading, listening to and exchanging stories. Keeping a ‘storyboard’ or log of a Lean Six Sigma project is an excellent way to communicate the project to the wider organisation and to pass on what you discover.

Organisations implementing Lean Six Sigma often don’t bother with storyboarding. Many people miss the importance of this technique as they strive to meet project objectives. Ignoring the use of storyboarding is shortsighted, however: if you don’t capture the discoveries, challenges and ‘Aha!’ moments of a project, the rest of the organisation is none the wiser and potentially makes the same discoveries and overcomes the same challenges over and over again.

Lean Six Sigma storyboarding is a straightforward technique to record the knowledge gained from a project. Just like the taste of strawberries in a good jam, your storyboarded knowledge lasts for many years.

Intranet sites make a good storage area, offering easy access to such ‘bottled knowledge’ across the organisation. They have the added advantage that, unlike jam, they’re not eaten but can be used many times over! You have no excuse for losing stories from your records.

The latest storyboarding techniques use a combination of slides, words, interviews and videos to capture the essence of ‘what happened’ in the life of a project. Of course, a full-scale TV-style documentary isn’t necessary. Simply writing up learning points and recording key events in the project ‘story’ on a flipchart and then taking digital photos of the various sheets can form a very useful record while the team is live and thoughts are easily captured. Lean Six Sigma projects are already being featured on YouTube, where you can upload and create an ‘unlisted’ video that allows easy sharing across a wider group. Dropbox is also revolutionising file-sharing across groups using cloud-based systems, which use computing as a service rather than a product. Why not use Dropbox or SharePoint, a similar system, for sharing storyboards across your organisation?
Understand the Role of the Champion

Projects are more successful if they relate to key business issues and everyone realises their importance.

A champion is a senior sponsor who provides support, direction and financial and people resources to Lean Six Sigma, demonstrating the company’s commitment to the approach and providing a direct link to company strategy.

To make Lean Six Sigma work in practice, you need to put in place two different champion roles:

- A Lean Six Sigma programme business champion.
- A champion for every Lean Six Sigma project.

Looking at the Lean Six Sigma programme business champion

Visible commitment from the Lean Six Sigma business champion demonstrates that senior management take the Lean Six Sigma approach seriously. In an ideal world, the most senior executive in the organisation has the role of overall programme champion, but in practice, a member of the senior executive management team is often a good choice.

Senior management needs to communicate its support to the whole organisation, showing that it treats the approach seriously and not as just another fad.

Perusing the role of the project champion

Every project deserves a champion who’s prepared to devote the time and support needed to help the project team overcome any roadblocks on their journey.

The project champion is involved in selecting the project and the team members for it. For more details on the role of champions, see Chapter 13.
Use Strategy to Drive Lean Six Sigma

Implementing a Lean Six Sigma programme is pointless if it isn’t aligned with the direction being taken at a strategic level by the business. Lean Six Sigma is about making change happen and strategy is about deciding which direction the company is heading in – so they need to work in tandem. Lean Six Sigma helps you deploy strategy within the operational business. Many businesses now use Lean Six Sigma techniques as an essential component of their wider business transformation programme. These organisations use the essential tools of the Define, Measure, Analyse, Improve and Control phases (which we describe in Chapter 2) simultaneously across multiple processes to create a transformed business with the right set of services and products being delivered through streamlined processes.
Chapter 17

Ten Pitfalls to Avoid

In This Chapter
▶ Avoiding the temptation to shoot from the lip
▶ Knowing when to stop analysing and start implementing
▶ Steering clear of project traps and doing the wrong things right

Of course, you want your Lean Six Sigma programme to be a big success. The approach has been around for a while now, so you can draw on a wealth of experience, some good and some not so good. This chapter describes things that can go wrong so you can avoid the common pitfalls. We share our experience of observing many different organisations and building up a bank of knowledge of what works and what doesn’t. Unlike some doomsters who never seem to have a good word for anything, we certainly don’t want to put you off. So read on and see if these pitfalls are ones that are likely to affect you.

Jumping to Solutions

Many managers seem hard-wired to jump straight to a solution when presented with a problem. In action movies, everything works out in the end, the hero makes the right decisions in a split second and the goodies live on for another day (or film). Unfortunately, business life isn’t quite the same: knee-jerk solutions can be costly and can fail to address the root cause of the problem.

Shooting from the hip – or, in business, the all too common ‘shooting from the lip’ – without collecting and analysing the facts and data isn’t the best approach to solving complex business problems. Lean Six Sigma involves understanding what the problem is and then going through several steps to gain a better understanding of it (Define, Measure), working down to the root causes (Analyse), looking at the various solution options and then choosing the most appropriate (Improve), and implementing the solution and holding the gains (Control).
Although this process sounds straightforward and sensible, for many business executives, who believe they know best, it’s counter-intuitive.

Consider the vast number of decisions made each year about IT systems, call-centre outsourcing, business re-organisation, new products and company-wide training programmes. These decisions are often ‘solutions’ agreed in busy executive meetings – but companies often discover six months or a year later that such ‘solutions’ do anything but meet requirements or run to budget.

Unfortunately, the very organisations that might benefit most from a Lean Six Sigma-style approach are the least likely to adopt it.

**Coming Down with Analysis Paralysis**

Getting the balance right is important. During the Analyse phase you may be tempted to get further and further into root-cause analysis and lose sight of the primary reason for the improvement project – to make a difference and see positive changes in your business. Your team may get bogged down in the sheer volume of analysis options that can be carried out as they make more discoveries. Restricting the scope of the project is important to avoid going off on tangents. By all means log those potential opportunities for future projects, but for now stick to the original scope.

Knowing when to end the analysis and start the Improve phase can be difficult. Try regarding this decision as a judicial case and weigh up the balance of evidence for and against the ‘defendants’ – the causes of the problem in your Lean Six Sigma project.

You’re probably ready to move to the Improve stage if you answer ‘yes’ to the following question:

‘Are we sure that we understand enough about the process, problem and causes to develop effective solutions?’

And ‘no’ to this one:

‘Is the value of additional data worth the extra cost in time, resources and momentum?’

The project champion has a key role in ensuring that you keep the business interests at the forefront when answering these questions and in steering the team ahead on the business track.
Achieving Six Sigma – 3.4 defects per 1 million opportunities – may be an aspiration (refer to Chapter 1 for calculating Sigma values), but you won’t achieve it in one project. Moving from 2 Sigma to 3 Sigma and then onto 4 Sigma in your Lean Six Sigma projects is entirely normal. You will also find that it takes a lot more effort as you climb further up the Sigma scale. Small bite-sized projects move your performance in the right direction, so be prepared to accept just a small increase in the Sigma value of your processes.

**Falling into Common Project Traps**

Want to know how to ensure project failure? Try a negative brainstorming technique: it’s a great icebreaker at the start of a project and is certain to get your team bouncing with ideas. Instead of brainstorming ideas to make the project a success, you brainstorm the opposite: ‘How can we ensure project disaster?’ You’ll be amazed at the number of suggestions the team comes up with! Then you can turn these negative thoughts into positive ones. You’ll end up with a really positive set of suggestions based on practical experience of what really can make projects fail.

For starters, here are some common project traps:

**Methodology madness**

- Not using a structured and planned approach.
- Predetermining your solution.
- Giving poorly managed handovers.
- Allowing the Control phase to be weak, thus failing to hold the gain.

**Scope scandals**

- Running too many projects at the same time.
- Undertaking too large a project.
- Having a goal that isn’t measurable or is too vague.
- Ignoring ‘outside-in’ customer focus.
- Failing to link the goal to a real business need.
- Allowing the project scope to keep growing.
Team turmoil

- Creating a team with the wrong mix of skills or functional representation (for example, not getting Finance or HR in when needed)
- Offering inadequate training.
- Making a poor choice of team leader.
- Failing to agree on the time requirements of the team.
- Having no shared vision of success.

Lack of support

- Using unsupportive key stakeholders.
- Having no active project sponsor or champion.
- Running competing projects or projects with conflicting objectives.
- Allowing poor leadership behaviour.
- Failing to allow enough time to run the project systematically.

Stifling the Programme Before You’ve Started

Chances are, some people in your organisation don’t share your vision and are all too keen to stamp on your programme before you get it off the ground. Here are a few comments we’ve heard people say when stifling a Lean Six Sigma programme:

- ‘This is just common sense.’
- ‘Our place is different.’
- ‘It costs too much.’
- ‘We’re all too busy to do that.’
- ‘Let’s get back to reality.’
- ‘Why change? It’s still okay.’
- ‘We’re not ready for this yet.’
✓ ‘It’s a good thought but highly impractical.’
✓ ‘Not that crazy idea again!’
✓ ‘We’ve always done it this way.’
✓ ‘We’re no worse than our competitors!’

Chapter 15 covers dealing with the people aspects of Lean Six Sigma. Win over the doubters in your organisation and you’re half way to making your project succeed.

**Ignoring the Soft Stuff**

Many traditional Lean Six Sigma training courses cover the ‘hard stuff’, such as the statistical techniques, the DMAIC methodology and an extensive array of tools and techniques, but don’t deal with the softer tools – the people issues – that you need to gain buy-in and overcome resistance.

Consequently, many novice Lean Six Sigma practitioners try to run projects that focus on statistical tools and blind people with newly learned expressions – and then they’re disappointed when their managers or operational workers don’t accept the idea.

\[ E = Q \times A \]

The *quality* (Q) of the solution that comes from the use of the ‘hard’ tools and the *acceptance* (A) of the solution that comes from the ‘soft’ tools are equally important. You need both quality and acceptance to win support and achieve an *effective* (E) outcome.

From our experience in countless projects, the really hard stuff is the soft stuff!

**Getting Complacent**

Underestimating the amount of energy you need to make your Lean Six Sigma a success is a major pitfall. Complacency sets in surprisingly quickly if you don’t drive and lead your programme with urgency. You need an active Lean Six Sigma deployment programme manager, with support from a senior executive, to keep your programme alive, relevant and on the business agenda.
Organisational changes are frequent in many businesses. We’ve seen Lean Six Sigma programmes wither when a deployment programme manager is diverted to internal organisational politics. Ensuring that the senior executive team is actively involved is important. Institutionalising the whole approach is key, so that Lean Six Sigma becomes part of the ‘way we do things around here’.

**Thinking ‘We’re Already Doing It’**

A quick skim through the Lean Six Sigma literature or rapid overview of your processes may lead you to believe that your organisation is ‘already doing it’. Many managers think that they already solve problems using a systematic problem-solving process – but often they don’t think about or test solution options properly before putting them into action.

You may think, ‘We already use process flowcharts.’ Many organisations do use this technique, but often without first understanding the true requirements of the process from the customer’s perspective. Unless you adopt Lean Six Sigma in a structured way, you won’t be able to fully utilise the power of process-mapping techniques to really get under the surface of how your existing processes work.

Genuine senior management buy-in for this kind of ‘peripheral’ process-mapping activity is also unusual. Isolated cases do exist in organisations as part of a cottage industry of enthusiasts who are doing their best but operating outside the scope of a serious senior management-led initiative. A well-designed Lean Six Sigma programme builds on existing knowledge and legitimises improvement work into a framework that involves everyone and introduces a common set of tools across the organisation.

**Believing the Myths**

A whole series of myths have developed around the use of Lean Six Sigma. For Lean Six Sigma to work in practice, you need to dispel the following ideas:

- **Lean Six Sigma is all we need.** No – Lean Six Sigma can, and should, be integrated with other approaches.
- **Lean Six Sigma is just for manufacturing or production improvement.** No – all processes can be improved. Lean Six Sigma has been used successfully in transaction and service processes.
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✓ **Lean Six Sigma is just about statistical tools and measures.** No – Lean Six Sigma actually involves cultural change.

✓ **Lean Six Sigma is just about individuals and experts.** No – to work best, Lean Six Sigma involves everyone in a team effort, including senior executives.

---

**Doing the Wrong Things Right**

Most of us want to do the right things right. Process analysis is a great tool to show us what we're doing in practice and help us answer the questions ‘Why?’ and ‘Are we doing this step correctly?’

According to systems theorist, Russ Ackoff:

> The righter you do the wrong thing, the wronger you become; if you make a mistake doing the wrong things and correct it, you become wronger; if you make a mistake doing the right thing and correct it, you become righter, therefore it is better to do the right thing wrong than the wrong thing right.

In fact, you have four options:

1. **Doing the right thing right – most people want to do this.**
   
   Serving great food and providing top-notch service in a stylish restaurant is an example.

2. **Doing the right thing wrong – apply the tools to fix the problem.**
   
   Imagine great service and a beautiful restaurant but really bad food. Listen to the voice of the customer, recognise that the poor quality of the food is the key driver of customer dissatisfaction and tackle the root causes of the problem. That is, you analyse the process, discover the critical factors underlying the causes of the problem and solve those. Often problems can be resolved simply; in this case, maybe by using less salt.

3. **Doing the wrong thing right – this is non-value-adding.**
   
   To continue the example, you concentrate on making the restaurant look even better but still serve awful food. That is, you don’t find out what the real customer requirements are, jump to the wrong solution and spend unnecessary money.

4. **Doing the wrong thing wrong – working to get this right is pointless.**
   
   For example, spending lots of money restyling the restaurant when customers actually liked the earlier style.
The ultimate danger is kicking off a Lean Six Sigma project to fix the situation in option 4 above – and still ending up doing the wrong thing!

**Overtraining**

Clearly, getting trained up in Lean Six Sigma is important and a well thought out training plan needs to form part of the overall deployment programme. But training works best when it’s delivered ‘just in time’ and at the right level.

In the early days, some organisations undertook company-wide, large scale implementations of Six Sigma and ‘forced’ hundreds of people onto unnecessary 20-day Black Belt training, which resulted in putting many of them off the whole approach (refer to Chapter 2 for an explanation of the martial arts analogy in Lean Six Sigma).

An organisation just starting to use Lean Six Sigma will be full of opportunities for process improvement that can be tackled using the tools learned on a good foundation Green Belt course. Ideally, this six-day training can be split into three smaller modules of two days each, wrapped around a real project being carried out to ensure the training is delivered at the right level and at the right time to fit into the life of the project.

Avoid the pitfall of believing that Black Belt training must be ‘better’ than Green Belt training and sending people on a full Black Belt course, complete with advanced statistical training, before starting any projects. Start simply, develop the basic skills, provide expert coaching support to the Green Belts, run initial projects quickly to deliver tangible benefits to the organisation and then select the right candidates to be trained in the Lean Six Sigma advanced tools when needed.

Training people at an advanced level too soon is a waste of money and will probably deter people from using the approach.
Sometimes Lean Six Sigma seems a bit daunting. But don’t worry, plenty of help and good experience exists if you know where to look. In this chapter we show you where to find all the advice and resources you need.

**Your Colleagues**

A well-managed Lean Six Sigma programme relies on teamwork and support being available for everyone involved across the organisation through an internal network. Support can be offered through a spectrum of different coloured ‘belts’; for example, Black Belts supporting Green Belts (see Chapter 2 for more on how the martial arts relate to Lean Six Sigma). Ideally, Black Belts will be able to call on support from Master Black Belts who are professional experts in Lean Six Sigma, but in smaller organisations this support may be outsourced to a specialist.

The ‘belt’ terminology isn’t mandatory. Many organisations just use terms such as ‘practitioner’ and ‘expert’ instead of Green Belt and Black Belt.

Being able to access this kind of support network is important. You probably already know that a big difference exists between using a tool in a training environment and operating in the real world, where your first port of call for help is usually your own colleagues.
Your Champion

Every project deserves a good sponsor, or ‘champion’ (described in detail in Chapter 13). When things get tough, as most projects do from time to time, your project champion is a good source of help. Your champion supports your project team, helps unblock project barriers and assists you when you need buy-in at a more senior level in your organisation.

Other Organisations

Every year, the number of organisations deploying Lean Six Sigma increases. Over time, the combination of tools and techniques may have changed, but the essentials of using a systematic method, focusing on understanding customer requirements and improving processes are well tried and tested. Visiting some other organisations and learning from their experiences is well worthwhile. You may not be able to look deep inside your competitors’ businesses, but you can discover lots by visiting similar-sized companies in different sectors. Industry and government special interest groups are a good source of help and often arrange visits for groups to observe companies at work. If you have the chance to visit a Toyota or Ricoh plant, for example, in just a few hours you’ll learn a lot about the cultural approach that forms the basis for continuous improvement and Lean thinking in general.

The Internet

Lots of sites are aimed at Lean Six Sigma devotees. Just for fun, here’s a snippet of trivia: if you search the web using the expression ‘Six Sigma Pink Floyd’, you discover that Roger Waters set up a band in 1964 called Sigma Six before forming Pink Floyd a year later. That was 20 years before Motorola came up with the idea of Six Sigma – progressive rock indeed!

Following are some of our favourite websites devoted to Lean Six Sigma:

- www.asq.org: The site for the American Society for Quality, offering very comprehensive online resources and publications.
- www.catalystconsulting.co.uk and www.businessimprovementzone.com: The authors’ own websites, regularly updated with new articles and with access to an online learning resource area.
✓ www.efqm.org: Full of useful material and a link into the knowledge library of the European Foundation for Quality Management – essential for anyone serious about learning more about developing quality and excellence across an entire organisation.

✓ www.isssp.com: Dedicated to Six Sigma, with plenty of articles.

✓ www.isixsigma.com: The number one (US-focused) Six Sigma website, with bulletin boards, job ads and links – for addicts only.

✓ www.improvementandinovation.com: A growing set of articles on all aspects of Lean and Six Sigma.

✓ www.qualitydigest.com: A useful online magazine on quality.

✓ www.qfdi.org: The site for the Quality Function Deployment (QFD) Institute. QFD is an approach to really understanding customer requirements and linking these to processes, products and services, and is often used when Lean Six Sigma companies want to design new products and services. QFD is an additional tool used in Design for Six Sigma (Doss).

✓ www.processexcellencenetwork.com: The Process Excellence Network, a division of IQPC (formerly known as Six Sigma IQ), provides access to a wide range of content for Process Excellence practitioners.


A note about search engines

The Internet Is awash with useful information, articles and guides – if only you could find what you want!

Search engines can speed up your research or investigation – you may even find details of a Lean Six Sigma project similar to one you’re working on somewhere on the Web.

Google is a very useful resource for searching on specifics and is the most popular search engine by far. Others worth trying are Alta Vista, which sometimes goes deeper than Google. Mamma.com is also a favourite.
Networks and Associations

You can find all sorts of networks and associations relating to Lean Six Sigma. Some networks offer online and offline services to encourage collaboration and knowledge exchange between members, and often hold regular members’ meetings.

For example, i&i is a European community of practice for business improvement and innovation. To avoid any ‘selling’ connotations, this network doesn’t permit consultancy organisations to become members.

National and regional quality associations such as the American Society for Quality (ASQ), the European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM) and the British Quality Foundation (BQF) provide opportunities to share good, and not so good, practice through meetings, visits to businesses, conferences, workshops and online resources, although these aren’t dedicated purely to Lean Six Sigma. The EFQM provides an extensive knowledge library to members offering insights into the approaches used in different organisations.

Conferences

Lean Six Sigma conferences are a regular feature of the conference calendar these days. Conference organisers hold Lean Six Sigma ‘summits’ every year at different locations around the world. These summits provide a range of mainstream speakers, smaller workshops, and networking and informal discussions regarding every aspect of Lean Six Sigma. Whether you’re just starting out or want to keep up with the latest thinking and new developments, these summits are a great source of information.

Books

You can find a wealth of books on the individual aspects of Lean and Six Sigma, and a few on Lean Six Sigma. Here are some of our favourites:


✓ *Integrated Enterprise Excellence, Vols. I, II and III* by Forrest Breyfogle III (Bridgeway Books): You can't get better than this if you want to become a serious aficionado.

✓ *Making Six Sigma Last* by George Eckes (Wiley): Cultural aspects of making it happen and succeed.


✓ *SPC in the Office* by Mal Owen and John Morgan (Greenfield): Full of useful case studies about using control charts in the office.

✓ *The Lean Six Sigma Improvement Journey Toolkit* by John Morgan: Light-hearted coverage of each tool (of which there are many), with the aid of colour-coded illustrations, available on the UK Amazon site.

✓ *The Six Sigma Revolution* by George Eckes (Wiley): The principles of Six Sigma.

✓ *The Six Sigma Way* by Peter Pande, Robert Neuman and Roland Cavanagh (McGraw-Hill): Good general overview and ‘how to’.


### Periodicals

Several journals are devoted to Lean and Six Sigma, including:

✓ *International Journal of Six Sigma and Competitive Advantage* – keeps at the forefront of Six Sigma developments.

✓ *iSixSigma Magazine* – for Six Sigma professionals, with specialist features on all aspects of the approach; also available online.

✓ *Quality World* – the magazine of the Chartered Quality Institute in the UK, with regular features on Lean Six Sigma.
Software

You can certainly start down the Lean Six Sigma road without having to invest in specialist software, but as your journey proceeds you may want to enhance your toolkit with statistical and other software. In this section, we mention a few of our essentials.

One area of Lean Six Sigma where we recommend not using software, especially when starting out, is value stream mapping and process deployment flowcharting. For this, we suggest that you map the process using sticky notes, a pencil and a large piece of paper pinned to the wall.

That said, if you do decide to use software for process flowcharting, consider Visio, iGraphix or FlowMap.

Statistical analysis

Most everyday mortals use only a fraction of the full capability of their spreadsheet program such as Excel. These programs are good at statistical analysis – but because they weren’t designed specifically for this purpose, producing even the most basic Pareto chart without help from a kind soul who’s produced a template for this purpose is surprisingly challenging.

Fortunately you can find several plug-ins for your spreadsheet program to help you perform Pareto analysis, and slice and dice your data quickly and easily without having to design your own template.

Microsoft provides a neat data analysis ‘Toolpak’ for Excel, which has been extended with the latest versions. For more complex statistical analysis, try the Excel plug-in SigmaXL, which lets you produce a variety of displays including SIPOCs, cause and effect diagrams, failure mode and effects analysis, and several types of control chart, as well as a comprehensive range of statistical tools.

Most Black Belts and Master Black Belts favour Minitab ® Statistical Software. This package has been around for many years and is a favourite of universities...
and colleges teaching statistics. Minitab is a very comprehensive statistical analysis package designed for serious statistical analysis. Don’t try it at home without some serious training as part of an Advanced Green Belt or full Black Belt course.

JMP® Statistical Discovery Software is another package gaining in popularity for use in the world of Lean Six Sigma. It links statistics to a highly visual graphic representation, allowing you to visually explore the relationships between process inputs and outputs, and then to identify the key process variables.

For more advanced statistical and predictive modelling, take a look at Crystal Ball from Oracle. This popular bit of software is good for forecasting, simulation, and evaluating optimisation options.

**Deployment management**

For large-scale deployments, consider forming a project library and use tracking software to help you and your colleagues across the organisation manage and report on projects. Software packages such as those from i-Nexus and Instantis are designed specifically for this purpose, and are well worth investigating as your deployment grows across the organisation.

**Training and Consultancy Companies**

A wide range of specialist training and consulting companies provide services for clients in the Lean Six Sigma arena. In your quest for training, you’ll find a few global players and lots of smaller specialists and one-person bands.

When you choose a supplier, try to use the ‘quality × acceptance’ equation that we describe in Chapter 15. You want your trainer to have excellent technical skills, but also consider how well they would work with your organisation. Will your organisation’s culture accept the trainer? Will the trainer instil confidence and provide all the services you require?

In our experience, few organisations bother to check suppliers’ references. But unlike choosing a partner or spouse, in business asking previous clients how well the partnership worked is fine! Working over a long period with a training and consulting company is a bit like a marriage – shared values are a good foundation for belief, integrity, respect, trust and honesty.
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